


2749
1450

Docket "E."

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z



A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Qu
Art

五

Be

Be

B.

2



Co

0

10

Pake

Bar

Dr.

B.

A



10

72

Chalmers vs Helen 129
 Arthur - People vs 138

Bingham - People vs 16
 Bingham vs Chad vs Hager vs 29
 Billings - People vs 34
 Blackach Prod & Co vs Williams 36
 Billings & People 39
 Belknap vs Filly & Gordon 76
 Bernhart vs Currier 82
 Burk vs Henry 83
 Bouckett vs Detmors 87
 Breverich vs Dammirich 123
 Baker & Johnson vs Bullard & Kent 146
 Baker & Hamilton vs Hawn 160
 Bouckett vs McDonald 164
 Brant vs Bruce 188
 Baptiste - People vs 199
 Bayne " " 202
 Baptiste " " 213

Dunick - People vs 10
 Donahoe vs Myers 26
 " " Gilbert 27
 " " Hume 40
 Dow - People vs - 42
 " " " 97
 " " " 102
 Dow vs Leonon 105
 Dyen vs Vieldon 111
 Donahoe vs McNeil 132
 " " Bell 133
 " " Bruce 134
 Don vs Haddon 142
 " " Elliott 148
 Donahoe vs Hawn 155
 Dow vs Hater 171
 Drvin vs Dalton 172
 Dunick vs Woods 182
 Dyen vs Tracy & Moon 185
 Donahoe vs Bruce 167
 Drvin People vs 197
 Douglass " " 205

Cavit - vs Myers 6
 Cathins - People vs 11
 Carter - " " 19
 Corlew - vs Woods 20
 Croza vs Jones & Cook 45
 Cole vs Brather 64
 Currier vs Perry 68
 Crawford vs Callard & al 78
 Chisholm vs Ward 93
 Campbell vs Bennett & al 112
 Cartigan & Hunt & Co vs Simon 139
 " " " " Hawn 149
 " " " " Hawn 150
 Crawford vs Hacker 161
 " " " 163
 Church - People vs - 178
 Corlile vs Weston 184

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Griffith - vs - Janney	12	Hopkins - vs - Leach	
" - Griffith		Hurry - vs - Miley	25
Griffith vs Shenberg	108	Hutchinson vs King	20
Jar vs Curd	117	Hutchinson vs Woods	24
Jump vs Barker & Bivall	137	Holt vs Griffith	32
Jones - People vs	179	Hogdon vs Grady	38
Griffith - People vs.	190	Hinds - People vs	44
" " "	191	Hoas vs James	53
Joyce v Bickel & ac	195	Hamilton & Lyle - People vs	58
Griffith J - People vs.	196	Hahn vs Lund	61
		Helen vs Thiel	66
		Higgins vs Foster - People vs	100
		Hahn vs Hawn	124
		Hays vs Meade	136
		Hughes vs Converse	141
		Hawn vs Cyndie & Cyndie	168
		Hung & Hien - People vs	187
		Herrington " "	193
		Hargrave " "	200
		Harris " "	211
		Hickman & Hartison	212

E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Arthur Goldsmith & Co. Shaffer	22
Kirby & Storms & Co	36
Kidder & Storms & Peterson	73
Kelly - People & Co	122
" " "	123
Kirkpatrick " "	131

Johnson & Wright & Co. Schindler	14
Jack - People & Co	52
Johnson & Dorn	65
Pittsford & Smith	110
Joseph & Dorn - People & Co	144
Jim - People & Co - De Guon	179
Jim M. Pappas & Co	214

22 New - People vs 53
 6 Look - " " 64
 3 Lucas vs Mitroich 57
 2 Lewis Cyhdernan 67
 Lang vs Ward 92
 Lewis vs Lanlan 98
 Lewis - People vs 124
 Lewis vs Hughes 115
 Longory - People vs 203

Mansfield - People vs 25
 Martell vs Gounier 37
 Muller vs Lowry 41
 Morse - People vs 47
 Mc Caper vs Elliott 63
 Morgan vs Meade 77
 Martin - People vs 80
 Madson vs Ruthins 84
 Miradott vs Ward 90
 Muller vs Lison 140
 Moon & Smith vs Bayne 147
 Mansfield vs People vs 152
 Morone vs Damianovich 162
 Maloy - People vs 170
 Marks - People vs 177
 Maloy - People vs 180
 Mahon et al - People vs 198
 " " " 206
 " " " 207

I
 K
 L
 M
 N
 O
 P
 Q
 R
 S
 T
 U
 V
 W
 Y
 Z

Patterson - People 55 3

Quinn vs Liberty Canal Co 7
" " " " " 8

Newman & Patterson & Riverside & Francis 101
Wilson - People 135 130
Nichols & Alchender 178

Puget Sound Lumber Co vs Stevens 28
 But see mules vs down
 Packwood - People vs 116
 Puget Sound Lumber Co vs Simon 138
 Peterson vs Brady 143
 Pickford vs Dwyer 119
 Puget Sound Lumber Co vs Dwyer 145
 " " " " vs Neuman 156
 Packwood - People vs 166
 Puget Sound Lumber Co vs Burke 174
 Pickford - People vs 189
 Portage People vs 192

Qualls - People vs 30

N
 O
 I
 (R
 S
 T
 U
 V
 W
 Y
 Z

Redfield vs Nelson	1
Coader - People vs	13
Rice - " "	21
" " "	31
Pease & Fry vs Haun	38
Jaemussey & Garsen - People vs	62
Rawitt & Lowry vs Woods	127
Corser vs Pato	183

Symonds vs Quismore	18
St John vs Blom	48
St John vs Luke	49
Aug - People vs	57
" " "	59
Croftian vs Haun	60
Tring vs Pierce	74
Thamarian vs Sebastian	76
Smith - People vs	79
Delva vs Villalon	83
Smith vs Ward	91
Servants People vs	95
Shannon People vs	96
Sellers - " "	106
" " "	107
Demouppes - People vs	118
Strout vs Traber & Bery	153
Shanklin vs Bisgzi	157
Suain People vs	201
Sherrard " "	204
Smith " "	209

Diffamy - People vs 86
Villman vs Ward 94
Tupper vs Coats 103
Tognery vs Sperry 110
Fodd & Lapre - People vs 120
" " " " 121

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Wickham vs Lewis 4
Ward vs Lang 46
Walford vs Walford 50
Wick vs Lewis 69
Wah - Peoples 81
Wicks vs Ward 89
Wilson vs Lind 99
Wymouth vs Peoples 109
Watson vs Lewis & Co 175
Wheeler vs Peoples 194
Wilson " " 208
Watson " " 210

Vincut vs Lynn 43
Vincut vs Bennett & Jones 104

Yarn - People 17

No. /

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF Calif COUNTY OF Fresno

Action New Promissory Note

C. T. Redfield
Plaintiff
v.
J. Y. Nelson
Defendant

Demand \$ 50.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE
1886
May 20

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.
Plff. Deft.

E 1 MAY 1886

RED FIELD C. T. (PLAINTIFF)
NELSON J. Y. (DEFENDANT)
PROMISSORY NOTE

SHORT T. H. (JUSTICE OF THE PIECE)

6.00

LETTERHEAD VERNER L. B. 1882
LUBBOCK, TEXAS
403 E. W. BULLOCK BUILDING
LUBBOCK, TEXAS
J. W. BULLOCK
J. W. BULLOCK

12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

JOHN A. WILLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
402 T. W. PATTERSON BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
TELEPHONE AMHERST 6-0545

Attorney for _____

(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

2981 JAN 1 1981
T. J. O'NEILL
JAN 1 1981
JAN 1 1981
JAN 1 1981

No. /

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF Calif COUNTY OF Fresno

Action on Promissory note

C. F. Redfield
Plaintiff

Demand \$ 50.00

J. Y. Wilson
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

May 28 1886 Complaint filed and also Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment and Summons and Writ of Attachment with Copies of Summons and Writ issued

6.00

" 29 On motion of Plaintiff this action is dismissed, settled

Done in open Court this 29th day of May 1886

C. F. Redfield
Justice of the Peace

\$6

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of Calif OF County of Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Action In District No
Three

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Mark Emerson

Amie V. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

1886
May 27

Complaint filed and warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the charge against her
and after right in the premises
whereupon she pled guilty as charged
in the Complaint.
Wherefore it is by the Court
ordered and adjudged that the said
Mark Emerson pay a fine
of Five 500 Dollars
fine paid and defendant
released
Done in open Court the 27th
of May 1886
C. H. Thorpe
Judge of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of Calif. COUNTY OF Yuma

San People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action

for Battery

Demand \$

Hinds & Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thomas Patterson

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

June 2
188

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

JOHN A. WILLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.
JULY 1, 1887

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of Calif COUNTY OF Plumas

The People of the State of California
v.
Matho Emerson

Plaintiff

Action In Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Amos V. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pfr.

Deft.

1886
May 27

E-2 MAY 1886

EMMERSON MATHO - MAIHO - MAITEO
(PLAINTIFF)
DISTURBING THE PIECE

DALY
HINDS AND DALY (ATTORNEY)

\$ HORT T. H. (JUSTICE OF THE
PIECE)

No. 3

3

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of Calif. COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action

for Battery

Demand \$

Hinds & Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thomas Patterson

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

188

June 2

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

E-3 JUNE 1886

PATTERSON
(PAETERSON) THOMAS (DEFENDANT)

BATTERY

HINDS-AND DALY (ATTORNEYS)

JOHN A. WILLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
402 T. W. PATTERSON BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
TELEPHONE AMHERST 6-0545

Attorney for

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of Third - Township,

OF THE

OF

COUNTY OF

Township,

The People of the State of
California

California

Action

It's Disturbing the
ace

Demand \$

Plaintiff

v

Mark Emerson

Defendant

Amelia V. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE _____

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

DATE 1884
May 29

No. 3

3

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of Calif. COUNTY OF Yuma

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action

For Battery

Demand \$

Henry J. Daley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thomas Patterson

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
June 21 Complaint filed & Warrant issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chico

Dr. C. P. Watson
Plaintiff

John A. Lewis
Defendant

Action

Dr. Medical Services

Demand \$

150.00

E. J. Haffels
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 3^d 1886 Complaint filed & Summons with copies
of Summons & Complaint issued 2.50
" 9 Summons returned filed served at 5th St. June 9th 25
" 17 ~~Recd. \$44.11 full all costs~~
Costs being paid in advance
for cost and the same to be adjudged by
the Court and if a less amount be found
due said Constable, balance to be returned
to the defendant. It is stipulated in
open Court that aside from costs ~~XXXX~~
It is stipulated in open Court that
Plaintiff will accept of Defendant in
full of Demands herein \$96.60
which amount the receipt of \$1.60
is acknowledged and when Defendant
shall pay remaining amount of 25,
or show receipt that the same has been
herebefore paid then Plaintiff agrees to
dismiss this action Our \$100 of amount
paid to the Court making a total amount
paid into Court in this and the following
Case of \$17.60 Dishes Costs in both
Cases \$7. Balance on hand due Constable
\$10.60

June 23 1886 paid to C. P. Watson Constable
It comes now the Plaintiff's Attorney and
acknowledges satisfaction of the
amount agreed upon in settlement
and receipt of the 25 herebefore
mentioned
Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 24th of June
1886 J. H. Short Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Thurs* Township,
OF THE *State of California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Action *For Medical Services*

Dr. C. P. Watson
J. C. Selvie
Plaintiff
v.
Defendant

Demand \$ *75*

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

June 1886

"

"

(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

E-4 JUNE 1886

WATSON C.P. DR. (PLAINTIFF)

SELVIE JOHN C. (DEFENDANT)

MEDICAL SERVICES

GRIFFITH E. J. (ATTORNEY)

JOHN A. WILLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
402 T. W. PATTERSON BUILDING
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
TELEPHONE AMHERST 6-0545

Attorney for

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

Copies
d 250
top 25

owledges

of the

is

under

settled

and

Costs

the

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chico

Dr. C. P. Watson
Plaintiff
vs.
John Selvie
Defendant

Action Medical Services
Demand \$ 150.00
E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff
E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 3rd 1886

E-5 JUNE 1886

50

25

HOPKINS S. G. DR (PLAINTIFF)

SELVIE J. C. (DEFENDANT)

MEDICAL SERVICES

GRIFFITH E. J. (ATTORNEY)

Case

Case

\$10.60

10.60

June 23rd 10⁰⁰ paid to J. P. Ellis Constable

It comes now the Plaintiffs Attorney and
acknowledges satisfaction of the
amount agreed upon in settlement
and receipt of the 25th sum for
warranted

wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 24th of June
1886 J. H. Short Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,
OF THE State of California COUNTY OF Visalia

Action for Medical Services

D. & P. G. Griffiths
Plaintiff

Demand \$

75

E. Griffiths
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. C. Silvers
v.
Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 3rd 1886 Complaint filed & summons with copies
of summons & complaint issued 250
" 9 Summons returned & filed served at 5th & 1st June 25
" 17 Comes and the Plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained
of in the Complaint and on Def't's
paying all costs this action is
Dismissed

Done in open Court this 17th day
June 1886

J. H. Short

Justice of the Peace

June 17 The amount of \$12⁵⁰/₁₀₀ Available
Costs is paid in by Defendants under
protest and in case the same be settled
by the Court at less than said sum
then the balance due Defendants
shall be applied in payment of Costs
in the Case of E. B. V. Watson vs John
Silvers on page 4 of this Docket

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chico

Bruce Carver
Plaintiff
v.
B. Myers
Defendant

Action

Demand \$

15⁰⁰

Bruce Carver
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 5 1886 Complaint filed & Summons with
Copies of Summons with Complaint issued 250
5 Summons returned & filed served 25
June 11 It appearing by the Court as return
endorsed on the Summons on file
in this action that the defendant
was legally served with Summons at
this 3rd of June 1886 and more
than the time allowed by law having
elapsed since such service and
the defendant having failed to appear
person by answer or at all now
on motion of Plaintiff the default
of the defendant is hereby entered.
Now on motion of Plaintiff and
in consideration of the law and
the premises It is by the Court here
ordered and adjudged that the
Plaintiff Bruce Carver have judgment
against and recover from the defendant
B. Myers the sum of 15⁰⁰ together
with the costs of this action taxed
to date at Justice's costs 4⁵⁰ as Justices
costs 1⁰⁰ & total judgment 20⁵⁰
Done in open Court this 11th day of
June 1886

E. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

June 11 Execution issued for \$21.75
" 17 Execution returned and filed not satisfied \$5.75

No. 7

7

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

John Owens
Plaintiff
The Liberty Canal Company
Defendant

Action For Work and Labor Performed
Demand \$12,83
Bruce Caviet
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

June 1

E-6

JUNE 1886

July 6

CAVIET BRUCE (PLAINTIFF)

MYERS } B. (DEFENDANT)
MYORS }

MONEY OWED

CAVIET BRUCE (ATTORNEY)

with
230
25
Action

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Bruce Cavit
Plaintiff
v.
B. Myers
Defendant

Action

Demand \$

15⁰⁰

Bruce Cavit
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

June 5
June 11

E-7 JUNE 1886

OWENS, JOHN (PLAINTIFF)

THE LIBERTY CANAL COMPANY (DEFENDANT)
MONEY OWED FOR LABOR

CAVIST BRUCE - (ATTORNEY)

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

250

20

Final Judgment 20⁰⁰
Done in open Court this 11th day of
June 1886

W. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

June 11 Execution issued for \$21.75
June 17 Execution returned and filed not satisfied

No. 7

7

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

John Owens

Plaintiff

Action

Performed

Demand \$12,83

The Liberty Canal Company

Defendant

Bruce Covitt

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 5 Complaint filed & Summons with
copies of summons & complaint issued 230
" 8 Summons returned & filed served June 7th 25
July 6 On motion of Plaintiff this action
is dismissed with
\$2.50 costs paid and \$1.00 uncollected
costs paid

Done in open Court this 6th
of July 1886

J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

No. *8*In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Chesnut*

Henry Owens
The Liberty Canal Company
 Plaintiff
 Defendant

Action *On account for*
work and labor
 Demand \$ *19,62 1/2*
Bruckhardt
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

June 3 Complaint filed & summons with copy
of summons of complaint issued *\$2.50*
" 7 Summons returned & filed served at 3rd of June *7th* *25*
July 6 On motion of Plaintiff this action
 is dismissed, settled \$
\$2.50 Justice's Costs paid 1.00 Enrolled
 Cost & Dues in open Court this
6th July, 1886 *C. F. D. O. H. D.*
Justice of the Peace

No. 9

9

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of California COUNTY OF Sierra

The Sierra Lumber Co

Action

Ca No

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 12,65

A. J. Poole v.

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886
June 5

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Pd until 1886

75

E-8

JUNE 1886

OWENS

HENRY

(PLAINTIFF)

THE LIBERTY CANAL COMPANY (DEFENDANT)

MONEY OWED FOR WORK DONE

CAVIET BRUCE

(ATTORNEY)

No. *8*

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Chesnut*

Henry Owens
v.
The Liberty Canal Company
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action *On account for*
work and labor
Demand \$ *19,62 1/2*
Brace and Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff. Deft.

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

250
25

E-9 JUNE 1886

June 1886
June 3
July 6
Costs
\$25
file

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of California COUNTY OF Sierra

The Sierra Lumber Co

Plaintiff

Action

Case No

Demand \$ 12,65

A. J. Poole v.

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

June 5 1886
Complaint filed

Pd into 1886
75

RECEIVED BY THE COURT CLERK

RECEIVED BY THE COURT CLERK

RECEIVED BY THE COURT CLERK

RECEIVED BY THE COURT CLERK

No. *8*In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Action

*On account for**Ward and Sons*

Demand \$

19,62 1/2

Plaintiff

Brady and Co.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

June 1886
June 30
July 7
July 6
in
\$2,500
Co.
6th

(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

JOHN A. WILLEY
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 402 T. W. PATTERSON BUILDING
 FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
 TELEPHONE AMHERST 6-0545

Attorney for

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

250
25

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Visalia

The Visalia Lumber Co

Plaintiff

Action

Case No

Demand \$ 12,65

A. J. Poole

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

June 5 1886
Complaint filed

Pld into 1886
75

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF YubaThe People of the
State of California

Plaintiff

Action Warrant

Demand \$

v.
M. D. Drnick

Defendant

Quirk & Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

June 6th 1886 Complaint filed Warrant issued
 " " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into Court and by the Court
 informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the promise
 whereupon he pled not guilty as
 charged in the Complaint and on his
 motion this case is set for trial
 for Tuesday June 8th 1886 @ 9 am.
 Subpoena issued
 " 8th Subpoena returned and filed
 " " It appearing to the Satisfaction of the
 Court that there is not sufficient
 testimony against the defendant
 to justify a further prosecution of
 this action on motion of the
 District Attorney this action
 is therefore dismissed
 Done in open Court this 8th
 of June 1886
J. H. Stark
 Justice of the Peace

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of Trinidad Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Trinity

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Cornelius Collins

Defendant

Action For Assault

Demand \$

Hendricks & Daley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 7, 1886 Complaint filed & Warrant issued
" 8 Warrant returned & filed and defendant brought
into Court and by the Court informed of
the charge against him and of his rights
in the promise whereupon he pleads
not guilty as charged and on his
motion this case is set for trial
for Thursday June 10th 1886 @ 9 a.m.
" 9 Suppenna returned and filed
" 10 Having waited more than one full
hour after the time fixed for the
trial of this action and the defendant
being present in Court and no one
appearing against him it is by the
Court ordered that the defendant
be discharged
Done in open Court this 10th day of
June 1886

J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

No. 12

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuma

W. G. Griffith
Plaintiff

Miles Tammitt
v.
Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 100.00

Wharton D. Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.
		Plf. Def.
June 7 1886	Complaint filed and summons with copies of summons and complaint issued	3 00
" 11	Summons returned & filed & served at 3 ⁴⁵ p.m. June 10 th	25
" 16	Defendant files Demurrer	25
" 23 rd	Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges satisfaction of the matters complained of in the Complaint and moves to dismiss this action and pay all costs wherefore this action is dismissed Done in open Court this 23 rd day of June 1886 J. H. Short Justice of the Peace	

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Humboldt

The People of the State of California Action For Disturbing the Peace
v. Geo. Schroeder & Rader Plaintiff Demand \$

Geo. Schroeder & Rader
Defendant

Heunis & Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

1886
June 8 Complainant filed and Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought
into Court and by the Court informed of
the Charge against him and of his
rights in the premises whereupon he
pleads ~~not~~ guilty as charged in the
Complaint
and all and singular the Law and
the premises being by the Court then
understood and fully considered
and no sufficient cause appearing
to the Court why sentence should
not be pronounced against said defendant
Wherefore it is by the Court his orders
and adjudged that for the said offense
you the said Geo. Rader pay a fine of
\$5.50 and in case said fine be not
paid by the 18th day of June 1886 that
the said defendant be imprisoned
until said fine be duly satisfied in
the proportion of one day imprisonment
for every one Dollar of fine and
on the payment of such portion
of such portion of said fine as shall
not have been satisfied by imprisonment
at the rate above prescribed that the
defendant be discharged from
Custody
Done in open Court this 8th day
of June 1886
J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Essex

Action For Teacher's Wages

Demand \$ 130

Mrs. Fannie C. Johnson
Plaintiff

Hughes Creek School District
Defendant

James O. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff
R. B. Perry
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Deft.
June 11 1886	Complaint filed and Summons with Copies of Summons and Complaint issued	250	
" 14	Summons returned and filed and June 12 th & 14 th 1886	25	
" 17	Defendant files Demurrer	25	
Aug 24	On motion of Plff. the Demurrer is set for hearing for Aug 26 th 1886 @ 10 am	25	
Sept 24	On motion of Defendant time for hearing argument on Demurrer is indefinitely continued	25	
Sept 20	On motion of Plff. time for hearing argument on Demurrer is fixed for Sept 24 th 1886 @ 10 am	25	
" 21	Defendant orally denies each and every allegation in plaintiff's Complaint		
" 26	On motion of Defendant this case is set for trial for October 4 th 1886 Monday @ 10 AM.	25	
" 28	Subpoena with two copies issued for Plaintiff	25	
Oct. 4	Stipulation to continue this case continued filed by Plaintiff This case is continued to Nov 8 th 1886 @ 10 am	25	
Nov 4	Subpoena issued 1 copy	65	
" 8	Parties in Court Fannie C. Johnson sworn and examined 20% of Deft sustained	25	50
	On motion of Deft and Consent of Plff. this case is continued to Nov 18 th 1886 @ 10 am.	25	
	Subpoenas issued with 2 copies	105	
" 18	On motion of Defendant this case is continued to set for 11 AM. this day Defendant files and swears affidavit for a continuance of argument hears and continuance granted on the condition that Defendant pay all costs to date Defendant refuses continuance as granted and announces readiness for trial Adjourned 1 PM. See next page forward	25	25
		60	
		25	
		995	

Nov 22nd 1886 Plaintiff files affidavit of damages

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of Clark Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shasta

Action On account

M. Henry

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 6.10

J. J. Farley

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plff.	Def.
June 15 1886	Complaint filed & summons with process affidavits & complaints issued		250
July 19	Comes now defendant and orally denies each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint	25	
" 23	On motion of the defendant this case is set for trial for Tuesday July 27th 1886 @ 9 o'clock AM	25	
" 27	On motion of Plaintiff this action is dismissed at Plaintiff's costs	25	
	Done in open Court this 2nd of July 1886 J. J. Farley	25	
	Indorsed as the case		
Nov 18 1886	From Page 14 No 14 Salie Johnson vs Hughes Creek School Dist	995	
"	" Salie E. Johnson recalled by Plaintiff	25	
"	" B. A. Hawkins sworn and examined	25	
"	" Bert Johnson " " "	25	
	Plaintiff's Rest		
	W. A. C. Hens sworn and examined	25	
	Wm. Mc Haley " " " Defendant's Rest	25	
	Those rulings	75	
	argument heard and case submitted and taken under advisement while 10 AM Nov 19th 1886	150	
	Having fully considered the law and the premises It is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Salie E. Johnson has judgment against and recover from the defendant the Hughes Creek School District the sum of \$79.12 and interest	13.45	
	together with the cost of this action Total \$		
	Costs at Justice's Court \$3.43 Constables Fees \$1.77		
	Witness fees \$14.20 Total Judgment \$123.77		
	Done in open Court this 19th of Nov 1886 J. J. Farley Justice of the Peace		

Nov 23 1886 Plaintiff files Affidavit of Service
of summons & Cost Bill & Sheriff's Cost Bill

Dec 20 1886 Defendant files Notice of and Indorsing an appeal
\$16.45 J. J. Farley Clerk of Court

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

Geo. A. Burger

Defendant

Action

1st Battery

Demand \$

Thurs V Dely
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

June 17 1886

Complaint filed and Warrant issued.
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights in the premises
whereupon he pled guilty as charged
Wherefore in Consideration of the Law
and the premises it is by the
Court here ordered and adjudged
that the Plaintiff pay a fine of Thrs 75
Dollars and Judgment against him
for said sum is hereby entered
This paid and Deft discharged
Done in open Court this 17th day June 1886

J. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of Action For Malicious Mischief
California

Al Yum et al

Plaintiff

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 19 1886 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and Al Yum
brought into Court and committed to Sheriff
pending his examination Alies Warrant
issued for John Doe Chairman and Richard
Roe Chairman

" 22 Comes now the plaintiff and pay all
cost and acknowledges satisfaction
of the matters complained of in the
complaint and moves to dismiss
this action
wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 22nd day
June 1886

J. H. Daly
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shasta

Action

On Note

Plaintiff

Demand \$16495 & int at 1% from
Dec 28th 1885 A. T. Wright

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

June 18th 1886 Complaint filed and Summons issued
with copies of Summons & Complaint
22nd Summons returned and filed June 22nd 1886 Served
July 1st It appearing by the Constable Edwin
Endorsed on the Summons on file
in this action that the defendant
was legally served with Summons
at this Shasta County of Shasta
on the 22nd day of July 1886 and more
than the time allowed by law
having elapsed since such service
and the defendant having failed to
appear by answer or at all
Now on motion of plaintiff the
default of the defendant is hereby
entered
And now on motion of plaintiff
and in consideration of the law
and the premises it is by the
Court here ordered and adjudged
that the plaintiff Edward Symonds
have judgment against and recover
from the defendant E. A. Dinsmore
the sum of \$164.95 Principal with
10% interest thereon together with
the costs of this action being to date
Justice's Costs \$4.56 Constable's Costs
\$0.00 Total Judgment \$180.43
Given in open Court this 1st day of
July 1886

A. T. Wright
Justice of the Peace

July 8th 1886 Paid an Instalment of Interest on Costs

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Action of its Inequity

Demand \$

In the matter of the Arrest and
Examination of Mr. Carter
an Indigent Person

Defendant

J. V. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 21st 1886 Complaint filed and Warrant issued

In the Justice's Court of Thurston Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Thurston

Action on Subscription Contract

G. Hutchinson

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 116.61

E. J. King as Administrator of
The Estate of Lyman Andrews
Deceased

Defendant

Wharton V. Shaw

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pfff.	Deft.
June 21 1886	Complaint filed and Summons with Copies of Summons Plaintiff issued 19 folios	5.00	
" 23	Summons returned and filed June 23 ^d 1886	25	
" 28	Summons returned Defendant files Answer	25	
Aug 17	On motion of Deft and Consent of Plff This Case is set for trial for Aug 19 th 1886 @ 10 o'clock A.M.	25	
August 19	On motion of Plaintiff this action is dismissed at Plaintiffs Costs J. P. Costs \$6.50 Constables \$1.00 Charged to Plff \$7.50		
Sept 1 st	Done in open Court this 19 th day of Aug 1886 J. H. Clark Justice of the Peace Costs Paid by Plaintiff		

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action For Embezzlement

Demand \$

v.

Thomas Rice

Defendant

J. H. Daley

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

1884
June 23 Complainant filed Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights in the premises
whereupon he Pleaded not guilty a Charge
And the Court orders that the defendant
be admitted to Bail in the sum of \$100,
Bail given Bond approved & filed
On motion of Deft this Case is set
for trial for June 23rd this day at 2 1/2 P.M.
Defendant demands a jury trial
Veria issued for 24 jurors
Subpoena issued
Veria & Subpoena returned and filed
" 24 Jury sworn to answer questions
By leave of the Court defendant withdraws
his plea of Not Guilty and files Demurrer
The same is considered and overruled
Deft excepts and enters his Plea Not Guilty.
The following jurors sworn to try this Case
E. H. Patterson Wm. Sutherland Wm. Cranner
Sol. Wallner D. Witt Jm. Hatham
Willis Abbey J. Lively E. Bently
Being nine jurors and parties agreeing to try the
Case with that number M. Hale M. Allahan
G. Kelly & J. Henderson sworn & Ex. Plffs Rest
T. Rice C. Shilong Annie Sullivan Melhi
Bieling C. Baldwin & C. Brown sworn and
Ex. Defts Rest Argument heard and Case submitted
Jury of 12 sworn officers and after
deliberating announce that they are unable to
agree on a verdict On Consent of the Parties
they are therefor discharged

See Page 22 Forward

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Kubus Goldstein & Co.

Plaintiff

Action on

Demand \$ 37.54

D. Schaffer

v.

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 23 Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking
on Attachment filed and summons
with copies of summons and complaint
and writ of Attachment with copy issued 6.00
Comes now the plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained of
in the Complaint and moves to dismiss
this action and pay all costs
wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 24th day of June 1886 6.50

J. D. Ford Justice of the Peace
Choyce Page 21 No 21 People vs Alice

June 23 On motion of Plff and consent of Deft
this case is set for retrial for June 29th 1886
@ Paolock Am. Subpoena issued

" 28 Subpoena & Venue issued for Defendant

" 29 Subpoena and Venue returned and
filed Attachments issued for M. Callahan
defaulting witness and for defaulting
jurors. Parties in Court and ready for
trial jury sworn to answer question
On motion of J. A. Dale Dist Atty
this action is dismissed on the
ground that the evidence does not
support the charge of Embezzlement
Done in open Court this 29th day
of June 1886

J. D. Ford
Justice of the Peace

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of Thurston Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Vernon

Action On account

John H. Corlew Plaintiff

Demand \$ ~~87.25~~ \$64.19
Wharton D. Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Andrew J. Woods Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 23 1886 Complaint affidavit and undertaking
Attachment filed and summons and
Writ of Attachment with copies of summons
Complaints and Writ issued

6.00

" 25 Summons returned and filed same June 25

25

" " James now the plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters sued for
herein and pays all costs and moves to
dismiss this action

Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open court the 25th
of June 1886

J. H. Corlew
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Action *An Account*

John Hackett

Plaintiff

Demand \$ *202.86*

Archib J. Woods

Defendant

Wharton V. Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

June 23 1886

*Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking are
Attachment filed and Summons with
Writ of Attachment and Copies of Summons
on Plaintiff and Writ issued.*

6.00

"

*Summons returned and filed served June 25th
Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matter complained
of and moves to dismiss the action
and pay all costs.*

25

*Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 26th day June 1886*

E. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

No. 25

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuba

The People of the State of
California

Action For Battery

Plaintiff v.

Demand \$

John Geo Mansfield
Defendant

J. T. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

June 23 1886

" 24

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned & filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights in the premises
Whereupon he pled guilty as charged
in the Complaint
and all and singular the law and
the premises being by the Court here
understood and fully considered
and no sufficient Cause appearing
to the Court by judgment & finding
with pronounced against said
defendant

Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged
that the defendant Geo Mansfield
pay a fine of Thirty Dollars and
in Case said fine is not paid by
the 26th day of June 1886 that
the defendant be imprisoned until
the fine be duly satisfied in the
proportion of one day imprisonment
for every one dollar of fine and
on the payment of such portion
of said fine as shall not have been
satisfied by imprisonment at
the rate above prescribed that the
defendant be discharged from custody
Done in open Court this 24th day
of June 1886 J. T. Short
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Hesperus Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Hesperus

Action On 90M. J. Donahoe

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 10.55J. A. Myers

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

June 24 1886

Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking
an Attachment filed and summons and
Writ of Attachment with copies of summons
Writ and Complaint issued

6.00

" 30 Summons and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
served at 30th up June 29th 1886

50

July 9

It appearing by the Constable's return
endorsed on the summons on file
herein that the defendant was legally
served with summons at 30th up
County of Hesperus on the 29th day
of June 1886 and more than the
time allowed by law having
elapsed since such service and
the defendant having failed to
appear herein by answer or at
all now on motion of Plaintiff
the default of the defendant is
taken entry

25

25

25

and now on motion of Plaintiff and
in consideration of the law and
the premises it is by the Court here

1.00

\$8.25

ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff
M. J. Donahoe have judgment
against and recover from the defendant
J. A. Myers the sum of 10.55 together
with the costs of this action being
to date justices costs \$2.00

Constable's fees \$1.00 total judgment 27.90
Done in open Court this 9th day
of July 1886 J. D. O. Justices of the Peace
\$8.25

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Los Angeles

M Donahoe Plaintiff
v.
N. D. Gilbert Defendant
Action On 9c
Demand \$ 36,13
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE PROCEEDINGS COSTS.
Plff. Deft.

June 24 1886 Complaint Affidavit and undertaking
on Attachment filed and Summons
& Writ of Attachment with Copies of Summons
Writ and Complaint issued 600
July 10 Summons & Writ returned filed served & Twp July 7th 1886 30
" 19th Appearing by the Constable return
endorsed on the Summons are
file herein that the defendant N.D.
Gilbert was legally served with
summons at 3 o'clock this
County on the 9th day of July 1886
and more than the time allowed by
law having elapsed since such service
and the defendant having failed to
appear by answer or at all now
on motion of Plaintiff the default
of the defendant is hereby entered
and now on motion of Plaintiff and
in consideration of the facts and the
premises it is by the Court here ordered
and adjudged that the Plaintiff M. J. Donahoe
has judgment against and recovers from
the defendant N.D. Gilbert the sum of
\$36.13 together with the costs of this action
taped to date at Justice's Court \$8.25
Constable fees to \$5.90 total judgment \$50.28
Done in open Court this 19th day of July 1886
J. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

1886
Aug 16th Execution issued for \$51.53
\$9.75

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF HesnerAction On accountCapt Sound Lumber Company
PlaintiffDemand \$ 898.00Chas E Strivers
DefendantRobert Stuart
Attorney for PlaintiffAttorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

1886
June 24 Complaint filed and summons with
copies of summons & complaint issued 250
" 28 ~~Summons~~ returned and filed served at 2 o'clock June 26th 25
July 7 It appearing by the Constables return
endorsed on the summons on file herein
that the defendant was legally served
with summons at this 2nd Corp. County of
Hesner on the 26th day of ~~June~~ June 1886
and more than the time allowed by
Law having elapsed since such service
and the defendant having failed to
appear by answer or at all now on
motion of plaintiff and in consideration
of the Law and the premises it is by
the Court ordered and default of the
defendant is hereby entered and
now on motion of plaintiff and in consideration
of the Law and the premises it is by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff
the Capt Sound Lumber Company have
Judgment against and recover from the
defendant Chas. E. Strivers the
sum of \$89,80 together with the costs
of this action being to date Justice's
Fees \$4.50 Constables Costs 1.00
Total Judgment ~~\$89.80~~ \$95.30
Done in open Court this 7th day of
July 1886

J. H. Cook Justice of the Peace

1886
Oct 41 Plf. pays \$4.50 J. P. Costs
" 15 October Execution issued for \$96.55

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

J. R. Bright genl
Bernard Shaw

Plaintiff

R. D. Hays

Defendant

Action On Account

Demand \$ 9.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

June 29 1886 Complaint filed and Summons with
copies of Summons & Complaint
issued

\$ 2.50

" " Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matter complained
of in the Complaint and pays all
costs and more to dismiss this
action

wherefor this action is
dismissed

Done in open Court this 26th day
of June 1886

J. H. Ford
Justice of the Peace

From Page 32 to 32 Vol 55 Sixth

725

July 13 Time for argument of Motion to set aside
default having arrived and Plaintiff
not appearing the Court on motion of
Def. considers the same whereupon
the Court finds the same insufficient
and overrules the same and allows
the defendant 2 days in which time to
file supplemental affidavits

25

25

25

July 17 Deft Makes and files Supplemental
affidavits

50

" 28 On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of defendant
an Motion to set aside judgment is set for July 31st 1886

25

25

Defendant failing to appear at their first
hearing by default an motion to set aside judgment
and default and after argument more than
one full hour the Court hears argument
on the part of Plaintiff on said motion and
after fully considering said motion and considering
Def's failure to appear to argue the same the Court
hereby overrules said motion and affirms said
done in open Court this 26th day of July 1886

25

25

25

Aug. 12th 1886. J. H. Ford, Justice of the Peace, Co. of California.

See page 32 Margin

Done

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,OF THE State of California COUNTY OF FresnoThe People of the State of California vs. John R. Small
Plaintiff Demand \$ 1000.00John R. Small
DefendantJ. A. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

- June 28 1886 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
- " " Alias Warrant issued on demand of Plaintiff
- July 8 Alias Warrant issued on demand of Plaintiff
- " 22 Alias Warrant returned and defendant brought into Court represented by Counsel. On his motion the Court orders that the defendant be admitted to bail in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars and on default of such bail defendant is committed to Sheriff of Fresno County until he give such bail pending his examination which on his motion is set for Thursday July 29th 1886 @ 9 o'clock Am.
- " 27 On motion of Plaintiff and consent of defendant the order setting this case for trial is hereby set aside and vacated.
- " 27 Bail given Bond approved and filed

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shesno

Action On

Rott W. Holt

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 48.44
1.00

G. M. Griffith

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

June 29 Complaint filed and Summons with
Copies of Summons and Complaint served \$ 2.50
30 Summons returned & filed served at 9 AM June 30 25
July 1 Defendant files Answer 25
3 On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for
trial for July 6th 1886 @ 9 AM. 25
4 On motion of Defendant and Consent of
Plaintiff this case is set for trial for and
Continued to July 7th 1886 @ 9 AM. 25
7 10 20 AM. Having waited more than one
full hour full hour after the time
set for the trial of this action and the
Defendant having failed to appear
herein now on motion of Plaintiff
the default of the defendant as hereby
entered and now on motion of Plaintiff 25
the Court proceeds to the trial of this
action Rott W. Holt sworn and Examined 25
Plaintiff Rests 1.00
Having fully considered the law and
the premises it is by the Court here ordered 75
and adjudged that the Plaintiff Rott W.
Holt have judgment against and recover
from the defendant G. M. Griffith
the sum of Forty eight 100 Dollars
together with the cost of this action
being to date further costs 75
Costs to be paid 1.00
Witness fees total judgment \$55.89
Done in open Court this 7th day of July, 1886
C. H. Short
Justice of the Peace
July 7 ten days stay of proceedings granted

July 8 Last Dec. sworn & filed
9 Defendant makes and files affidavit and
notice of motion to set aside default
and the same is filed for hearing for
July 15th 1886 See page 29
July 27 Plaintiff files affidavit and order made requiring deft to appear and testify
Answering his property on Aug 3rd 1886 @ 10 AM. Aug with copies See Page 34
of Consent and an motion of deft this hearing is fixed for Aug 25th @ 9 AM.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuba

Action On account

Chas. Hodgdon

Plaintiff

Demand \$23,60

W. D. Gady

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Gady

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

June 30 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking
an Attachment filed and Summons
and Writ of Attachment with copies of
Summons, Writ and Complaint issued \$6.00
July 3 Summons and Writ of Attachment returned & filed served July 2 50
" 6 Defendant denies generally each and
every allegation of Plaintiff's Complaint 25
" 13 On motion of Plaintiff this case is
set for trial for Friday July 16th 1886 25
" 16 At 1 o'clock AM Defendant notified by 25
" 16 On motion of Plaintiff and pursuant of defendant 25
this case is continued to 9 AM July 19th 1886 25
July 19 On motion of defendant and pursuant of 25
Plaintiff this case is continued to July 22nd 1886 @ 9 AM 25
" 22 Parties in Court and ready for trial
Chas. Hodgdon sworn and examined 25
Plaintiff Rests 1.75
Defendant Rests Case without introducing 0.25
any evidence Case submitted without argument
Having fully considered the law and the
facts it is by the Court her orders
and adjudged that the Plaintiff Chas
Hodgdon have judgment against and
recover from the defendant W. D. Gady the
sum of thirty three hundred and six dollars \$33.60
together with the costs of this action
taped to date at Justice's Court 10.25
Court fees Costs 3.90 the sum 16.50
Total judgment 50.10 in days stay granted
Done in open Court this 22nd day of July 1886
J. H. Short Justice of the Peace
July 22 Cost Bill sworn to & filed

July 28 Defendant files notice of appeal
and orders transcripts to be made up of \$10.00 per transcript
Sept 6th On motion of Defendant transcripts made up and transmitted to Clerk of Superior Court County of Yuba
Balance \$22.50

Unsworn to property on July 3rd 1886 @ 10 ac Am with copies
of Complaint and an motion of Defendant hearing is fixed for July 25th @ 9 AM

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Essex

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Mellie Billings

Defendant

Action For Grand Larceny

Demand \$

J. W. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Def.
July 1 ¹⁸⁸⁶	Complaint filed and Warrant issued		
" 1	Warrant returned and filed and defendants brought into Court and an order of Bail committed to Sheriff C		
July 2	On motion of the defendant this case is set for trial for July 3 rd 1886 @ 9 am Subpoena issued		
" 3	On motion of James W. Daly District Attorney this action is dismissed and the Court orders that a new complaint be filed charging the defendant with grand larceny Done in open Court this 3 rd day of July 1886		

J. W. Daly

Justice of the Peace

Aug 28 th 1886	From page 29 to 32 Holt's Digest	11 65	
"	McGriffith sworn and examined concerning the property This case adjourned to 4 P.M.	25	
"	Examination continued and defendant ordered to produce Court certain Bills and accounts and this Examination continued to Sept 10 th 1886 @ 10 am to which time the Court takes under advisement the question as to whether the watch of Deft is exempt from execution or not and orders said deft to produce said watch in Court at said time and not to transfer or dispose of any property which he may own or incumber to the prejudice of this judgment	25	
"	Total judgment to date \$3.29	13 15	
"	Costs accrued to date	100	
"		114 15	

See next Page 35

See Page 35
Sept 2nd Reading Mrs. Schmal for Deft

No. 33

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Action of False Personation

Demand \$

Pat Wray

v.

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

July 1 1886
" 1 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 1 Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and on default of Bail
is committed to Sheriff on his motion this
" 1 Case is set for 3 P.M. this day
3 P.M. Parties in Court and ready
for trial Ad Proter sworn and
Examined Plaintiffs Resn
Emil Huydenroff Annie Lee
Chas Bradley and Pat Wray sworn and
Examined Defendants Resn
Having fully considered the law
and the premises it is by the Court here
ordered and adjudged that the defendant be
discharged
Done in open Court this 1st day of July 1886
J. H. Daly
District of Peace

From Page 34 No 32 Abel vs Griffith
Sept 10 By stipulation and consent the matter
pending herein are indefinitely
Continued Assignment of accounts
Made by Deft and filed
" 20 \$5.25 Paid on this Judgment being amt of demand
Collected in Griffith vs Shumaker
Bal due on J. O. Cost 6.40

13 15 Piff Cr
By Cash
from
Griffith
25 2.00
25
13 60

Sept 2nd Credit of Mrs. Behrman for \$44.25

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The Buchach Producing
and Manufacturing Co
Plaintiff

Action On Promissory Note

Demand \$ 221²⁶ and interest @ 8%
sent from April 1st 1886 and 25¢ at p. m.
Wm. H. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm. Williams
vs.
Wm. Williams
Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Def.
July 1 st 1886	Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking in Attachment filed and summons, writ Attachment with copies of summons writ and Complaint issued	6 00	
" 9	Summons returned and filed served at 3 rd Twp. July 8 th	25	
" 13	Defendant orally demurs to Plaintiff's Complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to establish cause of action	25	
" 16	On motion of Plaintiff for argument on demurrer is fixed for July 20 th 1886 Monday @ 9 AM.	25	
" 20	On argument of James Demurrer is overruled and defendant allowed four days in which time to answer & a partial failure of consideration	25	
" 26	James moves the defendant and pays into Court a sum in full of all demands herein and all costs wherefore this action is dismissed Done in open Court July 26 th 1886 J. H. Church Justice of the Peace	25	
Demand \$221.25 J. & Int. 4.45 Constables 5.70 at p. fee 238.90 <u>151.00</u> <u>253.90</u>			

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

L. N. Martell
v.
L. Currier
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action Re Claim & Delivery of
Personal Property
Demand \$ 160 and \$ 50 Damages

Wharton D. Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Def.
July 1 1886	Complaint and affidavit on Claim and Delivery of Personal Property filed and Summons with copies of summons affidavit and Undertaking and Complaint issued	533	
" 6	Defendant files Answer	25	
"	On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendant	25	
"	The case is set for trial for July 12 th 1886 @ 2 P.M.	25	
" 12	Subpoena with 2 copies issued for Defendant	100	
" 14	Subpoena returned & served & filed	50	
"	But Buchert sworn and Examined	25	
"	Bill of sale offered and admitted in Evidence L. N. Martell sworn and Examined	25	
"	L. Currier Rott, Smith & C. W. Larson sworn and Examined 2 Bills of Sale offered and admitted in evidence	75	
"	Defendant's Rec & Argument heard	50	
"	and Case submitted and taken under advisement	1085	
July 16	Having fully considered the law and the facts in the case the Court has rendered orders and adjudged that the Plaintiff L. N. Martell have judgment against and recover from the Defendant L. Currier the sum of \$192.18 the said Barley hay in 78 Bales; said hay now being in the possession of the Constable of this Court and taken by virtue of process issued in this action and the said Constable is ordered to deliver the possession of said hay to said plaintiff and that said plaintiff recover of and from said defendant the costs of this action taxed to date at Fresno Court \$10.85 Constables Costs \$28.20 Witness fees total \$10.00 Total judgment due in a few cents this 16 th day of July 1886 J. H. Choate Justice of the Peace		
" 17	Cost Bill sworn to and filed		

In the Justice's Court of Thierville Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shasta

Melson Ralph and
Wm Forsythe
v.
C. H. Hawn
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action Rev. &c
Demand \$ 35,43
Bruce Canst
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Deft.
July 1 1886	Complaint filed and summons with copies of summons and complaint issued	250	
" 7	Summons returned and filed served at 3 ⁰⁰ P.M. July 7	20	
" 12	Defendant denies generally each and every allegation in Pet's Complaint by Telegram	20	
Oct 27	Plaintiff files Affidavit and Subpoena Attachment and Writ of Attachment with 1 Copy Issued	350	
" "	On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Saturday Oct 30 th 1886 @ 2 o'clock P.M.	25	25
Nov 1 st	Having waited more than the time required by Law for the defendant to appear after the time fixed for the trial of this action and said defendant having failed to appear now on motion of Plaintiff the Court proceeds to hear evidence and to try this issue. Mr. Henry & Wm Forsythe sworn and examined Pet's Rest Having fully considered the Law and the premises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Melson Ralph and Wm Forsythe have judgment against and recover from the defendant C. H. Hawn the sum of \$35.43 with 80 interest due thereon and the costs of this action taxed to date at Justice's Costs \$8.75 Courtroom Costs \$4.80 Witness fees 2.20 Total Judgment \$51.28 Given in open Court this 1 st day of November 1886 C. H. Hawn Justice of the Peace Execution issued for \$3.23 See Page 39		

Nov 15 1886
Execution issued for

Carstap's Case \$40.88 Part Payment Dec 1886

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF ChesandThe People of the State Action For Debt & Recovery
of CaliforniaMelie Billings

Plaintiff

Demand \$

J. W. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

July 2^d 1886 Complaint filed and Defendant being in Court no warrant issued Defendant waives bond pleads not guilty waives jury and proceeds immediately to trial J. H. Roberts and J. H. Hartson sworn and examined Plaintiff's Rest. Pat. Truemie Lee and Melie Billings sworn and examined Defendant's Rest. Argument heard and case submitted and taken under advisement until 4 o'clock P.M. 4 P.M. having considered all laws and the promises the Court finds the Defendant not guilty and orders that she be discharged.

Done in open Court this 2^d day of July 1886

J. H. Roberts

1886
Nov 4 From page 38 no 38 N. R. R. to Forsyth's - C. H. Hawn
" " On motion of Plaintiff and on filing Affidavit of Plff's Atty. Order Subpoening Cashier of First National Bank of Fresno made and issued with Copies of Order & Affidavit 80
" 4 Order returned and filed served 25
" 6 W. H. James Cashier First National Bank of Fresno in Court and sworn and examined concerning any property belonging to defendant C. H. Hawn in the possession of said Bank Questions asked and answers of witness taken in writing and filed herein By Order of the Court Papers showing Hawn's credits in said Bank exhibited in Court and said Bank ordered not to do so as of or after 10:30 a.m. of this session any property belonging to said Hawn 100 1230 &

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of David Township,
 OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chico

Action On Note and AccountW. Donahoe

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 230.75Geo. W. Smith

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

¹⁸⁸⁶
 July 3^d Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking
 an attachment filed and summons
 writ of attachment with copies of summons
 writ and complaint issued

6.00

" 24^d Writ of attachment returned & filed

25-

" 26^d Summons returned & filed per July 10th at 10th Court

25-

\$6.50

No. 41

In the Justice's Court of Lincoln Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action on Promissory Note

M. W. Muller

Plaintiff

v.

J. G. Lowmy

Defendant

Demand \$ 61.60 and Interest
Rhodes & Sheward
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

July 3 ¹⁸⁵⁶ Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking
in Attachment filed and summons
and writ of attachment with \$600
summons writ and complaint issued
" 3d Comes now Plaintiff and moves to
dismiss this action the same
having been settled wherefore
this action is dismissed as
Plaintiff Costs the same being
justly due \$630 and Amended
Costs \$3.00 Costs not paid
Demand open Court this 3d
day of July 1856
\$630 J. P. Costing Paid
Washed up the Race

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action For Rent

Chas Dine

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 130.00

Mr Jm and Ah Eye

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

July 6 1886 Complaint, affidavit and Undertaking
on Attachment filed and Summons
and writ of Attachment with copies of
Summons, Writ and Complaint issued
" 16 Summons returned and filed served @ 3rd July 12th
" 19 It appearing by the Constable's return
endorsed on the Summons on file
in this action that the defendant and
each of them were legally served with
Summons at this 3rd July County of
Fresno on the 12th day of July 1886 and
more than the time allowed by law having
elapsed since such service and that
defendants and each of them having failed
to appear by answer or at all hold an
motion of plaintiff for default of the
defendants and of each of them is hereby entered
and now on motion of plaintiff and in
consideration of the law and the premises
It is by the Court here ordered and adjudged
that the plaintiff Chas Dine have judgment
against and recover from the defendants
Mr Jm and Ah Eye the sum of
One hundred and thirty dollars (\$130)
together with the costs of this action
taped to date at Justice's Court \$9.00
Constable's Costs \$7.20 Referee \$14.40
Total Judgment \$160.70
Done in open Court this 19th day of July 1886
J. H. O. Throck
Deputy Clerk of Court

\$6.00
60
25

25
50
25
1.00

\$88.50
25
\$113.50

July 19 Execution issued for \$161.95

\$10.35 due on J.C. Cost

1887 July 9th Jm and Ah Eye - S.H. Hills acting J.P.

Any 1st Jan 1886 Jm and Ah Eye Bail sworn to & filed
S.H. Hills J.P.

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

J. O. Vincent
v.
A. H. Lynn

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action On acc for Medical Services

Demand \$ 52.48 Interest

E. W. Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

July 6 1886 Complaint affidavit and undertaking in attachment filed and summons and writ of attachment with copies of summons and complaint issued

600

Aug 2 Summons returns filed served at 3rd hosp July 31st

25

" Drft filed answers

25

" On motion of Plaintiff and consent of Defendant this case is set for trial for Aug 9th 1886 @ 9 AM

25

" By consent this case is continued to Sat for trial for Aug 17th 1886 @ 1 o'clock

25

Ans, Drft answers to a jury Subpoena with 3 copies and venire issued for Drft

145

50

17 Subpoena & venire returned and filed

50

17 Subpoena issued for Drft

25

Jury sworn to answer questions The following jurors sworn to try this case, W. Beall, Thos Cook, Fred Bartlett & Williams being four jurors with which number the parties agree to try this case J. O. Vincent & Dr. D. D. D. sworn & examined by Plff. Rest A. J. Reddard & A. H. Lynn sworn and examined by Drft. Both arguments heard and case submitted to jury who after deliberating return the following verdict:

"We the jury in this case do find that J. O. Vincent allow the Plaintiff thirty six dollars \$36.00 for services rendered" signed J. W. Beall foreman. Verdict filed this Aug 17th 1886 In accord with the above verdict and in consideration of the law and the promises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff J. O. Vincent has judgment against and recover from the Defendant A. H. Lynn the sum of \$36 together with the costs of this action to date at which date \$16.20 Available costs \$15.00 Jury fees \$8 Total judgment \$59.20 ten days delay of bringing in and paying Abstract of judgment made for J. O. Vincent in above Court this 1st day of Aug 1886 J. H. Court Master of the Court

Plaintiff pays this judgment in full Aug 24th 1886 \$76.80

Aug 1st 1886 J. O. Vincent Bill sworn to & filed Paid Risley \$44 x Remuneration \$22.50 = \$47.25- Credits Remuneration with J. O. Vincent to \$76.00

No. 44

In the Justice's Court of Chico Township,
 OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Paul J. Jones

Defendant

Action

For Recovery of a
Public Document

Demand \$

J. A. Daley

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

July 7, 1886
Complaint filed and Warrant issued

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Thousand*

Action *For Work**A J. Ordogia*

Plaintiff

*Richard James and
Nellie S. Cook*

Defendant

Demand \$ *24.00 and* *July 12th 1886* *W. H. Harris* *Shaw*

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. H. Harris

Attorney for Defendant

W. H. Harris for Nellie S. Cook

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Plf.	Def.
July 10	Summons issued on filing of Complaint with 2 copies of summons and 1 of Complaint	310	
"	Defendant files demurrers		25
" 16	Summons returned & filed served		25
" 17	Come now Ogley and Harris atty for Plaintiff Drft Nellie S. Cook and demurs generally to Plaintiff Complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
" 20	Come motion of Plaintiff the time for hearing argument of Drft's demurrers is fixed for Thursday July 22 nd 1886	25	
"	Also come motion of Plff demurrers of Drft Cook set for same time	25	
July 22	Ward and Grady atty for Drft's failing to appear at time set for argument of demurrers the Court after considering the same overrules the same and allows drft 2 days in which time to answer	25	25
"	Ogley and Harris atty for Drft N. S. Cook failing to appear to argue demurrers filed herein the Court after considering the same overrules said demurrers and allows said Drft 2 days in which time to answer	25	25
			<i>\$6.35</i>

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State of OhioOF FranklinCOUNTY OF FranklinAction On note

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 200.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

July 12¹⁸⁸⁶ Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking
 an Attachment filed and returned
 and writ of attachment with a writ
 of summons, writ and complaint issued
 " 13th An motion of Plaintiff this
 action is dismissed settled
 \$3 Justices cost paid by Plaintiff
 done in open Court this 13th
 day of July 1886

6 00

J. H. O'Neil
 Justice of the Peace

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF San Jose

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

Ger. Morse

Defendant

Action Ex Grand Larceny

Demand \$

J. A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

July 12 1886
Complaint filed and Warrant issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action on note &c

S. C. Johnson
v.
W. Blom
Plaintiff
Defendant

Demand \$52.25

J. J. J. J.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

July 15 1886
Complaint filed and summons with
copies of summons & complaint issued 275
275

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action an

J. C. St John

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 11

v.

J. P. Luke

Defendant

A. J. Kinde

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

1886

July 13 Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking
on attachment filed Summons & writ
of attachment with copies of Summons
Writ and Complaint issued

6.00

19 Defendant files answer

25

21 On motion of Plaintiff Defendant the Case is set for
trial for Saturday July 24th 1886 @ 9 am.

25

24 On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendant

25

this Case is Continued to July 26th @ 9 am
with understanding that if not tried at that time,
to definitely fix ~~at that time~~

25

26 On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendant

25

this Case is set for Trial for Thursday Sept 1st 1886 @ 9 am

25

Sept 1 On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendant this Case is Continued to

25

Sept 27th 1886 @ 9 o'clock am.

25

27 Arrived in Court and ready for trial

J. C. St John sworn & examined

25

27 Summons & Writ returned filed Plaintiff's Rest

50

J. P. Luke & Philip Stuart sworn & examined

50

Defendant's Rest

1.75

Argument heard and case submitted

11.25

Having fully examined the laws and

2.50

the premises it is by the Court here ordered

13.75

and adjudged that the Plaintiff J. C. St John

13.75

take nothing by reason of this action

and that the Defendant J. P. Luke have

judgment against and recover from the

defendant Plaintiff J. C. St John this

Costs herein taxed to date at Dfts to J. P.

Costs \$3.00 Witness fees \$2.20 Total judgment \$6.20

Dfts to Capitalize Costs \$1.25

ten days stay of proceedings from

Done in open Court this 27th day of Sept 1886

J. D. Ford Justice of the Peace

Sept 27th 1886 Cost Dft sworn & filed
Oct 26th 1886 Defendant files Notice of and Undertaking on appeal and
papers on appeal made up & Dr. transmitted to Clerk Superior Court Fresno County

No. 50

In the Justice's Court of *El Dorado* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Plumas*

Action *Quo Vadit*

J. S. Macfarland Plaintiff
v.
J. W. Macfarland Defendant

Demand \$ *98 + 2/3*

Whitman Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

July 15 1886

*Complaint filed and summons with copies
of summons and complaint issued*

250
250

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California

Action for assault with intent to commit murder

Loek King

Plaintiff

Demand \$

John Daly & Wharton Shaw

Attorney for Plaintiff

Supper & Purpus

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE
1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

July 19 Com plaint filed and Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the Charge against him and
of his rights in the premises
whereupon he pled not guilty and was
committed on default of Bail to the Sheriff
pending his examination
" The Court orders that the defendant be admitted
to Bail in the sum of One Thousand Dollars
Bail from Bond approved and filed 400

In the Justice's Court of *Thi* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of California Plaintiff
v. *Ch Jack* Defendant
Action *For Assault with*
intent to Commit Murder
Demand \$ *100*
J. A. Daly & W. H. Burton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

July 19 *Law plain filed and Warrant issued*
Warrant returned and file ^{not} found and
alias Warrant issued

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

John Doe
Alias Ah Len

Defendant

Action

for Assault with intent to commit Murder

Demand \$

John Daly & Wharton Shaw

Attorney for Plaintiff

Supper & Supper

Attorney for Defendant

DATE *1886*

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

July 19 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 19 Warrant returned and filed this debt not found
and this Warrant issued
" 19 Alias Warrant returned and defendant
brought and by order of the Court
is admitted to Bail in the sum
of \$250. Bail given Bond approved filed 400

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
 OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Sierra

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action Battery

Demand \$

v.
A. L. Cook

Defendant

J. S. Daly & W. H. Harlow & J. H. Reed

Attorney for Plaintiff

Thos. J. Puffer

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 7/8/86

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

July 19 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
 " " Warrant returned and filed and Defendant
 brought into Court and an application
 the Court orders that the deft be admitted
 to bail in the sum of \$100 Bail given
 Bond approved and filed

4 00

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

John Neas

Plaintiff

G. James

Defendant

Action on Account of work & labor

Demand \$ 14

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

July 20¹⁸⁸⁶ Complaint filed & Summons with Copies
of Summons & Complaint issued

" 21 Summons returned filed & served at 3rd of July 21st 1886

" 22 James now the defendant and pays into
Court a sum in full of all demands
and all costs

Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 22nd day of July 1886

Demand \$14

Costs

4.

\$18

J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

250

25

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action *See Account*

A. A. Kirby

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 247.00

*Herr Stoppel auf Glatz v. Grobmann d. H. Rodla
vma Leonar d. M. Clemyer u. J. Heber
J. Mitram u. P. Rott Bode drei
witzer vom d. Fall aus Henry Mailes
Defendant*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
			Pf.	Def.
July	21	Complaint filed by Plaintiff with 2 copies of summons and of complaint issued by Mailed & Kirby at New York	3	25
Aug	17	Summons returned filed and at 11 July 28 th 1886		25
"	18	It appearing by the affidavit of service of summons endorsed on the summons of file herein that the defendants John Kodda and C. Notmann were duly and legally served with summons at the city and County of San Francisco Calif on the 28 th day of July 1886 and more than the time allowed by law having elapsed since such service and the defendants having failed to appear herein by answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff the default of the ^{said} defendants and of each of them is hereby entered	25	25
"	19	Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the law and the premises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff C. N. Kirby has judgment against and recovers from the defendants John Kodda and C. Notmann the sum of Two Hundred and forty four and 36/100 Dollars 244 ³⁶ /100 together with the costs of this action taxed to date at Justice's costs \$5 ⁷⁵ /100 Constables fees and service fee \$2.00 Total judgment \$272.76 Done in open Court this 19 th day of August 1886 J. H. Ford Justice of the Peace Cost Bill sworn to & filed	100	525
Oct	9	This judgment is fully satisfied J. H. Ford		

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

R. B. Lucas

Plaintiff

Action on account

Demand \$ 15

M. Mitrovich

Defendant

Charles Stewart
Attorney for Plaintiff

Ward Gady
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
July 22 Complaint filed and summons with
copies of summons & complaint issued 250
" " Summons returned & filed served @ 3rd July 23rd 25
" 24 Defendant files answer & offer to allow judgment 25
" 27 On motion of plaintiff and consent of defendant
this case is set for trial for July 28th 1886 @ 25
Taclock Defendant demands a jury
" 28 Subpoena with 2 copies issued for Plaintiff 100
" " Return issued 50
" " Subpoena with 2 copies issued for Deft 145
" 28 Return returned and filed 25
" " Three Subpoenas returned and filed 75
Jury sworn to answer questions 50
The following jurors sworn to try this case
Geo M. Kohler J. O. Welsh McMe
Williams King four jurors with which
both the parties agree to try this case 50
R. B. Lucas D. M. Lardner W. S. Bouton
G. Rupert and J. Harris sworn and examined them 126
rulings Plaintiff rests 275
M. Mitrovich sworn and examined Defendant 25
rest R. B. Lucas recalled in rebuttal 150
Costs rest argument heard jury committed to
sworn officer and after deliberating returned the
following verdict "Resolved July 28th 1886
We the Jurors find for the plaintiff nine Dollars & 10^{cts}
and with the above costs and fees in and out of
court and the expenses of the Court here
or there and agreed that the plaintiff R. B. Lucas
have judgment against and recover from Defendant
M. Mitrovich the sum of nine Dollars and whereas
from the finding this action Defendant offered in writing
to allow Plaintiff to take judgment against him for ten
Dollars and costs at time of filing of this and Plaintiff
having recovered less than ten Dollars and by the
Court here ordered that the Plaintiff be allowed
the sum of ten Dollars and costs and that the Defendant
M. Mitrovich the sum of ten Dollars and costs and that
Justice Costs Constables Costs
Total judgment
Dues and open Court this 28th day of July 1886
@ 10⁰⁰ o'clock
Justice of the Peace

See Page 28 of Court

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoThe People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

In Debt

Demand \$

J. V. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Marion Hamilton
vs.
Chas. Pyle

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

July 23¹⁸⁸⁶ Complaint filed and Warrant issued

From Page 57 No 57 Lucas & Mitovich
 July 28 In accord with the foregoing verdict it
 is by the Court here ordered and adjudged
 that the Plaintiff R. B. Lucas have
 Judgment against and recover from the
 Defendant M. Mitovich the sum of
 nine dollars and when as the defendant
 before the trial of this action filed
 perin his offer in writing to allow Plaintiff
 to take Judgment against and recover
 for Ten Dollars with Costs to date of
 filing and whereas the Plaintiff did
 recover a less sum than Ten Dollars
 wherefore it is by the Court here ordered
 and adjudged that the Plaintiff Defendant
 M. Mitovich have Judgment against and
 recover from the Plaintiff R. B. Lucas
 the sum of Costs of this action taxed to
 date at practice Costs \$14.25
 Custables fee \$3.60 Witness fee \$4.40

Total Judgment in favor of Def \$22.25
 Done in open Court this 28th
 day of July 1886

J. H. Chubb
 Justice of the Peace

"	" Post Bill sworn to & filed	14 25
"	" Plaintiff files and verifies Post Bill and also files	50
	Notice of Motion to set aside verdict	25
	Motion to be made Aug 3 rd 1886	
"	300 Def files Notice of Motion to set aside	25
"	See Page 59	15 25

No. 59

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of California

Action for Murder

Al Ling

Plaintiff

Demand \$

J. J. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

July 27 1886
from plaint filed and Warrant issued

Aug 3 1886 from Page 58 No 57 Lucas vs Mitrover
Parties in Court Dept objects to ~~affidavit~~ ^{Motion} 15 25
to set aside judgment The Court after considering 25
the same orders Poff to file forthwith a 25
~~memorandum for ~~set aside~~ ^{Motion}~~ ^{Motion} affidavit Curry defects in
original motion
Motion filed time for hearing arguments on 25
the same fixed for Aug 9th 1886 @ 9 AM 25
" 6 by consent argument on motion to be heard Aug
7th 1886 @ 9 AM.
" 7 Motion coming up for hearing argument
heard and motion overruled and judgment 25
affirmed 25
Done in open Court this 7th day of Aug 1886 6 75
J. H. Short

Justice of the Peace

" 7 Defendant files notice of and undertaking
an appeal and papers made up to
be transmitted to Clerk of the Superior
Court this County
" 24 Papers filed with Clerk

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Geo Seropian

Plaintiff

Action

For Debt & Damages

Demand \$ 20

C. H. Hawn

Defendant

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

July 28 1886

Complaint filed and summons with copy of summons & complaint issued

250

" " Summons returned & filed served

25

" 30 Defendant demurs to all the allegations in Plaintiff's complaint

25

Aug 2 On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Aug 11th 1886 @ 9 AM Subpoena issued for Plaintiff

25

50

" 6 By consent this case is continued indefinitely and set for trial for Aug 18th 1886 @ 9 AM

" 18 The defendant herein having been duly notified on the 6th of Aug 1886 by Postal Card addressed in his name and duly deposited in the United States Post Office in this City on said day that the case was set for trial for this day at 9 o'clock AM. and more than one full hour having elapsed since such time and now on motion of Plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered and on motion of Plaintiff the Court proceeds to hear evidence and try this cause. Geo. Seropian Deft. Agitorian and J. M. Seropian sworn and examined by Plaintiff's Rest.

Having fully considered the law and the premises it is by the Court duly ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Geo. Seropian have judgment against and recover from the defendant C. H. Hawn the sum of \$20, together with the costs of this action taxed to date of hearing \$6.50 (instated \$1.00) Witness fees \$4.00 Total judgment \$32.30

Done in open Court this 18th day of Aug 1886

Costs Bill sworn to and filed - J. H. Shaw Justice of the Peace

Aug 30 Judgment entered for \$32.30

Aug 24th 1886

This judgment satisfied

Wharton & Shaw atty's Plff

July 29th 1886 Dr. Seropian files notice of appeal from judgment entered and appeal bond of \$1000 is filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Louis Kahn

Plaintiff

Action

On account of work and
Labor

Demand \$ 42.

Isaac Thud

Defendant

W. Dwyer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
July 28Complaint, affidavit and Undertaking and
Attachment filed and Summons and writ of
Attachment with a pre-arrest summons
and writ issued6 00
100Defendant orally denies generally each
and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint
On motion of Defendant and consent of Plaintiff
that case is set for trial for July 29th @ 9 am

25

25

25

Subpoena with two copies issued for Plff

100

Subpoena returned & filed

25

Summons returned and filed

25

X. Kahn & H. H. Hill sworn and ex. ruling
Plaintiff Rests

75

J. Thud sworn and examined Def. Rests

25

Argument heard and case submitted

Def. moves to dismiss on the ground that
no value of services has been proven

25

Plff. atty ask to recall Plaintiff motion taken
under advisement and adj. taken to 11:30

25

11:30 Permission granted and Plff. recalled

25

O. M. Clam sworn and ex. by Def.

25

Isaac Thud recalled & re-examined

1 75

Having fully considered the law and the premises

it is by the Court her order and adjudge

that the Plaintiff Louis Kahn recover from the Defendant Isaac Thud

the sum of \$26.25 together with the costs of

this action taxed to date at Justice's Cost \$3.05

Guaranteed Costs \$1.00

Total Judgment \$37.50

Done in open Court this 29th day of July 1886

C. F. O. Court

Justice W. Peace

July 29th 1886 Defendant files notice of appeal and
appeal cost Bill sworn to & filed. Def. pays all costs and
provision appeal made up to 18.85 paid by Def.
All costs July 23.85 paid by Def.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoThe People of the State of California Action vs Hand Larsen

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Geo Rasmussen and
Chris Larsen

Defendant

J. D. Daly & Wharton Phad
Attorney for PlaintiffS. J. Hends
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

July 28 1886 Papers in case Hand Larsen vs Docket
received from J. M. Johnson J.P.
1st Twp Fresno County & filed

" 30 By motion of Defendant and Consent of
Plff. this case is set for Examination for
Aug 16th 1886 Monday @ 9 o'clock AM.
Aug 16th Court returned and filed by Consent
this case is continued to 11 am

" 17 L. C. Russo and R. E. Tennant appointed
and sworn as Interpreters in this case
H. Seabart appointed shorthand reporter
Mr. Corso, Manuel Morino John Trohn
and J. H. Elam sworn and examined
Grand Jury of Corso and section of trial of horse
accused & had been stolen & found and
admitted in evidence

Plaintiff's Rest

Defendant rests case without argument
It appearing to the Court that the offense
in the Complaint mentioned has been
committed and that there is sufficient
cause to believe the within mentioned
George Rasmussen & Chris Larsen
properly & Chris Larsen's surety thereof
& order that they and each of them
be ~~admitted to bail~~ held to answer to
the same and that they be admitted
to Bail in the sum of \$250.00 each
in separate Bonds and are committed
to the Sheriff of Fresno until they
give such Bail

Done in open Court this 17th day
of Aug 1886 J. H. Seabart Justice of the Peace

No. 63

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuma

<u>Ransom Mc Lape</u>		Action <u>On account</u>
Plaintiff		
v.		
<u>J. S. Elliott</u>		Demand \$ <u>42</u>
Defendant		
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Def.
July 29 ¹⁸⁸⁶	Complaint filed and summons with copy of summons & complaint issued	250	
Aug 16	Comes and the defendant and pays demands and all costs wherefore this action is dismissed Done in open Court this 16th day of August 1886	50	300 Pl
Aug 16	<u>72 Paid to R Mc Lape</u> <u>Justice of the Peace</u>		
Sept 3 ¹⁸⁸⁶	From Page 66 to 66 Miles ss Clerk	525	
"	" Debt filed answers	25	
"	4 On motion of Plff and consent of defendant this case is set for trial for 10 o'clock AM Monday Sept 20th 1886	25	
"	20 Ben Miles sworn and examined	25	
	Defendant moves for a nonsuit on the ground that Plaintiffs evidence shows a partnership transaction which is now settled Argument heard on motion and it appearing to the Court the matters herein indispute grow out of an unsettled partnership transaction between Plaintiff and defendant	25	
	Motion granted and this action dismissed at Plaintiffs costs, J.P. costs	675	
	Done in open Court this 20th day of September 1886	700	Costs added
	<u>Justice of the Peace</u>	1375	

No. 64In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF _____Action Cow AccountGeo Cole

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 317.25W. B. Balth

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

July 31st 1886
Complaint filed

75

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

Action Am Go

R B Johnson
Plaintiff

Demand \$ 3086
 120

John v. So Rosa Plaint

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE _____

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

DATE 1886
Aug 2

2 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking an
Attachment filed January 10. It is attached
with copies of Summons, Complaint issued

$$\begin{array}{r} 600 \\ 100 \end{array}$$

礼

礼

Oct

2 ~~sums~~ returned of file serial

2^d Amrs now the plaintiff and acknowledge
satisfaction of the mtd used on in
the complaint and pay \$5 J. P. Coste
Wherefore the action is dismissed
Done in open Court the 2^d day of
October 1886

er 1856
P. H. Ford
District of Columbia

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Ben Hillen
Plaintiff
v.
Geo Frost
Defendant

Action On account
Demand \$ 205
J. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff
Wharton Webb
Attorney for Defendant

DATE
1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff. Deft.

Aug 2^d Complaint filed and summons issued
Copies of summons and complaint issued 2 50
" 4th Summons returned filed served under writ Aug 3^d 25
" 13th In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering, having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired, and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law -
" Now at this day on application of J. H. Rhodes, attorney for Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein -
" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Ben Hillen do have and receive of and from the defendant Geo Frost the sum of One hundred and fifty dollars the amount due for and the further sum of Eleven and 50 Cents necessary incurred in the prosecution of this action, all in lawful money of the United States -
" Judgment for the Principal - 20.50
" " " " " " " " " " 4.50
" " " " " " " " " " " 7.00
" Total amount of judgment. \$ 32.06 32.06

J. H. Rhodes J. P. 3.3.

By S. H. Hill J. P. 3.3.

Plaintiff Acting -

Sept 1886

3^d An motion of Defendant and present of Defendant The defendant is not liable and ordered and do not account to answer

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Dr. Lewis
v.

Plaintiff

Marion Ogilvie
Defendant

Action On

Demand \$ 25.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Aug 2 Complaint, affidavit and undertaking with attachment
return and summons, with copies of summons and attachment
writ of attachment with copy issued
" Summons returned and filed at 3rd of Aug 5th
" \$25 paid an account of this judgment & costs
Costs paid Tanning
Aug 17 \$25 Paid to Tanning by Ogilvie
Aug 21 \$30 Paid to Tanning for Lewis
Sept 8 \$10 - X 10 = 25 Paid to Lewis Sept 13th
" Plaintiff's demand is a nullity
fully settled when for this action
is dismissed
Done in open Court Sept 8th 1886
J. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

6⁰⁰

25

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action An Note

Leon F. Cumis

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 20 & interest

Whastons & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thomas Remy

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Aug 3 Complaint filed and summons with Chies
of summons & complaints issued 250
Error 16 Summons returned & filed served at 3⁰⁰ p.m. 25
on Aug 19 19 Defendant orally answers to plaintiff's complaint
on the ground that said complaint does not
state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action 25
19 Summons returned & filed served 25
23 On motion of Plff the summons is set for
hearing for Aug 24th 1886 @ 10 am 25
24 By consent summons is overruled and def
allowed 2 days in which to file his answer 25
24 Defendant orally denies each and every allegation
and says that all that he did was to attach to plaintiff's note from attached
in plaintiff's complaint and also orally
offers to allow judgment to be taken by plaintiff
against defendant for 17⁵⁰ and costs to date 25
28 Comes now the plaintiff and accepts of defendant's
" offers to allow judgment for 17⁵⁰ and costs 25
" wherefore in consideration of the law 100
and the promises it is by the Court
now ordered and adjudged that the
plaintiff Leon F. Cumis have judgment
against and recover from the defendant
Thomas Remy the sum of 17⁵⁰
together with the costs of this action
tated to date at justice's costs 6⁰⁰

Constables Costs \$2⁵⁰
Total judgment 26²⁵

Done in open Court this 28th of Aug 1886

J. H. Clark

Justice of the Peace

Aug 28 Assignment of judgment filed

Defendant pays \$6.25 balance on an
 paid 22nd of Aug 1886
 This judgment is fully satisfied

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On NoteAug Wiehe

Plaintiff

Demand \$

25 DollarsW. C. Merriman
Attorney for PlaintiffB. T. Lewis

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Aug 4 Complaint filed
Nov 9 On demand of Plaintiff, Summons with copies of Summons & Complaint issued 250
" 11 Summons returned and filed served Nov 10 1886 @ 25 25
" 16 It appearing by the Constables return endorsed on the Summons on file herein that the defendant was legally served with Summons at this 3rd Corp County of Fresno State of California on the 10th day of November 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law having elapsed since such service and the defendant having failed to appear by answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff and request the default of the defendant is hereby entered.
Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the Law and the premises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff ~~the sum of \$3900~~ Aug Wiehe have judgment against and recover from the defendant B. T. Lewis the sum of \$3900.00 being \$25 Principal and \$4 Interest due thereon and \$10 Attorney fee herein which the Court finds to be a reasonable fee for the prosecution of this action together with the costs of this action taxed to date at Justice's Court \$4.50
Constables Costs \$1.00
Total Judgment 44.50
Done in open Court this 16th day of Nov 1886
\$450
J. H. Smith Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Sevier Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Thiers

J. W. Ferguson
v.
D. S. Perry Jr

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action For unlawful
Detainer

Demand \$

J. G. Rhodes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

August 6 Complaint filed and summons issued
" 6 Summons returned & filed served at 3 P.M.

\$3.10
25

Aug. 12. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.

" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant having been duly entered according to law, now at this day on application of J. G. Rhodes, attorney for Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein.

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged - That the Plaintiff J. W. Ferguson do have and recover of and from the defendant D. S. Perry Jr. the sum of thirty seven and 50/100 dollars, being triple damages and red for the unlawful detention of the premises described in the Complaint herein to wit: Lots 30, 31, & 32, in Block 81, of the Town of Warm California and that the said premises be restored to said Plaintiff J. W. Ferguson, and said defendant D. S. Perry Jr. be dispossessed of the same forthwith - and for his costs taxed at five and 85/100 dollars necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action - in lawful money of the United States of America - J. G. Rhodes \$4,850 C. C. 100

Total Judgment \$43,35

13 Execution issued
\$6.15

J. A. Short - J. A. 33.
By S. H. Hill, J. A. 33.
Acting -

August 19 1886 Execution returned property restored to Plff
no property found out of which to satisfy judgment
J. G. Rhodes 6.10 Cash Paid 100

This judgment satisfied this 17th day

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

P. R. Hammy
Plaintiff

A. W. Root
Defendant

Action on Note

Demand \$ 240.23 and int on \$390.23 from
Jan 24th 1885 to June 25th 1886 and on 240.23 from
June 26th 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
August 6 Complaint, affidavit and undertaking are
attached and filed and summons and writ
of attachment with copies of summons and
complaint and writ issued
Sept 6th Summons returned & filed served at 2nd sup. court Aug 2nd 1886 25'
" 20th It appearing that the summons in the
action was duly served on the defendants
and in the County of Fresno on the 2nd
day of August 1886 and more than the
time allowed by law having elapsed
since such service and the defendant
having failed to appear by answer or
at all, now on motion of Plaintiff
the default of the defendant is hereby
entered and now on motion of plaintiff
and in consideration of the law and
the premises it is by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff
P. R. Hammy have judgment against
and recover from the defendant
A. W. Root the sum of two hundred
seventy five 53 1/100 Dollars being \$240.23
Principal & \$35.30 interest due thereon
together with the costs of this action
tated to date at Justice's Court \$8.10
Court costs \$13.00
Total Judgment \$296.78
Done in open Court this 20th day
of September 1886

J. H. Ford
Justice of the Peace

17 Execution issued for \$300.23 \$950

This judgment & affidavit this 17th day
of April, 1889.
P. R. Hammy

No. 72

In the Justice's Court of Spring Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shasta

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action for Paul Ramsey

Demand \$

John Doe

v.

Defendant

J. S. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

August 7 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
See case of People vs. Rasmussen et al

3 00

2 00

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF Calif COUNTY OF Fresno

P. Rhodes

Plaintiff

Action On account

Demand \$ 13⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Erasmus & Petersen

Defendant

J. G. Rhodes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Aug 7 Complaint filed and Summons with
Copies of Summons & Complaint 250
11 Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together
with a copy of the Complaint on file herein in the County of Stanislaus
County State of California on the 9th day of Aug 1886, and filed -
Sept 2 It appearing by the Constable's return endorsed 25
on the Summons on file herein that the
Defendants, Erasmus Jorgensen and C. A. Petersen
were not legally served with Summons at
the County of Stanislaus State of California on
the 9th day of August 1886 and more than the
time allowed by law having elapsed since such
service and the defendants and each of them
having failed to appear herein by answer
or at all now on motion of Plaintiff 25
The default of the defendants and of
each of them is hereby entered and 50
Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration 25
of law and the premises it is by the Court 100
so ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff
P. Rhodes have judgment against and 475
recover from the defendants Jorgensen and 100
& Petersen, to wit Erasmus Jorgensen and C. A.
Petersen the sum of 13⁰⁰/₁₀₀ together with
the Costs of this action taxed to date at
Justice's Court Sheriff's fees 3⁷⁰/₁₀₀
Total Judgment 22⁵⁰/₁₀₀
Given in open Court this 2^d day of
September 1886

J. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

Judgment satisfied this 13th day of Sept 1886

Wm. H. Smith

J. G. Rhodes atty for P.

In the Justice's Court of Shirley Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shasta

Action for RentDemand \$ 33

Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

August 16 Complaint, affidavits, and indentating
an attachment, filed and returned
and writ of attachment with
affidavits, writ and complaint served 6⁰⁰
" 18 Summons returned filed served Aug 18th 1886 25⁰⁰
" 21 Defendant files demurrer at 10 am. 25⁰⁰
" 23 Demurrer set for argument for Aug 24th 1886 on motion of Plff 50⁰⁰
" 24 On answer of Defendant demurrer is
sustained
Defendant orally denies each and every
allegation in plaintiffs Complaint 25⁰⁰
" 26 On motion of Defendant this case is set
for trial for Saturday August 28th 1886 at 10 am.
" 28 Offer to allow judgment for 17 filed 25⁰⁰
" " Trial for J. Spinnery sworn & examined 25⁰⁰
Plaintiffs Rest
H. A. Pierce, Frank Miller, & Mrs M. J.
Hearnes sworn and examined 75⁰⁰
4 Rubings 100⁰⁰
28 Defendants Rest
Having fully considered the law and
the promises it is by the Court her
orders and adjudged that the plaintiff
J. Spinnery has judgment against and
recovers from the defendant H. A. Pierce
the sum of \$33⁰⁰ together with the costs
of this action taxed to date at justices
costs \$11²⁵ ³⁶ ¹⁰⁰ ¹⁰⁰
Total judgment \$50³⁶
Done in open Court this 28th day
of Aug 1886
Justice of the Peace
The days stay of proceedings granted

Aug 30 On objection sworn & filed
Sept 21 Perpetuators returned & filed
fully satisfied

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action for Work & Labor

Judd Belknap

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 23 25 00

Wm Garrison

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Aug 16 Complainant, affidavit and undertaking an
attachment filed and summons & writ of
attachment with copies of summons
Complainant's writ returned
Sept 30 It appearing by the Constable's return endorsed
on the summons on file herein that the defendant
Wm Garrison was legally served with summons
at 4th & Poplar County of Fresno on the 19th day of
August 1886 and more than the time allowed by
law having elapsed since such service and
said defendant Wm Garrison having failed to
appear herein by answer or at all and it
further appearing by said return that Wm Garrison
could not with diligent search be found in this
County now on motion of Plff the default of said
Def Wm Garrison is hereby entered
Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration
of the Law and the premises it is by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Judd
Belknap have judgment against and recover from
the defendant Wm Garrison the sum of \$25 ²⁵/₁₀₀
together with the costs of this action taxed to date
at Justice's Court \$25 ²⁵/₁₀₀ Constable's Costs \$3 ⁶⁰/₁₀₀
Total Judgment
Done in open Court this 22 day of September
1886

6 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀
50

26

25

25

1 00

8 25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

25

J. H. D. Smith
Justice of the Peace

Sept 30 Execution issued for \$48 ²⁵/₁₀₀
\$4 Paid into Court 25 ²⁵/₁₀₀ deposited for Plff
\$15 ⁶⁰/₁₀₀ Constable's Costs Paid Paying also \$4 balance
returnable to Deft Garrison Execution filed fully satisfied

Sept 22 to \$50 ²⁵/₁₀₀ amount
of judgment and original deposit
to secure same from Plff

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chesno

Action for work & laborDemand \$ 25Wharton & Shaw, Jr.
att Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Stephen Shahanian
PlaintiffArmonkian Sahankian
Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Aug 16 Complaint filed and summons with copies
of summons & complaint issued 230

23 Summons returned and filed served Aug 27th 1886 250
September 2nd It appearing by the Constable's return endorsed

on the summons on file herein that the
defendant was legally served with summons
at this 3rd sup. County of Fresno on the
21st day of August 1886 and more than
the time allowed by law having elapsed
since such service and the defendant
having failed to appear herein by answer
or at all now on motion of plaintiff
the default of the defendant is hereby
entered and now on motion of
plaintiff and in consideration
of the law and the premises it is
by the Court here ordered and adjudged
that the Plaintiff Stephen Shahanian
have judgment against and recover from
the defendant Armonkian Sahankian
the sum of \$25 together with the
costs of this action taxed to date at
instants are \$4.50 Qualifier's fee \$1.00
total judgment \$30.50
Done in open Court this 2nd day of
September 1886 C. W. Ford
Justice of the Peace

Sept 4th This Judgment is fully satisfied

In the Justice's Court of North Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuba

Action For Damages

Margaret Morgan Plaintiff
v.
O. J. Maule Defendant

Demand \$ 295

Simon J. E. Church Attorney for Plaintiff
Wm. T. Brady Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Def.
1886			
Aug 16	Complaint filed and summons with copies of summons and complaints issued	250	
" 18	Summons returned filed served Aug 17th 1886 @ 3 rd Sup	20	
" 31	Defendant orally denies generally generally each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint	25	
Nov 18	On motion of defendant this case is set for trial for Nov 23 rd 1886 @ 10 AM.	25	
" 23 rd	On motion of Plaintiff & consent of defendant this case is continued to 9 AM. Nov 24 1886	25	
" 24	Parties appear in court and Plaintiff applies for a continuance term agreement heard and matter taken under advisement until 2 PM this day	25	
Nov 26	After fully considering Plaintiff's application term for a continuance the court overrules the same and on Plaintiff's failure to prove his claim term or to go to trial on motion of defendant this action is dismissed at Plaintiff's cost	25	25
	Witness fees to Justices costs		500
	Witness fees to Total Judgment		
	Done in open Court this 26 th day of Nov 1886		
	<u>A. H. Smith</u> Justice of the Peace	Plaintiff's 345 Def's 125	} not Paid

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Essex

Action For work & labor

R. Crawford

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 70.75

W. S. Ballard and E. J. Hallenbeck
Doing business under
name of Ballard & Hallenbeck

Defendant

E. J. Griffith

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. J. Hinds

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Deft.
1886			
August 18	Complaint & Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons & Writ of Attachment with copy of Summons Writ and Complaint issued	3 00	
"	Summons returned filed served @ 3 rd Sep Aug 23 rd	3 00	6 00
"	27 Defendant demurs orally to plaintiff's Complaint on the ground that said Complaint shows no facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
10	On motion of Def the demurrer on futurum is set for hearing for Sept 14 th 1886 @ 9 AM	25	
14	Demurrer submitted without argument and overruled and Deft allowed five days to answer	25	
18	Defendant files Answer	25	
24	On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Tuesday Sept 21 st 1886 @ 9 AM	25	
28	Having waited more than one full hour after the time fixed for the trial of this action and the Defendant having failed to appear now on motion of E. J. Griffith City for Plaintiff the Court proceeds & hears evidence and tries this issue	25	
	R. Crawford sworn and examined	25	
	Having fully considered the law and the merits it is by the Court so ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff R. Crawford have judgment against and recover from the defendants W. S. Ballard and E. J. Hallenbeck the sum of Seventy & 75/100 Dollars (\$70.75) together with the costs of this action to date at Essex Co. 10 ²⁵ / ₁₀₀	1 50	
	Costs of this action to date at Essex Co. 10 ²⁵ / ₁₀₀		
	Envelopes 6 ⁰⁰ / ₁₀₀ Total judgment \$7.80		
	Done in open Court this 28 th day of Sept 1886		
	<u>E. J. Griffith</u> Clerk		
	Oct 12 th 1886 Writ of Attachment		
	Enforce of the Case returned & filed		

Oct 13th Execution issued for \$79.05

" 23 Assignment of Judgment by Plaintiff to Defendant & Defendant filed
" " Recd by assignee of this judgment for E. J. Griffith & J. J. Hinds at full of J. J. Hinds
" " Assignees Court to date of Judgment

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shesud

The People of the State of California Action For Assault with a
California Deadly Weapon

Ed Smith
v.
Ed Smith

Demand \$
W. D. Daly & C. C. Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Deft.
Aug 18 1886	Complaint filed and Warrant issued		
" 19	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises On his motion this case is set for trial for 2 P.M. this day Subpoena issued		
" 20	W. D. Daly appointed Short hand reporter Interpreter sworn Jim Wah, Ah Jim, Lee Wye, Ah Tom and Hahn sworn and examined Plaintiffs Rest Learnest, Levenson, Lincoln Jones Nick Richardson Thos Keeley and Ed Smith sworn and examined Defendants Rest Case submitted without argument It appearing to the Court that the offense in the within deposition mentioned had been committed and that there is sufficient cause to hold the above named defendants Butly thereof Order that he be held to answer to the same and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$100 and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail Done in open Court this 20 of August 1886 J. H. Stark Judge of said Court		

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chesno

The People of the State of California Action For Breach of Contract
Plaintiff vs. Demand \$ 204.20

Geo Martin

Defendant

J. D. Doherty, C. M. Mearns
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Aug 19 1886
Plaintiff filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned filed and defendant
brought into Court and being by the Court
informed of the charge against him and
of his rights in the premises pleads
not guilty and demands a jury
Case set for Aug 20th 1886 @ 9 am
Subpoena issued also venire

Aug 20 1886
Entered in Court and ready for trial
The following jurors accepted and sworn
to try this case: John Bush E.
Bacon, J. Griffith, J. Williams N.E.
Burleigh A.C. Gill W.A. Norton
J. Arthur D.P. Gault J. Poore
Duncan Scott B.B. Brown —
All too and. Quong Sing and M. Fleming
sworn and Examined Ruffs Reas
Def't submits Case without evidence
Case submitted without argument
Jury committed to officers and after
deliberating return to following
Verdict Pleads Aug 20th 1886

The jurors in the case of People vs
Martin agree on the following
We find the defendant not guilty

Shaffer Arthur foreman
In accordance with the above
verdict the defendant is ordered
Discharged

Done in open Court this 20th of
August 1886

W. H. Short Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action For Battery

Demand \$

Sam Wah

v.

J. H. Daly & C. Merriam

Attorney for Plaintiff

Thippes & Thippes

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

1886
Aug 19 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 20 Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by his atty,
Thippes & Thippes moved to set this
case for trial for 2 P.M. Aug 20th 1886
Atty admitted to Bail in the sum
of \$50 Bail given Bond approved & filed
Aug 20 On motion of the District Attorney
the Court dismissed this action on
the ground that the evidence is not
sufficient to convict
Done in open Court this 20th day of
August 1886 J. H. Daly
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Geo Bernhard
v.
L. J. Currier
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action *on note*

Demand \$ *75 principal and 88¢ interest at 1% from Jan 6th 1886*
George A. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plff.	Def.
<i>August 19</i>	<i>Complaint, affidavit, and undertaking on attachment filed and summons with writ of attachment and copies of summons, writ and complaint issued</i>	<i>600</i>	
<i>" 23</i>	<i>Summons returned & filed served Aug 23rd 1886</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>" "</i>	<i>Writ of attachment returned and filed</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>" 24</i>	<i>Thos Remy payee of Port 20 attached in his hand</i>		
<i>September 6</i>	<i>It appearing by the Constable's return endorsed on the summons on file herein that the defendant was legally served with summons at 9th & Wth Aug 23rd 1886 and more than the time allowed by law having elapsed since such service and the defendant having failed to appear herein by answer or at all now on motion plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered and now on motion of plaintiff and in consideration of the law and the premises it is by the Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff George Bernhard have judgment against and recover from the defendant L. J. Currier the sum of the sum of One hundred & 25^{cts} Dollars being \$75 Principal & 25^{cts} interest and \$20^{cts} attorneys fee found and allowed by the Court together with the costs of this action taxes to date at interest and 8^{cts} Constables costs 4⁸⁰ Total judgment 113³⁰</i>	<i>25</i> <i>25</i> <i>25</i> <i>100</i> <i>8²⁵</i>	
	<i>Done in open Court this 6th day of Sept 1886 O. J. Hart Justice of the Peace.</i>		
	<i>J. B. Lester 8²⁵ Constables " 4⁸⁰ \$13.05</i>		

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

J. S. Burke

Plaintiff

v.

J. W. Perry

Defendant

Action On

Demand \$ 35.00

Wharton & Co
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plff.	Deft.
1886			
August 20	Complaint filed and summons with copies of summons & complaint issued	\$ 250	
" 23	Summons returned & filed served Aug 23 rd 1886 @ 3 ⁰⁰ P.M.	25	
" 28	Defendant denies orally each and every allegation in plaintiff's complaint and pays into Court ten dollars subject to any order the Court may make concerning the same on final judgment	25	
" 30	On motion of plaintiff this case is set for trial for Wednesday Sept 1 st 1886 @ 2 o'clock P.M.	25	
Sept 1	On motion of defendant & consent of Plff this case is continued to 9 A.M. Sept 2 nd 1886	25	
" 2	On motion of Plff & consent of defendant this case is continued to 1 P.M. this day and defendant allowed to prepare and file an amended answer	25	
" 11	Amended answer filed	25	
" 11	Plff files Dem to amended answer and consent	25	
" 11	Matter adjourned to 1 P.M.		
" 11	1 P.M. Leon Currier appears by J. J. Quinn Counsel for defendant and various decision of motion under consideration and as to be returned as each defendant herein and on consent of Plaintiff it is so ordered and defendant allowed until Monday Sept 6 th 1886 at 9 A.M. to file answer at which time it is consented that the case shall be tried. Subpoena with 2 copies sent Plff 100	25	
" 6	Defendant L. S. Currier files answer and on motion of Plff and consent of Plff this case is continued to Saturday Sept 11 th @ 9 A.M.	25	
" 8	Subpoena returned & filed	25	
Oct 23	On motion of defendant this case is set for trial for October 30 th 1886 @ 10 am.	25	
	On Page 85	25	
		850	

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

M. Madsen

Plaintiff

Action *On account*
for horses sold
Demand \$ *200.00*

John S. Rollins

Defendant

J. A. Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff
Supper & Puffer
Attorney for Defendant

DATE *1886*

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

August 23 Complaint filed and summons with copies
of summons and complaint issued *\$1.00* 2 50
24 Summons returned and filed served 25
27 Defendant files answer and offer to allow judgment 25
" On motion of *Deft.* The case is set *for trial* for *Sept 2^d 1886 @ 10 AM.* 50
28 Subpoena with two copies issued for Defendant *Piff* 105
1 Subpoena with four copies issued Defendant 185
22 Subpoenas returned & filed 50
2 On motion of Defendant he is allowed to
file an amended answer 25
amended answer filed 25
M. Madsen, E. Hansen, A. Stevens
and Eva Brown sworn and examined *(2 rulings)* 150
J. S. Rollins, D. A. H. Moore, E. M. Elam,
A. J. Armstrong & E. D. Bentley sworn
examined *Deft. test (Three rulings)* 200
M. Madsen recalled in rebuttal *(ruling)* 50
Eva Brown sworn and examined *E.* 25
Hansen recalled, *Go Calyghorn* sworn 25
and examined 25
Time for argument filed for 10 AM *Sept 7th 1886* 25
By consent it is *agreed* that this case 25
shall be submitted on briefs *Piff. files Briefs*
9 *Deft. files Briefs.* 150
Having fully considered the law and the facts in this case 1440
the Court now orders and adjudge that the plaintiff
M. Madsen have judgment against our record
from the defendant *J. S. Rollins* the sum of two
hundred (200) Dollars together with the cost of the
action taxed to date at Justice's Court *\$14.40*
Costs *\$9.10* Witness fees 13.20
Total judgment 236.70 Done in open Court this
11th day of *Sept.* 1886 *E. F. Smith* Justice of the Peace

Sept 16th Plaintiff files and serves last Bill
" 17 Defendant files last Bill and understating an appeal and papers made
up to be transmitted to Clerk of the Superior Court this morning
Card Not paid
Sept 23rd 1886 Plaintiff's appeal for costs \$14.40

No. 85

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action For DamagesJoe Veloa

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 60.00

v.

Isabel Villalon

Defendant

Stacy & Harris

Attorney for Plaintiff

Swright

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Aug 1 1886	24 Complaint filed and Summons with fees of \$2.50	
"	25 Summons returned and filed on Aug 26 @ 3 ⁴⁵ p.m.	25
"	28 Defendant orally denies each and every allegation in plaintiff's Complaint	25
"	" Defendant moves to set this case for trial for Sept 8th 1886 @ 10 am it is so ordered	25
	On motion of Plaintiff Duff and Current of Deft this case is indefinitely continued	25
		<u>\$4.00</u>
		<u>\$4.00</u>

Oct 1886	30th No 83 From Page 83 Burk vs Henry et al	8.50
"	" Simon Henry & L Currier sworn and examined	50
"	Plaintiffs & Defendant Rest	
"	" J. N. Wharton sworn & examined & rebuttal	25
	Parties Rest	
	Argument continued to Nov 1st 1886 @ 2 PM.	<u>1.50</u>
		<u>\$10.75</u>

Nov 1 Cortes appear in Court and submit case without argument & the same taken under advisement

Dec 2 Having fully considered the law and the premises it is by the Court her orders and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action and that the defendant Simon Henry & Leon Currier have judgment against and recover from the plaintiff their costs & fees & disbursements costs \$7.00 witness fees \$3.00 Total judgment done in open Court this 24 day of Dec 1886

C. W. Child Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Sacramento*

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

v.

O. A. Duffany

Defendant

Action *For Grand Larceny*

Demand \$

J. H. Daly & C. C. Merriam
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Oct 2^d Ward & Spady
J. J. Wharton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

Aug 26 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
"*27* Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought
into Court and by the Court informed of the
Charge against him and of his rights in the
premises and on his Application is ordered
admitted to Bail in the sum of \$300.00
Sept Bail given Bond approved and filed
"*15* On motion of Defendant this case is set for trial
for Saturday Oct 2^d 1886
Oct 2^d 10 AM trial of Case. Dist. Morris a
continuance motion denied but adjournment
taken to 1 P.M. this day
James now James A. Daly District Atty
and moves to dismiss this action on the
ground that the evidence is insufficient
to sustain the charge, in this, that no
 felonious intent can be made to appear
wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 2^d of Oct 1886

J. J. Wharton
Justice of the Peace

No. 87

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On account

Peter A Boushett

Plaintiff

v.

Joseph L Ditmars

Defendant

Demand \$ 27 83
100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Aug
Oct

1886

28 Complaint filed

9 An Application of Plaintiff Summons issued
Also Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment
filed and Copies of Summons & Complaint
with writ of Attachment & Copy issued 600

" 1) Gable was the Plaintiff and payed all
Cost and Acknowledges Satisfaction of the
Matters sued on Attachment order released
wherefor this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 11th day of Oct
1886

J. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

No. 88

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuba

Action On account

McLean

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 226.00

Ralph Irwin

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

1886
August 30 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking on
Attachment filed and Summons with
writ of Attachment and copies of Summons
Writ and Complaint issued 6.00
Sept 2 Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained
of in the Complaint and moves to dismiss
this action and pay Justices fees and
assesses Justices fees
Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 2^d day
of September 1886
C. F. A. Ford
Justice of the Peace

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Alameda

G. T. Willis

Plaintiff

v.

Geo. T. Ward

Defendant

Action

For Work & Labor

Demand \$

299

Wharton & Mearns
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Aug 31 1886 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking and
Attachment filed and Summons with Writ
of Attachment and Copies of Summons Writ
and Complaint served
Sept 6 Summons Writ returned and filed and Sept 14 1886 @ 84 w/p
Oct 28 J.P. Cost paid by Wharton & Mearns

\$6.00

50

No. 90

In the Justice's Court of *Thurs* — Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Rankin Meredith
Plaintiff
v.
Geo. J. Ward
Defendant

Action *For Work Done*
Demand \$ *285*
Wharton Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Def.
<i>Aug 31 1886</i>	<i>Complaint, affidavit and undertaking and attachment filed and summons with copy of summons, complaint & writ of attachment & writ of copy issued</i>		
<i>Sept 6</i>	<i>Summons & writ returns filed served at 7 o'p Sept 1st 1886</i>		
<i>Oct 20</i>	<i>Costs paid by Wharton & Webb</i>		
			<i>6.00</i>
			<i>50</i>

No. 91—

In the Justice's Court of Thur Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Essex

W. C. Smith Guardian Ad
Citum of Rufus Smith

Plaintiff

v.

Geo. T. Ward

Defendant

Action For Work & Labor

Demand \$ 38.34 100

Wharton & Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

August 31 An application of Wharton & Webb to Court hereby
appointed W. C. Smith father of Rufus Smith
Guardian ad Citum of said Rufus Smith a
minor. Complaint, affidavit and undertaking
an attachment filed & summons with writ of
Attachment and Copies of Summons Writ and Complaint
served

Sept
6th

6 Summons and Writ of Attachment returned filed served @ 3rd of Sept 1st

9 Return of Costs paid by Plaintiff \$4.00

6.00
100
50

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of Thir Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoAmey Lang

Plaintiff

v.

Geo. T. Ward

Defendant

Action

For Merchandise
SoldDemand \$ 27⁶⁴/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Aug 31 1886 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking and
 Attachment filed and Summons with Writ of
 Attachment and Copies of Summons, Complaint
 & Writ issued

Sept 6 Summons & Writ of Attachment returned & filed served @ J. P. Ward Sept 1st
 J. P. Ward Paid by Winchell assignee

\$ 6⁰⁰/₁₀₀

60

No. 93

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
 OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

C. Chisholm

Plaintiff

Action For Merchandise soldDemand \$ 72.75

Geo. T. Ward

Defendant

Philip Stewart

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
 August 31 Complaint, affidavit and undertaking an
 Attachment filed and summons with writ of
 Attachment and copies of summons writ and
 Complaint issued (Paid By Plf. Sept 2^d 1886) \$6.00
 Sept 3^d On motion of Plaintiff this action is
 dismissed without prejudice to a new action
 Plaintiff assuming all costs
 Done in open Court this 3^d of Sept 1886
 F. D. Chisholm
 Justice of the Peace

\$6.00
 50
 6.50

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action on C.O.

J. N. Lilbmann
Plaintiff

Demand \$ 5750.00

Supper Hopper
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. F. Ward
Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Def.

Sept 1st 1886
Complaint, affidavit and undertaking are
attached filed and summons with fees
of summons & complaint & writ of attachment
with copy issued
Sept 6th
Summons & writ returned & filed served at 3 o'clock Sept 1st

\$ 6.00
1.00
00

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

John Lermooks

Defendant

Action

For Malicious
Mischief

Demand \$

J. H. Daly & J. J. Smith

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Sept

1886

Complaint filed Oct 3rd on application of Plff
Warrant issued

Oct

Warrant Returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and informed of the
Charge against him and of his rights in
the premises and the Court orders that
the defendant be admitted to Bail in
the sum of One Hundred Dollars

917.9

L

Corn

Long, Haniel

Pifion country.

Duell, c1941

Map on lining-papers

and filed
and on
this case
into of
see of
see no
the day of

J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action on C.O.

S. Silbmann Plaintiff

Demand \$ 5750.00

Supper Hupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. F. Ward Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

Sept 1st 1886
Complaint, affidavit and undertaking an
attachment filed and summons with writ
of summons & complaint & writ of attachment
with copy issued
Sept 6th
Summons & writ returned & filed served at 3 o'clock Sept 1st

\$ 6.00
1.00
00

SE

Vol 1, pg 95
Malicious Mischief
Sep 1, 1886

CRIMINAL

The People of the State of California
v.
John Serrano
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action For Malicious
Mischief
Demand \$
J. H. Daly & C. J. Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Sept 1⁸⁸⁸ Complaint filed Oct 3th on Application of Jeff
Warrant issued

S. Marrant Returned and filed and Defendant
 brought into Court and informed of the
 Charge against him and of his rights in
 the premises and the Court orders that
 the Defendant be admitted to Bail in
 the sum of One Hundred Dollars
 Bail given Bond approved and filed
 An Application of Defendant and on
 Consent of the District Atty. this Case
 is transferred to the Court of
 Judge Justice of the Peace of
 New York County of Queens
 Done in open Court this 8th day of
 October 1886

6
J. H. Shurt
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Shasta* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Shasta*

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

v.

W. S. Shannon
Defendant

Action *for Drawing and*
Whiting a Deadly Weapon

Demand \$

J. H. Daly & O. J. Hinds

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Sept 1st 1886 Complaint filed, Oct 5th on application
of plaintiff warrant issued
Oct 8 Warrant returned and filed and left
brought into Court where upon the
Court orders that the defendant be
admitted to Bail in the sum of One
Hundred Dollars Bail given Bond
Approved and filed
On Application of the Deft. and pursuant
to the District Atty it is ordered that
this Case be and the same is hereby
ordered transferred to the Court of
Young E. Justice of the Peace of the
Thorp County of Shasta
Darius in Open Court this 8th day of
Oct 1886

J. H. Daly
Justice of the Peace

No. 97-

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

John Dor

Defendant

Action In Obtaining Property
under false pretenses

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Sept 1 Cause plainis filed and warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and whereupon the
complaining witness appears in Court and
acknowledges satisfaction of the matters
complained of in the Complaint and
moves to dismiss this action
wherefor on payment of all costs this
action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 2^d day of
September 1886
J. H. Dor
Justice of the Peace

No. 98

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
 OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

D. V. Lewis

Plaintiff

v.

Ingvarland

Defendant

Action

On NoteDemand \$ 40.80 and interest @ 10%
per month from Aug 1st 1885

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Sept 1 1886 Complaint, filed also affidavit & undertaking
 on Attachment & Summons, with writ of
 Attachment with copies of summons & writ
 and Complaint issued

\$6.00 md Paid6.00
100

No. 99⁰⁰₁₀₀

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Alameda

Eli Wilson

Plaintiff

v.

Isaac Hunt

Defendant

Action on account

Demand \$ 900

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Sept 3^d Complaint, Affidavit and undertaking
on attachment filed and summons with
copy of attachment and copy of summons
written and complaint issued

\$6.00
6100

" 3^d Defendant orally denies each and every allegation
in plaintiff's Complaint
On motion of ^{Defendant} Plaintiff, this case is set for
trial for 2 P.M. Sept. 4th 1886

25

25

25

" 4 On motion of Defendant this case is indefinitely ^{continued}
" 6 Comes now the plaintiff and acknowledges
settlement and satisfaction of demands and
moves to dismiss this action. Pays costs
wherefor this action is dismissed and
attachment order released

50

Done in San Jose Court this 6th day of Sept
1886

C. H. Ford
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of First Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF ShastaThe People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

To Disturb etc
PeaceFrank Higgins
James Foster

Defendant

J. A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

September 3rd 1886

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and defendant James Foster
committed to Sheriff on default of Bail and
Frank Higgins admitted to Bail in the sum of
fifty Dollars Bail Given Bond approved & filed.
On motion of Def'ts this Court is set for trial
for Sept 4th 1886 @ 10 AM.

" 4 Defendant Frank Higgins pleads not guilty
Def't James Foster pleads guilty
Court in Court and ready for trial

A. Court sworn and Ex
Rtts Rest.

John Kuch and another witness
sworn and examined Def't. Rest.
In consideration of the Law and the premises
the Court orders that defendant Frank
Higgins be discharged and in consideration
of the Law and the premises it is by the
Court so ordered and adjudged that the
defendant James Foster pay a fine of
Ten \$10 Dollars and in case said
fine not paid by the 4th day of Sept 1886
that the defendant James Foster be
imprisoned until such fine be duly
satisfied in the proportion of one day
imprisonment for every Two Dollars
of fine and on the payment of such
portion of said fine as shall not have
been satisfied by imprisonment the
rate above prescribed that the defendant
be discharged from custody. Done in
open Court this 4th of Sept 1886 J. A. Daly Justice of the Peace

No. 101

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Joshua Newman and
W. H. Patten

Plaintiff

Action On Note and Ac

Demand \$ 219.25

W. A. Burnside and
D. B. Francis

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Sept 4th 1886 Complaint filed and also, affidavit and undertaking on
Attachment and Summons with writ of Attachment
and two copies of Summons and one of Writ and
Complaint issued

660

" 6 Summons returned filed served on both defts Sept 6th @ 3⁰⁰

25

" " Writ of Attachment returned & filed

25

" " Defendant answers orally & plaintiff's Complaint
on the ground that the same does not state
facts sufficient to constitute a cause of
action

25

Sept 10 Comes now the plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained
in the Complaint and moves to
dismiss this action Wherefore this
action is fully dismissed settled
and satisfied All Costs being paid
W. H. Patten

Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

John Doe

Defendant

Action

For Debt & Damages

Demand \$

J. A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

September 6
1886

PROCEEDINGS

Complaint filed and Warrant issued

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Sept

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Chesno

Action in reW.D. Truffer

Plaintiff

v.

J.B. Coates

Defendant

Demand \$

\$37.50 Int @ 1/2 per month
from Oct 1 24 1882

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Sept 17 1886 Complaint filed & Summons with copy of Summons
Complaint issued 250

" 8 Summons returned and filed served Sept 7th 1886 @ 3rd Twp 25

" 24 Appearing by the undersigned return endorsed on
the Summons on file herein that the defendant was
legally served with Summons @ 3rd Twp. County of
Chesno on the 7th day of Sept 1886 and more
than the time allowed by Law having
elapsed since such service and the defendant
having failed to appear herein by answer or at
all now on motion of Plaintiff the default
of the defendant is her by entered
Now on motion of Plaintiff and in
consideration of the Law and the premises
it is by the Court her ordered and adjudged that
the Plaintiff W.D. Truffer have Judgment
against and recover from the defendant J.B.
Coates the sum of Fifty & No 30/100 Dollars
(\$55.30) - Int \$37.50 Principle and \$17.80
interest due thereon together with the
costs of this action taxed to said
defendant Cost \$4.50 Amended Cost \$1.00
Total judgment \$60.80

Done in open Court this 24th day of
Sept 1886

\$4.50

E.H. Bond
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoAction On Promissory Note

J. M. Wamers
vs.
W. B. Bayne & J. H. Johnson

Plaintiff

Defendant

Demand \$ 175 and 1/2 cent from Oct 1885 to 1/2
per cent per month 60 days per day per day
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Sept 11 1886 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment
 filed and summons and writ of Attachment with
 copy of summons writ and complaint issued 6.00
 " 13 Summons and writ of Attachment returned 50
 " " Defendant files offer to allow judgment for
 \$200.40 and accrued costs
 James H. Wamers the Plaintiff and accepts defendant's
 offer to allow judgment for Two hundred 40
 Dollars and costs
 Wherefore in consideration of the law
 and its promises it is by the Court
 here ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff
 J. M. Wamers have judgment
 against and receive from the defendant
 W. B. Bayne the sum of 200 00
 together with the costs of this action
 taxed to date at justices fees 9.00
 Equitable costs 6.00
 Total judgment 116.00
 Done in open Court this 1st day
 of September 1886
J. H. Third
 Justice of the Peace

Sept 20 Equitable costs paid to Winchell

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Visalia

John J. James
Plaintiff
v.
W. P. Benson
Defendant

Action on
Demand \$ 30 50
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Deft.
Sept 13 1886	Complaint filed and summons with fees of summons & complaint	\$ 250	
" 14	Summons returned & filed error	25	
" 14	Def. Tels. Demurrer to jurisdiction of the Court	25	
" 15	Demurrer submitted and sustained and this action dismissed for want of jurisdiction of the person of the defendant	3 00	
Done in open Court Sept 15th 1886			
J. H. Short			
Justice of the Peace			

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuba

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

v.

Wm Sellers

Defendant

Action

for taking down and destroying a public notice

Demand \$

2.00 Doly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Sept 14 1886

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and the Court orders that
he be admitted to bail in the sum of
\$50 Bail firm bond affixed & filed
On motion of Defendant Case is set for
hearing for Oct 8th 1886 @ 9 o'clock AM

3.00

1.00

4.00

Oct

8 Parties in Court and ready for trial
Prosecuting Witness James Hagan not
present Wm Morgan & Jack Ralls
sworn and examined

Plaintiff's Rest

Wm Sellers sworn and examined

Defendant's Rest

Having fully considered the evidence
the Court orders that the defendant be
discharged

Done in open Court this 8th day
of Oct 1886

J. H. Dwyer
Justice of the Peace

No. 107

In the Justice's Court of Spring Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Jesus

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Action In Threats to Commit Murder

Demand \$

Wm Sellers

Defendant

J. B. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Deft.
Sept 1886	1st Complaint filed and Warrant issued	3 00	
"	2d Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court and the Court orders that he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$5000 Bail firm Bond approved & filed.		1 00
	On motion of Deft. this case is set for hearing for Oct 8th 1886 @ 10 o'clock AM	4 00	
"	8 The Complaining Witness James Kegan failing to appear at the time set for the trial of this action and nothing several hours thereafter the Court on motion of the Deft. City orders that this action be dismissed Done in open Court this 8th day of Oct 1886		
	<u>J. H. Short</u> Justice of the Peace		

No. 108In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE

State OF California COUNTY OF ShastaAction On Sc.A. M. Gispick

Plaintiff

Demand \$

5²⁵/₁₀₀E. C. Calhoun

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. S. Schenberg

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Sept 15 ¹⁸⁸⁶ Complaint filed and summons with copy of summons and complaint issued

250

" 20 Summons returned and filed served Sept 16 @ 30¢

25

" 20 James now the defendant and pays into Court a sum in full of demands and all costs

wherefore this action is dismissed C C 50

Done in open Court this 20th day of Sept 1886 \$425

J. H. Hoff
Justice of the Peace

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Glenn

The People of the State of
California
Plaintiff

Action For Examination of
Insane Person

Demand \$

In the matter of the arrest and
Examination of John Dr. Weymouth an
Insane Person
Defendant

James H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

1886
September 16 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 17 Warrant returned & filed served

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action

On

Demand \$

22,50

Plaintiff


Demand \$

22,50

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plff.	Def.
1886			
Sept 17	Complaint filed and summons with copy of summons & complaint issued	25	0
" 18	Summons returned and filed with Sept 18 th 1886 @ 3 ⁴⁵ p.m.	25	0
" 22	Defendant denies orally each and every allegation in plaintiff's Complaint	25	0
" 24	On motion of Plaintiff the above case is set for trial for Wednesday Sept 29 th 1886 @ 9 A.M.	25	0
" 28	On motion of Deft. and Consent of Plaintiff this case is continued to Sept 29 th 1886 @ 9 A.M.	25	0
" 29	On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendant this case is indefinitely continued	25	0
Oct 1	On motion of Plaintiff case is set for trial for Thursday Oct 1 st 1886 @ 10 A.M.	25	0
" 1	On motion of Deft & Consent of Plff this action is continued to Three P.M. this day	25	0
" 1	On Plaintiff acknowledging satisfaction of the matter sued on herein and paying costs this action is dismissed		
Done in open Court this 1 st day of October 1886			
 Justice of the Peace			

No. 111

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

W D Eger Plaintiff
v.
J G de Villalon Defendant
Action Car &c
Demand \$ 5.00
W H Dwyer Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plff.	Def.
Sept 20 1886	Complaint filed and summons issued	250	
" 22	Summons returned filed served at 34 Top Sept 21	25	
" 29	It appearing by the Constables return endorsed on the summons on file herein that the defendant was legally served with summons at 34 Top of the County on the 21 st day of Sept 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law having then elapsed since such service and the defendant having failed to appear by answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff Atty the default of the defendant is hereby entered		
	And now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the law and the premises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff W D Eger have judgment against and recover from the defendant J G de Villalon the sum of Five Dollars \$5.00 together with the costs of this action taxed to date at Justice's Court \$4.50 Constables fee \$1.00 Total judgment \$10.50		
	Done in open Court this 29 th day of Sept 1886 O. H. Ford Justice of the Peace		
Oct 12 1886	This judgment is fully satisfied		

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Shasta

J. B. Campbell v.

Plaintiff

Wm Bennett & Parley and
Ed Bozeman Defendant

Defendant

Action

Action On Promising
Note

Demand \$ 100 and Interest @ 1 1/2 per month
from Nov. 28^d 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE _____

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

DATE *Sept 21 1886* Complaint filed

73

No. 113

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The Fresno Canal and Irrigation
Company

Plaintiff

Action For Damages

Demand \$ 275

John Doe

v.

Norse & Church

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Sept 1881
28

E

113

*Complaint filed and summons with copy of
summons and complaint issued*

250

29

(THE)

FRESNO CANAL AND IRRIGATION CO. (PLAINTIFF)

25

50

75

Oct 1

1

*Complaint and summons filed and served on
defendant and acknowledgment of receipt of
summons and complaint filed.*

NORSE AND CHURCH (ATTORNEY)

220

675

895

*Whereof our fee for action is \$250
and our fee for costs is \$675*

T.H. SHORT JR. (RECORDER)

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of Shore Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Placer

J. B. Campbell

Plaintiff

A Bennett Parley and
P D Bozeman
Defendants

Defendant

Action

Action *On Promising*
Note

Demand \$100 and Interest @ 1 1/2 per month
from Nov. 28th 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE _____

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

75

DATE
Sept 21 1886

No. 113

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The Fresno Canal and Irrigation
Company

Plaintiff

Action For Damages

Demand \$ 275

John Doe

v.

Defendant

Howard Church

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Def.
Sept 28 1886	Complaint filed and summons with copies of summons and complaint issued	250	
" 29	Summons returned & filed served at 27 th @ 34 th p	25	
Oct 1	James paid the Plaintiff and payed all costs and acknowledges settlement of the matters sued on herein	50	
	Wherefor this action is dismissed	225	
	Damson & per Court this 1 st of Oct 1886	675	
	E. H. Church J.P.		

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The Grand Canal and
Irrigation Co
Plaintiff

Augustine Evangelo
Defendant

Action

For Damages

Demand \$ 299

Nelson H. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Sept 30 Complaint filed and summons with copy of
summons and complaint issued
Oct 5 Summons returned and filed
" 8 Defendant files answers
" 21 This action is dismissed on payment
of all costs, settled and satisfied
Done in open Court Oct 21st 1886

T. H. Church
Justice of the Peace

250

20

25

No. 157

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Orleans*

J. Lewis & Co

Plaintiff

Action *on*Demand \$ *21.88**E. P. Hughes*

Defendant

J. H. House

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 1 Complaint filed
Nov 6 On Application of Plaintiff Summons with
Captioned Summons & Complaint issued
" 22 Summons returned & filed served *Nov 9th* 3d Sup
Dec 29 It appearing from the Constable's return endorsed
on the Summons on file herein that the defendant
E. P. Hughes was legally served with Summons
at the 3d Sup County of *Orleans* on the 9th day
of *November* 1886 and more than the time
allowed by Law having elapsed since such
service and said Defendant having failed to appear
herein by Answer or at all, now on
motion of Plaintiff the default of the deft
is hereby entered

Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration
of the Law and the premises it is by the Court
hereby ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff
J. Lewis & Co have Judgment against
and recover from the defendant *E. P.*
Hughes the sum of \$ *45.00* Justice's fees and
\$ *26.00* Constable's Costs, Plaintiff acknowledging
the receipt of the sum of \$ *21.88* after the
service of Summons on the Defendant
herein. Total Judgment \$ *71.00*

Done in open Court this 29th day of Dec 1886

E. H. Smith\$ *1.25*

Dues on Costs

Justice of the Peace

Execution issued

*239**25*Paid by
\$ *32.67*
Recd

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

Action Ex Delictum

Demand \$

Charles Packwood
Defendant

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Def.
Oct 1886	Complaint filed and Warrant issued	3	00
"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court and is admitted to bail in the sum of \$20. on depositing that amount in Court.		
"	Defendant in Court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his right in the premises pleads guilty as charged wherefore the Court orders said Def. to appear for sentence at 4 PM this day and to bring with him witnesses for 3 PM. to examine into the facts concerning the charge.		
"	L. E. Russo appointed Interpreter and Reporter		
"	W. H. Willard, J. H. Edwards, C. A. Tilley, M. C. Bispo & Chas Packwood & Packwood sworn and examined.		
	Having examined into the gravity of the charge against the defendant and having fully considered the facts and the promises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that for the said offence the said Chas Packwood pay a fine of \$50. and in case said fine is not paid by the 4th day of Oct 1886 that the defendant be imprisoned until such fine is fully satisfied in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of fine and all the payment of such portion of such fine as shall not be so paid to be satisfied by imprisonment at the rate as so provided that the Defendant be discharged from custody.		

\$2.00 Court fees
 6.60 Court fees
 4.00 J. H. Daly
 \$50.00

No. 117

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

Action On account for Rent

A. A. Gur

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 100.00

J. W. Curd

Defendant

Whorton & Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

1886
October 4 Complaint, affidavit and undertaking on attachment
filed and summons writ of attachment with
Copies of summons writ and Complaint issued
21 Writ of attachment summons returned filed Oct 21
Nov 10 It appearing by the Constables return endorsed
on the summons on file herein that the
defendant was legally served with summons
at the 3rd Precinct County of Fresno on the 21st
day of Oct 1886 and more than the time allowed
by law having elapsed since such service
and said defendant having failed to appear
herein by answer or at all now
on motion of Plaintiff the default of the
defendant is hereby entered
Now on motion of Plaintiff and in
consideration of the law and the
premises it is by the Court here
ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff
A. A. Gur have judgment against
and recover from the defendant
J. W. Curd the sum of One Hundred (\$100)
Dollars together with the costs of this
action taxed to date at Justice's Costs \$8.25
Constables Costs \$5.30 Keeping \$12.00
Total judgment \$125.55
Done in open Court this 10th day of Nov 1886
Execution issued for \$126.80

6.00
50

Execution returned this 14th day of September 1887
fully satisfied and duly filed - Wherefore this judgment
is duly satisfied of record this 14th day of September 1887

Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

Nov 17 Execution returned showing a balance due of \$127.85
Dec 17 Plaintiff J. W. Curd pays Justice's Costs \$8.25
and Constable Costs \$18.35 Bal. J. P. Costs \$125.55
1888
Sept 13 Execution issued for \$136.80

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

John Hernandez

Defendant

Action

He threatens to
commit Murder

Demand \$

J. J. Daly
J. J. Lind

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

October 6 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 8 Warrant returned and filed and Defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights in the premises
and the Court orders that the
Defendant be admitted to Bail in
the sum of One Hundred Dollars
Bail from Bond approved and filed
An Application of Def and on
Consent of the District Atty the Court orders
that this case be and the same is
hereby transferred to the Court of
Young Esq Justice of the Peace
for the County of Fresno
Done in open Court this 8th of
Oct 1886

J. J. Lind
Justice of the Peace

See Nos 95 & 96 - 2 Bonds

\$400
\$200

No. 119

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

George Patchford

Plaintiff

Wm M Smith

Defendant

Action On Promissory Note

Demand \$1000 interest at 10 per cent per annum from Dec 15th 1886 C. E. Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Def.
October 1886	Complaint filed and summons with copies of	\$2.50	
"	summons returned filed serial Oct 9th 1886 @ 3¢ per	23	
"	Defendant appears and files answer	25	
		3.00	

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California Action For Search Warrant

Plaintiff

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

In the matter of the Application of Ann
May Hoad & John Laper
for a Search Warrant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

Oct 7th 1886 The Complaint or affidavit filed and Search
Warrant issued

" Warrant returned and filed Property not found
Done in open Court this 7th day of Oct 1886

J. H. Daly
Justice of the Peace

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of *Thurston* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Action

For Debt & Damages

Demand \$

J. W. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mary Jane Todd & John A. Todd

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

Oct 1886
Complaint filed and Warrant issued

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

James Kelly

Defendant

Action *For Resisting Officer*

Demand \$

James H. Dohy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 1886

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and Defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the charge against himself
of his rights in the premises
Whereupon he waives time for Examination
and announces that he has no defense
and asks to proceed to hearing forthwith
Geo. McDowell sworn and examined

Plaintiff's Rest.

Defendant Rests and without evidence
It appearing to the Court that the
affirmance in the within deposition
mentioned has been committed
and that there is sufficient cause
to believe the within named John
Kelly guilty thereof. Order that he
be held to answer to the same and that
he be admitted to bail in the
sum of \$250, and is committed
to the Sheriff of the County of
Fresno until he give such bail
sum in a few Court this 7th of
Oct 1886

J. H. Dohy
Justice of the Peace

Oct 29th 1886 Defendant pays into Court \$36.00 + \$4.60 for costs of papers
Total \$40.60

No. 123

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Kern

Action On account for work

Jerome Boscovich
Plaintiff

Demand \$ 18

Philip Stewart
Attorney for Plaintiff

M. Danievich
Defendant

Lupus Hopper
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1 Oct 7	Complaint filed & Summons with Blue of Summons & Complaint issued	250	
12	Summons returned and filed served	25	
"	Def't files answers	25	
"	Motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Oct 18th 1886 @ 2 PM. Def't notified by mail	25	
13	Motion of Def't & Consent of Plff. this case is continued to 9 AM Oct 18th 1886	25	
"	Subpoena with 6 Copies issued	25	
18	Subpoena returned & filed	25	
18	It is admitted that the Def't tendered Plff \$450 before the commencement of this action	25	
	J. Boscovich, A. Mitrovich, B. J. H. G. Moore, Jr. Berora & J. Piffaro sworn & examined Plff's	100	
	M. Danievich sworn and examined Def't	50	
	Rest Argument commenced, On request of Defendant M. Danievich, recalled and re-examined also J. Boscovich recalled on request of Plff. Argument heard and case submitted	25	
	Having fully considered the law and the facts it is by the Court her orders and adjudged that the Plaintiff Jerome Boscovich have judgment against and recover from the Defendant M. Danievich the sum of Eighteen Dollars together with the costs of this action to date as per record	150	
	Costs \$11.15 Total Judgment \$25.95	25	
	Done in open Court this 18th day of Oct 1886	\$11.15	

W. H. Christ

1886
18 Ten days stay of proceedings granted District Judge
Court Bill sworn to and filed

Oct 29th 1886 Defendant pays into Court \$36.80 & \$4.60 before departure
in Cash \$41.30 being in full of said judgment & costs
for witness J. Piffaro & J. Moore

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On NotesW. P. Zacher

Plaintiff

v.

C. A. Hawn

Defendant

Demand \$

\$16.00 Int @ 1% per month
on \$11 from July 8th to Aug 1st 1886 and
on \$6 from July 8th to Aug 1st 1886
Aug 1st 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

1886
October 8 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking an
Attachment filed and Summons & Writ
of Attachment with copies of Summons
Writ and Complaint issued 6.00
" 11 Summons & Writ of Attachment returned & filed
served Oct 9th 1886 50
" 13 Defendant orally denies each and every
allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint 25
" 19 On motion of Plaintiff the case is set
for trial for Oct 22nd 1886 Friday @ 10 AM 25
" 22 Court recess 7 thirty minute AM. This case
having been duly set down for trial on
the 19th day of Oct 1886 for the 22nd day of
Oct 1886 @ 10 o'clock AM and the Deft
having been by the Court duly notified thereof
on said 19th day of Oct 1886 by a Postal
Card duly addressed to the defendant and
placed in this U.S. Post office at Fresno
the same being the address of the Deft and more
than one full hour having elapsed and the
defendant having failed to appear to try the
cause the Court proceeds on motion of Plaintiff
to hear evidence and try the cause 25
J.R. Webb Attorney and Examined Plaintiff's Recd 25
Wherefore in consideration of the law and the premises
it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the
Plaintiff, W. P. Zacher have judgment against and recover
from the defendant, C. A. Hawn the sum of \$191.90
Principal and 5% interest and \$25.00
attorney fees together with the costs of this action
thence to date as justified by \$8.00 unstated fees
and keeping \$6.80 Total Judgment 207.45
Done in open Court Oct 22nd 1886 @ 11:20 AM J.R. Webb Justice of the Peace

Oct 22nd 1886 Expense issued for 208.70
" 25th 1886 Judgment is fully satisfied
Justice Court \$9.00 Court fees \$8.80

No. 125

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Action Exhibitory

John the Trump, Negro
+ John Kelley

v. Alias John Collins Plaintiff

Demand \$

W. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

- Oct 8 Com. plaint filed and Warrant issued
" 28 Warrant returned and filed and defendants John the
Trump, alias John Collins and John Kelley
brought into Court and by the Court informed of
the Charge against them and of their rights in said premises
and on their motion this case is set for
examination for Thursday Nov 4th 1886 @
1 o'clock A.M. and Defts on default of Bail
committed to Sheriff
- Nov 4 On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendants
this case is continued to Nov 11th 1886 @ 10
o'clock A.M. Subpoena issued
- 8 By Consent of all the parties thereto this action
is taken up & tried at 2 P.M. this 8th day
Nov 1886 Geo Spencer J. L. Blane & W. McDowell
sworn and examined Plaintiffs Rest
John Collins sworn and examined Defts Rest
It appearing to me that the offense in the
within deposition mentioned has been
committed and that there is sufficient
cause to hold the within named defts
John Kelley and John Collins guilty
thereof I order that they be held to
answer to the same and that
they be admitted to Bail in the
sum of \$500 in separate Bonds of
\$500 each and are committed to
the Sheriff of the County of Fresno
until they give such Bail
Done in open Court this 8th day
of Nov 1886

J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno**The People of the State*
of California

Plaintiff

Action

For Assault with
a Deadly Weapon

Demand \$

James L. Lewis

Defendant

James H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 1886 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
 " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into Court whereupon the Court
 orders that the defendant be admitted to bail
 in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars
 Bail given Bond Approved and filed
 November 26 On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for
 trial for Saturday Nov 27th 1886 @ 9 AM.
 Subpoena issued for Plaintiff
 Dec 4th On motion of Plaintiff & consent of Defendant this
 case is continued & set for Wednesday Dec 22nd 1886
 @ 9 o'clock AM
 Dec 22nd Subpoena returned and filed
 On motion of James H. Daly, District
 Attorney this action is dismissed
 for want of sufficient testimony to
 justify further prosecution
 Done in open Court this 22nd day of
 December 1886
C. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

Dec 1886
 Dec 4th Plaintiff's bus Constables Costs \$4.35 and fees
 Nov

No. 127

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Geo B Rowell & J. Lowry
Plaintiff

Action On
Demand \$ 170

A J Woods
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Deft.
Oct 1886	Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons with Writ of Summons & Complaint and Writ of Attachment with fees issued	6.50	
Nov 18	Summons returned & filed served served in person to Geo B Rowell & J. Lowry	25	
	Also writ returned & filed	25	
" 23	It appearing by the Constables return & answer on the Summons on file herein that the defendant A. J. Woods was duly & legally served with Summons at the County of Fresno on the 11th day of November 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law having elapsed since such service and said defendant having failed to appear by answer or at all now entrance of Plaintiff the default of the Defendant is hereby entered	25	
	And now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the Law and the premises it is by the Court here ordered	25	
	Adjudged that the Plaintiffs Geo. B. Rowell and J. Lowry have judgment against the Defendant A. J. Woods the sum of One Hundred & Seventy Dollars (\$170) Dollars Together with the Cost of the action taxed to date at justice Cost \$8.75	100	8.75
	Constables Cost 26 9/10s Keeping & feeding Property attached 17 7/10s Total Constables Cost 200 9/10s		
	Total Judgment 379 63/100		
	Done in open Court the 23rd of Nov 1886		
	J. H. Chord Justice of the Peace		
	November 23rd Execution issued for 380.90		

Dec 4th Plaintiffs pay Constables Costs \$42.35 and fees \$2.50
Cost \$70.00

In the Justice's Court of San Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

Augusta Davis

Plaintiff

v.

W. W. Baust

Defendant

Action

On note

Demand

\$115 with 17 p. sec
no from May 12 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 11 1886
Complaint filed Also Affidavit and Undertaking
On attachment with summons & writ of attachment
with copy of summons writ & complaint issued
Comes now the plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained of in
the Complaint wherefore this action is
dismissed settled & satisfied at Plaintiff's
cost Justice Cost by Custodian Costs \$4.00
Done in open Court this 29th day of Nov 1886
C. H. Ford
Justice of the Peace

Dec 9 Plaintiff Payroll Costs

No. 129

In the Justice's Court of First Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

John Abraham
Plaintiff

v.

Wm. H. H. H.
Defendant

Action For Damages for
Trespass

Demand \$

Wharton Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

October 12 1886 Complaint filed and summons issued

2.00

" 13 Summons returned and filed

25

" 13 Defendant ~~filed~~ answers orally and denies each and
every allegation in plaintiffs Complaint

25

" 26 On motion of Plaintiff the case is set for trial for
Friday Nov 5th 1886 @ 2 o'clock P.M.

25

Def. stayed by Card

25

" 27 Supp. ~~is~~ set for trial for Plaintiff

1.45

Plaintiff's Complaint

and

Defendant's

Both

1886

No. 128

In the Justice's Court of San Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

Gustavus E. Smith

Plaintiff

v.

W. W. Boust

Defendant

Action

On note

Demand

\$115 with 17 p. fees
no from May 12 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 11 1886 Complaint filed Also Affidavit and Undertaking
On attaching mtd. summons & writ of attachment
with copy of summons writ & complaint issued 600
comes now the plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained of in
the complaint wherefore this action is
dismissed

Costs

910.4
H

Huld, Palle

A boy scout around the world

Sum 136628 MISS INV Je 57

20.00
1886

Dec 9 Plaintiff

pull

No. 129

In the Justice's Court of *First* Township,OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno**John Abraham*

Plaintiff

v.

Wm. H. H. H.

Defendant

Action

*For Damages for
Trespass*

Demand \$

Wharton Webb

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

1886
October 12 Complaint filed and Summons with copies
 of Summons & Complaint issued *2.00*
 " *13* Summons returned and filed *25*
 " *13* Defendant ~~filed~~ answers orally and denies each and
 every allegation in plaintiffs Complaint *25*
 " *26* On motion of Plaintiff the case is set for trial for
 Friday *Nov 5* 1886 @ 2 o'clock P.M.
Def. Waived by Card
 " *27* Affidavit with three copies issued for Plff *1.45*
 This action is settled and dismissed
 and Costs Paid
 Done in open Court this *Oct 1886*
J. H. D. H.
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of First Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuma

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

Frankie Nilson

Defendant

Action

For Battery

Demand \$

J. G. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

October 12 1886

"

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court
informed of the charge against her and of
her rights in the premises
Whereupon she pled guilty as charged
in the Complaint
Whereupon the Court orders that for
said offense the said Deft Frankie
Nilson pay a fine of \$5.00
For said and Deft discharged
Done in open Court this 12th day of Oct
1886

J. G. Daly
Justice of the Peace

No. 131

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Butte*

The People of the State of
California
v.
Mrs Kilpatrick
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action *For Assault with Weapon*
Demand \$
J. A. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Oct 12 1886
Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought
into Court and informed of the charge
against her and of her rights in the premises
and on her motion this examination is set
for 10 am Oct 13th 1886 Subpoena issued
and Deft on default of Bonds committed to Jail
" It appearing to the Court that there is
not sufficient evidence to justify
further prosecution of this action
the same is dismissed
Done in open Court this 13th of Oct
1886

J. A. Daly
Justice of the Peace

No. 132

In the Justice's Court of Thirt Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Hesern

Action On Account

M. J. Donahoo

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 166.26

Geo. McNeil

Defendant

Amended Complaint
Demand \$271.91

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Def.

Oct 13 1886 Complaint filed
"15 times now the Plaintiff and by Learney the Court filed an amended Complaint

75

25

25

\$1.25

No. 133

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Plumas*

Action *On* *Ac*

M. J. Donahoe

Plaintiff

v.

J. J. Beal

Defendant

Demand \$ *40,35*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 13 1886
Complaint filed

75

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

M. J. Donahoe

Plaintiff

v.

Ben Reese

Defendant

Action

On

Demand \$

56.45

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Def.

Oct 13 1886

Complaint filed, Plaintiff files affidavit
and undertaking an attachment and
summons with writ of attachment
and copies of summons, writ and complaints issued

\$6.00

Nov 17

Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed
Served at 3rd Tp, Nov 17th 1886

50

" 18 Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained of in
the Complaint

Wherefore this action is dismissed
at Plaintiff's cost.

Done in open Court this 18th day of Nov 1886

Constable's Costs \$7.60

Justice's " 7.00

14.60

Justice of the Peace

\$7.00

No. 133

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Trinity*

Action *On*

The Fresno Lumber Company
Plaintiff

v.

H. M. Burton
Defendant

Demand \$ *30.29*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 13 1886
Complaint filed

Pl
75

No. 136

In the Justice's Court of Fort Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Yuba

W. B. Hay

Plaintiff

C. J. Meade

Defendant

Action

On Claim & Delivery

Demand \$

299

James H. Hays
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 1886

13th Complaint filed

75

" 14 Defendant files appearance

25

" 18 Defendant files answer

25

Nov 9 Plaintiff pays \$1.00 of costs and agrees
states that defendant has agreed to pay
his own costs. Plaintiff moves
to dismiss this action

Wherefore this action is
dismissed Balance of cost 75¢
Done in open Court this 9th day
of Nov 1886

J. H. Hays
Justice of the Peace

No. 137

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

S & G. Gump

Plaintiff

Burke & Rowell

Defendant

Action On Sc

Demand \$ 50.00

Supper and Supper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Def.
Oct 15 1886	Complaint filed		
Dec 21	On application of Plaintiff Summons with copy of Summons and Complaint issued	250	
1887			250
Feb 1	Summons with proof of service filed		25
" 12	Default of Deft Rowell entered on motion of Plffs Counsel		25
Apr 11	The above entitled action being one for the recovery of money only on motion of Plaintiffs Attorney it is hereby ordered and adjudged and this Court does hereby adjudge that the Plaintiffs S & G. Gump recover of the Defendants Burke & Rowell the sum of Thirty Dollars Damages and costs as follow Justices fees \$ 4.75 Fees service & process \$ 1.50 Notary fees \$ 0.50 Total costs \$ 6.75 Total amount of Judgment \$ 36.75 Done in open Court this 11 th day of April 1887. Philip Stewart Justice of the Peace	25 25 25 1 25 4 75	
" 11	Execution this day issued and delivered to J. A. Barker Constable		

In the Justice's Court of Trinity Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Trinity

Pugot Sound Lumber Co Plaintiff
v.
W. T. Tesnon Defendant

Action Excess
An Note
Demand \$ 4480 and int from Oct 1st 1885
W. D. Camp Attorney for Plaintiff
J. B. Rhodes Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Deft.
Oct 15 1886	Complaint filed & summons with copy of summons & complaint issued	\$250	
" 22	Summons returned and filed & served Oct 22 at 34 up	25	
November 5	It appearing by the Constables return endorsed on the summons on file herein that the defendant was legally served with summons at the Township County of <u>Trinity</u> on the 22 nd day of October 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law having elapsed since such service and the defendant having failed to appear herein by answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the Law and the premises it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff, <u>Pugot Sound Lumber Co</u> , have judgment against and recover from the defendant <u>W. T. Tesnon</u> the sum of \$70 ⁰⁰ being \$4480 ⁰⁰ Principal and 20 Attorney fee and \$582 ⁰⁰ interest due on said Principal sum together with the costs of this action taxed to date at Justice's Costs 45 ⁰⁰ Constables and \$730 ⁰⁰ Total Judgment \$8243 ⁰⁰ Done in open Court this 5 th day of Nov 1886 J. B. Cook Clerk <u>W. T. Tesnon</u> Justice of the Peace		

No. 139

In the Justice's Court of San Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On Note

Costigan & Co

Plaintiff

v.

W. T. Seaton

Defendant

Demand \$ 15 and int @ 1% per month from Nov 28
1886 & 20 atty fee J. G. Rhodes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Oct 15 Complaint filed and summons with copies of
summons & complaint issued

2 50

" 22 Summons returned & filed served Oct 22 at 3⁴⁵ p.m.

25

Nov 3 Appearing by the Constable return endorsed
on the summons on file herein that the defendant
was legally served with summons at this Township
County of Fresno on the 22^d day of October
1886 and more than the time allowed by law
having elapsed since such service and the defendant
having failed to appear herein by answer or at
all. Now on motion of Plaintiff the default
of the Defendant is hereby entered

Now on motion of Plaintiff the and in consideration
of the law and the promises in it by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs

Costigan & Co have judgment against
and receive from the Defendant W. T. Seaton
the sum of \$1868⁰⁰ being \$150 Principal &
\$168⁰⁰ Interest due thereon and \$20 attorney
fee herein together with the cost of this

action taxed to date at Justice's costs \$13⁵⁰
Constable's Costs \$3⁰⁰ Total judgment \$198⁵⁰

Done in open Court this 5th day of Nov 1886
\$4⁵⁰ not Paid J. G. Rhodes

Justice of the Peace

Sept 17 1891 Execution issued.

Oct 28 Execution returned and find. unsatisfied.

No. 140

In the Justice's Court of *Thi* Township,
OF THE *Stt* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Hessie*

Action *On Note*

M. W. Muller

Plaintiff

v.

M. J. Deason

Defendant

Demand \$ *46²⁸ 1/2* *plus 1/2% from Oct 1886*
\$20 atty fee *J. H. Christ*
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

COSTS.

Pff. Def.

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

Oct 15

Summons filed and Summons with Copy of Summons and Complaint issued

250

22 *Summons returned & filed & served Oct 22 @ 3⁴⁵ P.M.*

25

November 5th

It appearing by the Constables return endorsed on the summons on file herein that the defendant was legally served with Summons at this Third Township County of Fresno on the 22nd day of Oct 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law having elapsed since such service and the defendant having failed to appear by answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered

*Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the Law and the premises it is by the Court her orders and adjudged that the Plaintiff M. W. Muller have Judgment against and recover from the Defendant M. J. Deason the sum of *Twenty Two* *28* *1/2* *100* Dollars *72²⁸ 1/2* being *46²⁸ 1/2* Principal and *6* Interest due thereon and *20* Attorneys fee herein*

total judgment together with the costs of this action taxed to date at justices aid \$4⁵⁰ 00
Clara Storer *and* *\$7³⁰ 00* *total judgment* *\$11⁸⁰ 00*

Done in open Court this 5th day of Nov 1886

\$4⁵⁰ 00 Paid

J. H. Christ

Justice of the Peace

Sept 17
Oct 28

Execution issued.
Execution returned unsatisfied

No. 141

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Thurs

Action On Note & AccountM. J. Hughes

Plaintiff

E. C. Conorse

Defendant

Demand \$ 106.00 Taken 100 from Def
6-28-86 @ 14 E. C. Conorse
20 atty fee Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Oct 21 Complaint filed and summons with copy of
summons & complaint issued \$2.50
" 22 Summons returned & filed served Oct 22^d 1886 25
" 27 Defendant files answer and offers to allow judgment 50
" 28 Comes now the Plaintiff and accepts Defendants
offer to allow judgment and file herein 25
Wherefore in consideration of the law and the
promises it is by the Court heretofore and 100
adjudged that the Plaintiff M. J. Hughes
have judgment against and recover from
the defendant E. C. Conorse the sum
of \$122 being \$100 Principal and 20 attorneys
fee for the prosecution this action and 2
interest due on on said Principal sum
together with the costs of this action
taped to date at Justices Costs \$4.00
Constables Costs \$1.00 Total judgment \$127.50
Done in open Court this 28th of October 1886

E. C. Conorse

Justice of the Peace

Nov 15 Execution issued for \$128.75

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

John D. Don
v.
Charles Holadon
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action *On account*

Demand \$

418.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

Oct 21 *1886* Complaint filed and summons with *2* copies of summons
Complaint issued 250
" 21 Summons returned and filed. *Served* Oct 21st at 2nd *1886* 250
" 28 It appearing by the Constable's return endorsed on
the summons on file herein that the defendant
was legally served with summons at 3rd *1886*
County of *Fresno* on the 21st day of Oct 1886
and more than the time allowed by law
having elapsed since such service and
the defendant having failed to appear herein
by answer or at all now on motion of
Plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby
entered.
" Comes now the Defendant and pays into
Court a sum in full of all demands
to wit \$45.00 including *costs* and
wherefore this action is dismissed
said sum or the amount of Plaintiff's demand
to wit \$41.80 paid into Court subject to
a garnishment served on the defendant
out of this Court and issued in the case
of *Cyrt Grand Lumber Company vs. J. D. Don*
The said sum of \$41.80 is held by this
Court and paid out subject to the order of this
Court

Done in open Court this 28th day of Oct 1886

E. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

Oct 28 *1886* Received of *E. H. Clark* \$41.80 in full of above demand
Philip Stewart atty for Plaintiff

No. 143

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Pescadero

Action Action on NoteP. K. Peters

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 26.75

v.

W. D. Grady

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Oct 21 1886

Complaint filed and Summons with Copy of Summons & Complaint issued

250

28 Summons returned and filed record at 3rd of Oct 28th

25

Nov 4

4 Appearing by the Constable, return endorsed on the summons on file herein that the Defendant W. D. Grady was duly and legally served with Summons at the 3rd of County of Pescadero on the 28th day of Oct 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law for my appearance such service and the Defendant having failed to appear herein by answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff the Defunct of the Defendant is hereby returned and now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the Law and the promise it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff P. K. Peters have judgment against and receive from the defendant W. D. Grady the sum of \$26.75 Twenty Six Dollars together with the costs of this action taxed to date at Justice's Court \$4.50 Constable's fee \$1.00 Total judgment Thirty One \$31.25
Done in open Court this 4th day of November 1886

\$4.50 not Paid

J. H. Smith
Justice of the Peace

No. 144

In the Justice's Court of Thirteenth Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Alameda

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Antoine Joseph
Don

Defendant

Action

For Debt

Demand \$

10.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

October 22 1886
Complaint filed

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

In the Justice's Court of *Thiis* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Engel & Sons Lumber
Company

Plaintiff

J. J. Don

Defendant

Action

On account

Demand \$

1182.00

Bruce Cavit

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Oct 24¹⁸⁸⁶ Complaint affidavit and undertaking
on attachment filed and summons with
copy of summons & complaint and writ of
attachment with copy issued
" 27 Summons returned & filed & served at 3rd Sup Court 26th
" 28 Comes now the Plaintiff and says demands and
all costs wherefore this action is
dismissed \$4.10, Custables Cost also
\$3 Custables Costs in Don N. Ellum
Paid to Custable Fanning
Done in open Court this 25th day of
October 1886

6.00
1.00

25

J. J. Don

Justice of the Peace

" 28 Paid Plaintiffs atty \$11.80 out of Demand *W. F.*

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

W. Baker & J. Johnson Others under the
Name & style of Baker & Johnson

Plaintiff

Action On
Demand \$ 292.37

W. E. Ballou & E. Hallenbeck
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

October 22nd 1886 Complaint, affidavit and Indenture on Attachment
filed in summons & Writ of Attachment & costs of
summons, Writ and Complaint issued \$6.60
" 27th Writ of Attachment returned and filed 25
November 4th Summons returned and filed served at 2nd sup. Oct 26th 25
8 It appearing by the Constables return
enclosed at the summons on file herein
that the defendant were and each of
them were legally served with summons
at this County at Fresno on the 26th
day of Oct 1886 and more than the
time allowed by Law having elapsed
since such service and the defendants
and each of them having failed to
appear by answer or at all
now on motion of Plaintiff and
inconsideration of the Law and the
Premises it is by the Court here ordered
and the default of the defendants
are and of each of them is hereby entered
And now on motion of Plaintiff and
inconsideration of the Law and the
Premises it is by the Court here ordered
and adjudged that the plaintiffs Baker
and Johnson have judgment against and
recover from the defendants W. E. Ballou &
E. Hallenbeck the sum of \$242.37
being the amount claimed by Plaintiff at the
time of filing this Complaint Less \$50 received
by Plaintiffs from defts since the commencement
of this action together with Plaintiffs costs herein &
costs to date at Fresno Cost \$8.50 Constables Costs \$0.25
Total Judgment \$260.87
Done in open Court this 8th day of November 1886
C. H. Short Justice of the Peace

Nov 10 1886 Execution issued for \$262.12
\$9.75 J. O. [unclear] not paid - Paid March 28th 1887 - Justice Cost \$10.25
Carroll Cost \$30.87
Philip Stewart J. P.

No. 147

In the Justice's Court of *First* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Action *On Note**Moore & Smith*

Plaintiff

Demand \$

*23,320 Principal and \$15 costs**Druid Cantt*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Roderick Bayne

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

October 23 ¹⁸⁸⁶ Complaint filed and Summons with fees of *\$2.50*
Summons and Complaint issued
Nov 10 Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
 satisfaction of the matters complained of in
 the Complaint and pays all costs
 wherefor this action is dismissed
 Done in open Court this 10th day of Nov 1886
J.P. 3,25
Costs plus 1.50
\$4.75 Master of Peace

J.P. Smith

In the Justices Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action For Damages

John S. Dore

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 20

Peter Elliott

Defendant

Geo. Raley

Attorney for Plaintiff

Nours & Church

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Deft.
October 25 1886	Complaint, filed and Summons with Copy of Summons & Complaint issued	250	
" 27	Summons returned & filed served Oct 26 th 1886 @ 3 ⁴⁵ p	25	
" 29	Defendant denies orally each and every allegation in Plaintiffs Complaint	25	
Nov 13	On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Saturday Nov 20 th 1886 @ 10 am, Continued indefinitely	25	
Dec 1	On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Saturday Dec 1 st 1886 @ 10 am	25	
" 16	On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Deft this case is continued to Tuesday Dec 21 st 1886 @ 10 am	25	
" 22	On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Deft this case is set for trial for Tuesday Dec 28 th 1886 @ 10 am	25	
" 28	On motion of Deft and Consent of Plff this case is continued to 2 PM Dec 28 th and subpoena issued for Plaintiff	25	
" 28	Court in Court and ready for trial John S. Dore vs Henry Hunt Plaintiffs Rest Deft moves for a nonsuit argument heard and motion overruled Deft recalls Henry Hunt Peter Elliott & Edith Hunt sworn and Examined Deft offers letters in evidence admitted Defendant rests four rulings Argument heard and case submitted and taken under advisement to Dec 30 th 1886 @ 10 am	50 50 50 50 25 100 150	
" 30	Having fully considered the Law and the premises it is by the Court heretofore and adjudged that the Plaintiff John S. Dore have judgment against and recover from the Deft P. Elliott the sum of 10 which sum the Court finds to be the value of the quantity of his own use by Deft together with 2 for the wrongful conversion of said property together with the Costs of this action taxed to date at 10 cents in all \$12.00 Costs and service fees Witness fees Total Judgment \$31.50 Dues in Court Dec 30 th 1886 75¢ Deft Justice of Peace	1050 1050 3150	

Jan 13th 1887 Plff paid \$10.50
Deft paid 25¢

Dec 31st Jan 1st Plff sworn to and filed

No. 149

In the Justice's Court of Thirion Township,
OF THE State of California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On Promissory Note

Cortezan Cohen & Co

Plaintiff

v.

C. H. Hawn

Defendant

Demand \$ 255-28 Principal and Int of same
month from April 1886 Bruce Carver
\$40 attorney Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Deft.
1886 October 26	Complaint, affidavit and Undertaking and Attachment filed and summons served by Attachment with a copy of summons writ and Complaint issued		6.00
Nov 8	Summons returned and filed Served Oct 27 th 1886	25	
" 8	It appearing by the Constable's return endorsed on the summons on file herein that the defendant C. H. Hawn was legally served with summons at this County of Fresno on the 27 th day of Oct 1886 and more than the time allowed by Law having elapsed since such service and said Deft. having failed to appear herein by Answer or at all now on motion of Plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered and now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the law and the premises it is by the Court	25	25
	here ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Cortezan Cohen & Co have judgment against and recover from the defendant C. H. Hawn the sum of \$303.83 being \$25.3 and \$178.53 interest due thereon and \$20 attorney fee herein together with the costs of this action taxed to date of Justice's Costs \$8.37 Constables Costs \$15.80 Total judgment 328.13	1.25	8.60
	Done in open Court this 8 th day of Nov 1886 J. H. Short Justice of the Peace		
Nov 18	This judgment is fully satisfied all costs paid Constables Costs paid to Fanning		

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,OF THE StateOF CaliforniaCOUNTY OF ShastaAction OnCashier Cash & Co

Plaintiff

Demand \$

23²²/₁₀₀Price Court

Attorney for Plaintiff

C. H. Brown

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

October 26 1886

Complaint, affidavit and undertaking on attachment
 filed and summons writ of attachment with
 with copies of summons writ and complaint issued

Nov 8

Summons returns filed served Oct 29th 1886

It appearing by the Constables return enclosed on
 the summons on file herein that the
 defendant C. H. Brown was legally served
 with summons at the County of Shasta
 on the 29th day of Oct 1886 and more
 than the time allowed by law having
 elapsed since such service and the
 defendant having failed to appear herein
 by answer or at all now on motion
 of Plaintiff and in consideration of the
 premises the default of the defendant is
 hereby entered and now on motion
 of Plaintiff and in consideration of
 the law and the premises it
 is by the Court here ordered and
 adjudged that the Plaintiff, Cashier Cash
 & Co have judgment against and
 recover from the defendant C. H. Brown
 the sum of \$23²²/₁₀₀ together with the
 costs of this action taxed to date
 at Justice's Court \$8⁵²/₁₀₀
 Constables Costs \$158⁰⁰/₁₀₀
 Total Judgment \$47⁵²/₁₀₀
 Done in open Court this 8th
 day of Nov 1886 J. H. Short
 Justice of the Peace

Nov 8

ten day stay of proceeding granted

11

This judgment is fully satisfied
 all costs paid, Constables Costs Paid

6⁰⁰/₁₀₀
 25

No. 151

In the Justice's Court of Shirley Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On accountA. S. Gaddstein

Plaintiff

v.

F. Buzza

Defendant

Demand \$ 3953.10

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
October 24

Complaint, affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment
filed and Summons & writ of Attachment with copies
of Summons, writ and Complaint issued.

50
6.00

Comes now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained of
in the Complaint and pays all
Costs.

Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 2^d day of
Nov 1886

J. H. Thorpe
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action For Disturbing the
Peace

Demand \$

Geo Mansfield

Defendant

J. J. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

Oct 1886

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court informed
of the charge against him and of his
rights in the premises whereupon he
pleaded guilty as charged in the Complaint
Wherefore it is by the Court here ordered
and adjudged that for the said offense
you, the said Geo Mansfield be imprisoned
in the County Jail of said County of Fresno
for the term of ten days
Dated at my office and done in open
Court this 29th day of Oct 1886

3.00

J. J. Daly
Justice of the Peace

Justice Costs 20.55
Total Costs 52.60

From page 153 No 153 Shout vs G. Berry & Co. Traders
which was filed
The Jury find a Verdict in favor of the Defendant
Signed C. H. Sweet for man
On demand of Plaintiff Jury foreclosed and each in response 175
this name as called responded that the foregoing 1953
is his Verdict except Burry, Bancroft who 1.00
responded "No". In accord with the foregoing Verdict
in consideration of the Law and the premises
It is by the Court here ordered and adjudged that the
Plaintiff J. A. Shout take nothing by reason of this action
that the defendant Mr. L. Prager & Clarence Berry &
his Guardian Ad Litem W. J. Berry have judgment
against & recover from the Plaintiff J. A. Shout their
Costs herein as per Cost Bill on file being to date
Justice Costs 15.30 Custodes As 25 Jury fees 24.00
Witness fees 64.80 Total Judgment 142.35
Done in open Court Nov 23rd 1886 and day of Proceedings granted

17.80

175

1953

1.00

2055

In the Justice's Court of *Thi S* Township,OF THE *State*OF *California*COUNTY OF *Thi S**The People of the State of California*

Plaintiff

Action

On Charge of Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

J. W. Cross

Defendant

J. W. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Oct. 29

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
 Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into Court and by the Court informed
 of the Charge against him and of his
 rights in premises, whereupon he pleads
 Guilty as Charged in the Complaint
 Whereupon it is by the Court here
 ordered and adjudged that for the said
 offense the said offense the said
 J. W. Cross pay a fine of Ten Dollars
 Fine paid and defendant discharged
 Done in open Court this 29th day of
 October 1886

J. W. Cross
 Justice of the Peace

No. 155

Balance due to date 155
Principal & Int \$247 ⁴⁸/₁₀₀

In the Justice's Court of *Thurston* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Action *On Note & Acc't*

M. J. Donahoe

Plaintiff

Demand \$ *269 ²³/₁₀₀ and Interest*

C. H. Haun

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Def.

*November 1st Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking and
Attachment filed and Summons with Copies of
Summons and Complaint and writ of
Attachment with Copy issued*

\$6 ³⁸/₁₀₀

" 4 Summons & Writ of Attachment returned & filed Nov 7th 1886

30

*" 8 Defendant denies orally each and every allegation
in Plaintiff's Complaint*

25

*" 9th Too Pays into Court \$18.80 alleged to be
in full of his indebtedness to C. H. Haun Deft.
said amt. having been heretofore attached in his
hand by process issued in this action
\$6.20 Constables Cost paid Balance \$12.60*

*Balance due to Donahoe \$5 credited on account
of drawing Lien*

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The Puget Sound Lumber Co
Plaintiff

v.

C. H. Hawn
Defendant

Action

On Promissory

Demand

\$23,574.00 attorney and
int @ 10% per month from May 2nd 1884

Byrne & Co. Attorney for Plaintiff

J. J. Hindsbrook & Sons Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

1886
Norman & Co. Complaint & Affidavit and Undertaking on
Attachment filed and Summons and Writ
of Attachment with a copy of Summons Writ
and Complaint issued

1.00

8 Summons returned and filed served 8th Nov 1886

25

Writ of Attachment returned and filed

25

Defendant files Answer

25

On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of
Defendant this case is set for trial for Nov 10th 1886

25

@ 9 AM Venia & Subpoena with 3 copies issued

195

9 Subpoena returned and filed

25

10 Venia returned & filed

25

Time of trial and Parties here and witnesses in

Court On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of

25

Deft this case is continued to Nov 11th 1886 @ 1:30 PM

25

and Witnesses and jury instructed in open Court & Deposed

25

11 On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Deft this

25

case is continued to Monday Nov 15th 1886 @ 9 AM

25

Jury Discharged and new Venia issued

50

15 Venia returned & filed Subpoena with copy issued

50

for Deft, returned & filed

65

Jury sworn to answer questions Second Venia issued

25

returned and filed

25

The following persons sworn to try the case Mr. Williams for Plaintiff

W. J. Riggs W. Harris John Roberts J. Bentley W. T. Kear

T. J. Barrett T. Fresh J. Gattle W. Rogers

50

A. E. Nogales M. W. Mulholland & James Plaintiff Rest

50

C. H. Hawn Wm. White W. D. Weston sworn & examined Deft Rest

75

T. J. Barlow sworn and examined and W. W. Mulholland & Wm. Rest

25

recalled Plaintiff as to rebuttal C. H. Hawn recalled

25

Parties Rest arguments heard Jury committed to sworn officer

25

and return and return the following Verdict "We the jury

1585

find for the Defendant & award W. J. Riggs from and

25

16

25

1.25

1785

See Page 154 for

No. 157

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On

J. M. Franklin

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 13.45 100

v.

F. Bisagzi

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

November 3rd Complaint, affidavit and Undertaking and Attachment
filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment with Copies
of Summons, Writ and Complaint issued

67.00

From Page 156 to 156 Pugot Sound Lumber Co vs C. H. Hume
Nov 15 In accordance with the above Verdict
and in consideration of the Law and the
promises it is by the Court here ordered
and adjudged that the Defendant C. H. Hume
have judgment against and recover from
the Plaintiff the Pugot Sound Lumber Co
his Cost in this action taxed to date
at Justice Costs \$88.50 Court Costs \$18.00
Witness fees \$14.80 Jury fees \$24.00
Total Judgment \$65.35
Done in open Court this 15th day of
Nov 1886 J. H. Frost

1785
150

Justice of the Peace

Nov 17 Cost Bill sworn to & filed

- " 22 Defendant files Notice of Motion to relax Costs 25
" 23 Parties appear in Court and answer that the Cost Bill as filed therein
is exaggerated as follows to wit that the Cost actually
incurred by Plaintiff is stricken from said Cost Bill and
it is so ordered. And said Cost Bill is amended & read
as for as the items of Justice and Court Costs
consumed as follows Justice Costs \$88.50
Court Costs \$18.00 Witness fees \$14.80 Jury fees \$24.00
Costs and the amount taken therefrom being Costs
incurred by Plaintiff

25
25

Nov 24th 1886
Plaintiff files Notice of and Undertaking an appeal and pays bond \$22.30
Whereupon the papers and transcripts are affirmed and set on for hearing
& Clerk of Superior Court & his County Clerks & Justices

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,

OF THE

OF

California

COUNTY OF

*Tulare**The People of the State of*
California

Plaintiff

v.

Action

For Selling Liquor on
Election Day

Demand \$

E. J. Griffith & J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Gise

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

1886
November 5th

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
 Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into court and by the Court informed
 of the charge against him and his rights
 in the premises whereupon he pled
 not guilty as charged and on his motion
 this case is set for Saturday Nov 6th 1886
 @ Two O'clock P.M.

Nov

Writ and Subpoena issued
 Saturday term of that Writ and
 Subpoena returned and filed
 Defendant in court and ready for that
 Geo. Wicks the Prosecuting witness failing
 to appear therein after being duly notified
 and Subpoenaed the Court issues order
 of Attachment and places the same
 in the hands of Constable Humm
 said order citing said Geo. Wicks to
 appear and show Cause why he should not
 be punished for Contempt for disobeying
 the order of this Court

"

" The Prosecuting failing to appear herein
 On motion of Defendant Wicks is discharged
 and there being no evidence against the
 defendant and no one appearing to prosecute
 this case the said defendant is adjudged not
 guilty and ordered discharged and it appearing
 to the Court that this prosecution was brought
 without probable Cause the Court assesses
 the costs against the prosecuting witness Geo. Wicks
 and said Geo. Wicks failing to give Bonds as required herein
 according to law now in consideration of the law and the
 premises it is by the Court his order and adjudge that
 the People of the State of California, their Judges, Justices
 against and recover from the said Geo. Wicks the
 sum of \$100.00 and the Court hereby certifies that
 said Geo. Wicks is in default of said Court Nov 6th 1886

No

No

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Humboldt

P.R. Fanning
v.

Plaintiff

John Haas

Defendant

Action

On R

Demand \$

2179.00

Wharton Webb

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

Nov 6 1886

PROCEEDINGS

Complaint filed and Summons with
copies of Summons & Complaint issued

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

\$257

250

No. 160

In the Justice's Court of Thirsk Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Thiers

Baker & Hamilton
Plaintiff

v.

C. H. Hawen
Defendant

Action On Promissory
note
Demand \$ 83 & int @ 1% from Sep 1st 1886

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

November 6 1886 Complaint, affidavit and Undertaking are
Attachments filed and Summons with
Writ of Attachment and Copies of Summons
Writ and Complaint issued

6.00
6.00

25

" 18 Summons returned & filed served Nov 7th 1886
" It appearing by the Constables return
Endorsed on the Summons on file herein
that the defendant was legally served with
Summons at the 7th day of Nov 1886
and more than the time allowed
by Law having elapsed since
such service and the defendant
having failed to appear herein by
answer or at all now on motion
of Plaintiff the default of the
defendant is hereby entered

Now on motion of Plaintiff and
in consideration of the Law and
the premises it is by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that the
Plaintiff Baker & Hamilton have
Judgment against and recover
from the defendant C. H. Hawen
the sum of \$100.00 Principal
and \$15.00 Interest due thereon
together with the cost of this action
taxed to date at Justice's Court \$8.25
Enclosed \$6.00

Total Judgment \$114.95
Dune was paid just this 18th
day of Nov 1886 C. H. Hawen
Execution issued for Writ of 7th day

116.20

Constable's Costs \$9.00
Plff's Costs \$5.00
Out Cash on Hand due \$114.95

Due

No. 161

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoAction OnR. Crawford

Plaintiff

Demand \$

78,250.00

v.

H. Backer

Defendant

E. J. Gifford
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Nov 10	1886	Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking are Attachments filed and Summons & Writ of Attachment with copies of Summons Writ and Complaint issued	\$6.00	
"	"	Defendant files Answer and Cross Complaint	50	
"	"	On motion of Defendant & Consent of Plaintiff this Case is set for trial for 2 P.M. this day	50	
"	"	Subpoena with 3 copies issued	25	
"	"	Subpoena returned & filed	150	
"	"	Defendant's Plaintiff Summons orally to Defendant Answers and Cross Complaint on the ground that the claims contained or claimed there in are unliquidated and do not grow out of the Transaction in issue. Summons overruled Def's Plaintiff excepts	25	
"	"	R. Crawford & L. J. Craig sworn & examined & ruling Plaintiff rest and Defendant moves for a moment on the ground that Plaintiff's testimony shows that Plaintiff's demand is shown by his own evidence to be not now due and that his Cause of Action if any grows out of a tort, Motion overruled on the condition that Plaintiff forthwith file an Amended Complaint. Amended Complaint offered Deft waives filing of the same	75	
"	"	Having considered Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and the evidence herein the Court orders the property attached herein released and takes notice of filing Amended Complaint under advisement until 2 P.M. Nov 13 th	25	
Nov 18	"	Plaintiff withdraws Amended Complaint and offers answer to Def's Cross Complaint and asks that the same be filed	25	
		All proceedings herein are by Consent indubitably continued	25	
			<u>1250</u>	<u>1250</u>

No. 162In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF PesceroG. Marone

Plaintiff

v.

M. Damianovich

Defendant

Action On ye

Demand \$

\$1350.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

10 Complaint filed and summons with this
14 of summons & answer issued
14 Defendant files answer
18 Summons returned & filed
 Comes now the Plaintiff and confesses
 summons & answer & says answer is
 sustained & he allows 2 days in which time
 to file an amended Complaint

\$250

25

25

25

25

\$350

No

Argument in the within case duly anticipated

No. 163

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

PP Action On Claim of Delivery of

LAW OFFICE
-OF-

Re Crawford Philip Stewart
vs

A Hacker

ROOM 3, DONAHOO BUILDING.

Fresno, Cal., Apr 13th 1887

Receipt of P Stewart J. P. \$5⁰⁰ five
Dollars and ³⁰/₁₀₀ Constable cost in above named
-ed case

J A Fanning
Ex Constable

Argument in the within case duly satisfied
this 11th day of April 1888 -
Philip Stewart

The Possession of the Property sued for
in the Complaint to wit the two horse
Wagon painted a Yellow Color, the Court finds
finds that said wagon is now in possession
of the Constable and held by virtue of the order
of this Court and said Constable is ordered
to deliver the possession of the same to Plaintiff
The value of said wagon is found by the Court to
be \$100. and a delivery thereof cannot be had there
but the Plaintiff have Judgment against and
recover from the Defendant the said value thereof
to wit \$100. together with \$16 Damage for the wrongful
detention of the same and the cost of this action
being to date Justice Cost \$7⁵⁰ Constable Cost \$5⁰⁰ and Clerk's Fee
Total Judgment Due in plain book Nov 15th 1884 \$123.00

\$1775 J. P. Cost

No. 162

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

Geo Marone

Plaintiff

v.

Action

On ye

Demand \$

\$135⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

COSTS.

Deft.

Disregard in the within case all outlaid

No. 163

In the Justice's Court of Thurs Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

R Crawford

Plaintiff

v.

W Hocker

Defendant

Action

On Claim & Delivery of
Personal Property

Demand \$100

\$25 Damage

E. Griffith

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Nov 1886

11 Complaint and affidavit filed and summons
with copy of summons Complaint Affidavit
and Undertaking issued

55

" 13 Summons returned and filed served at 34 up 2nd Nov 1886

25

" 18 It appearing by the Constable return endorsed
an old summons on file herein that

the defendant was Legally served with
summons at the 34 up County of Fresno
on the 18th day of Nov 1886 and more
than the time allowed by Law having
elapsed since such service and
the defendant having failed to appear
by answer or at all now on motion
of Plaintiff the default of the defendant
is hereby entered

25

25

Now on motion of Plaintiff the Court
proceeds to hear evidence on behalf
of Plaintiff R Crawford sworn & examined
Plaintiff Rest

25

25

100

775

Wherefore having fully considered the
Law and the premises it is by the
Court here ordered and adjudged
that the Plaintiff R Crawford recover judgment
against and recover from the defendant Hocker
the Possession of the Property sued for
in the Complaint to wit One Two horse
Wagon painted a Yellow Color, the Court finds
finds that said wagon is now in possession
of the Constable and held by virtue of the order
of this Court and said Constable is ordered
to deliver the possession of the same to Plaintiff
the value of said wagon is found by the Court to
be \$100 and a delivery thereof cannot be had there
but the Plaintiff have judgment against and
recover from the defendant the said value thereof
to wit \$100 together with \$16 Damage for the wrongful
detention of the same and the costs of this action
being to date Justice's Court Constable Costs \$5.00
Total judgment due in plain Nov 18 1886

\$775 J. O. Galt

Argument in the within case duly satisfied
the 11th day of April 1888 -
Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

123.05

No. 164

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Edw A Baughell
Plaintiff

v.

Mr. Vincent McDonald
Defendant

With Verne Baro

Action On account

Demand \$ 885.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

1886
November 13 Com. plaint filed

75

No. 165

In the Justice's Court of Thirteenth Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

Action For Crimes to
Animals

Demand \$

Not any for Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

John Dory Fred Kelley
Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Nov 13th 1886 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 13 Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court
Came on and Plaintiff and acknowledge
satisfaction of the matters Complaint
against and to dismiss the charges
wherefor this action is
dismissed

Done in open Court Nov 15th 1886

E. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

No. 166

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoThe People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

Chas Pac Woods

Defendant

Action

For Threats to
Commit Murder

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Nov 15 Complaint filed and warrant issued
 " 15 Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 " 16 brought into Court and on default of Bail is
 committed to the Sheriff of Fresno Co
 " 16 Came now the Plaintiff and asks that
 this action be dismissed and pay all
 costs, Wherefore this action is dismissed
 Done in open Court this 17th day of Nov 1886

J. H. Daly
Justice of the Peace

No. 167

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On Note

M. J. Donahoe

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 3270

v.

Ben. B. Bresee

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Nov 13

Complaint, affidavit and undertaking
an attachment filed and summons with
Writ of Attachment and Copies of Summons
Writ and Complaint issued

6⁰⁰
1⁰⁰

"

17 Summons returned & filed as at 9th Sep Nov 17th 1886
Also Writ of Attachment returned & filed

25⁻
25⁻

"

18 Came now the Plaintiff and acknowledges
Satisfaction of the matters sued on
in the Complaint

Wherefore this action is dismissed
at Plaintiff's Cost

Done in open Court the 18th day of Nov
1886

Comptroller's Cost \$7.60

Justice's Cost 7.00
\$14.60

W. H. Bond
Justice of the Peace
H

\$7.00

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
 OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On

Demand \$ 63

W. A. Dwyer & J. J. Kind
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

C. B. Brown
 Plaintiff
 v.
James Eugene and
John D. Eugene
 Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

November 15 Complaint, affidavit & undertaking on Attachment
 filed & Summons & Writ of Attachment with papers
 of Summons, Writ and Complaint issued
 \$6.00

6.00

\$6.00

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California Action For Malicious Mischief

v.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

J. M. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Groff W. Mansfield

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Nov 1886
16 Complaint filed and Warrant issued

"

1886
Nov 17 After Giddy brought into Court and an at being made to appear at the Court that said Giddy had been only admitted to Bail by J. M. Johnson J.P. of Fresno Co. the Court takes and gives Bail for said Giddy in the sum of \$100 to J. M. Johnson Peace Officer Nov 17th 1886

No. 170

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

Pat Maloy

Defendant

Action

For Injury

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

November 19 Complaint filed and Warrant issued

Dec

16 Plaintiff moves the Court for an order requiring defendant to turn over a Horse and Buggy now owned by the defendant, toward the satisfaction of the judgment against him and matter taken under advertisement until 11 and Dec 17th 1886

2 25
25

"

17 Having fully considered the Law and the premises it is the Court's order that said Deft turn over said Horse and Buggy to the Sheriff of this County and said Sheriff is ordered to take said Horse and Buggy and apply them toward the satisfaction of the Judgment as required by Law
Constables Costs \$4.95

25

25

300

"

20 Execution returned & filed fully satisfied
This judgment is fully satisfied
Dec 20th 1886

O. D. Ford
Justice of the Peace

Action For Damages

Plaintiff

Demand \$299⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Defendant

Philip Stewart & Bruce Davis
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
			Plef.	Def.
November	22	Complaint filed and Summons with Copies of Summons & Complaint issued	250	
"	24	Defendant orally denies each & every allegation in Plaintiffs Complaint	25	
"	30	On motion of Plaintiff & Consent of Deft this Case is set for trial for Saturday Dec 11 th 1886 @ 9 AM.	25	
Dec	3	Subpoena with 5 Copies issued for Plaintiff	225	
"	9	On motion of Plaintiff and Consent of Defendant this Case is Continued to Saturday Dec 18 th 1886 @ 9 AM.	25	
"	14	Subpoenas with four Copies issued for Plaintiff	85	
"	16	Return issued and Demand of Plaintiff for 12 th Dec	25	
"	17	Return & Subpoena returned & filed Certus in Court	50	
		Ready for trial my sworn to answer questions	50	
		Complaint on motion of Plaintiff Allowed & amended so as to read Albie E. Drow Ref. instead of Albie S. Drow	25	
		The following my sworn to try this Case being six with which number the Parties agree to try this Case David M. Lower, G. Hall, J. Tindell, W. A. Foster, Frank Nath & Frank Bruce; on M. Hughes sworn & examined 5 Ch of Deft omitted & two sustained	25	
		Cell & Drow sworn & examined Bill of Sale of Property in question offered & admitted in Evidence Ref. after showing by evidence that the Bill of Sale was given some days after delivery of horse asked to Withers the same motion omitted Defendant objects to any testimony to any terms of Sale or Contract of Sale as expressed in said Bill of Sale Withers taken under advisement & adjournment taken until 2 PM	25	
		Plaintiff moves & dismisses this action. Whereupon this action is dismissed at Plaintiff Costs	25	
		Whereupon in consideration of the Law and the premises it is by the Court her ordered & adjudged that the Defendant have judgment against the recovery from the Plaintiff the sum of \$220 not paid	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges Costs 1.00	25	
		Total 2.00	25	
		Costs to Plaintiff 2.00	25	
		Plaintiff Pays Jury 1.00	25	
		Plaintiff Judges		

In the Justice's Court of Thrift Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Cresno

Frank Davis
Plaintiff

M. Dalton
Defendant

Action On Fe

Demand \$ 20

J. A. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. Edwards
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Def.
November 24 1886	Complaint and Affidavit an Attachment filed and Summons & Writ of Attachment with Copies of Summons, Writ & Complaint issued Plaintiff having deposited \$200 in lieu of undertaking as required by Law		600
" 26	Comes now the Defendant by his Attorney E. Edwards and appears herein and applies to the Court for an order releasing the property attached whereupon the Court orders that said property be released on Defendant filing a good and sufficient bond as required in Sec 534 & 535 Code of Civil Procedure. Bond approved & filed & Constable ordered to release property attached	25	25
" 26	Summons returned & filed served		25
" 26	Defendant files answer On motion of Plaintiff Consent of Defendant this case is set for trial for Nov 27 1886 @ 8 AM. By Consent of Plaintiff and on motion of Deft this case is continued to 4 PM. This day	25	25
" 27	Subpoena with 2 copies issued for Deft returned & filed		105
" "	Plaintiff files & verifies Affidavit & Verms for a continuance motion overruled and On motion of Plaintiff Consent of Deft this case is adjourned to 4 PM this day	50	25
" "	Subpoena with 2 copies issued for Plaintiff returned & filed		130
" "	On motion of Plaintiff witnesses sworn & excluded J. Davis, Chas Davis, W. McDowell, Tom Fresh Frank Schontom, Dan Smith & Rufus Smith sworn & examined	50	75
" "	Plaintiff Rests 3 Rulings		75
" "	John Stems, N. Johnson, L. Shady & M. Dalton sworn & examined	100	
" "	Defendant Rests Two Rulings		50
" "	Argument heard & case taken under advisement until Dec 1st 1886 @ 10 AM.		
See Page Page 175			

No. 173

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action For Damages

C. C. Nichols

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 120.00

v.

Wm. Chunden

Defendant

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Reel Berry
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plff.	Def.
1886			
November 24	Complaint filed & Summons with copy of Summons & Complaint issued	\$2.50	
" 29	Summons returned & filed & served at Fresno Co. Nov 27	.25	
Dec 3d	Defendant files Demurrer	.25	
" 13	On motion of Plaintiff for argument on Demurrer is fixed for Wednesday Dec 15 th 1886 @ 10 am	.25	
" 15	Demurrer is hereby overruled and the defendant answers & denies each and every allegation of Plaintiff's Complaint	.25	
" 22	On motion of Plaintiff and answer of defendant this case is set for trial for Thursday Dec 30 th 1886 @ 10 am	.25	
" 28	Subpoena with 2 copies issued for Deft	1.05	
" 28	Comes marked ^{Defendant} Plaintiff and on motion and by Laragold Court and answer of Plaintiff files in Amended Answer Time of Trial	.25	
Dec 30	Plaintiff moves to strike out all that portion of Deft's Amended Answer referring to the jurisdiction of the Court on the ground that the same should have been raised by demurrer and accompanied by affidavits as to jurisdictional facts and the further ground that an unreasonable time has elapsed during which to raise the question of jurisdiction Motion granted, and said portion of Answer stricken out as immaterial after answer to general Demurrer Defendant excepts to <u>Hammingson</u> & <u>C. C. Nichols</u> sworn and examined Plaintiff not <u>Wm. Chunden</u> Harry Baluy & <u>W. E. Juicy</u> sworn and examined Defendant argument heard & case submitted, <u>Harry Juicy</u> considered the Law and the facts, it is by the Court his order and is adjudged that the Plaintiff <u>C. C. Nichols</u> has judgment against <u>Wm. Chunden</u> the sum of Fifty Dollars together with his costs herein taxed to date at present <u>Dec 11th 1886</u> <u>Quintanar</u> Costs \$2.40 <u>Witness</u> <u>James</u> Total Judgment <u>71.40</u> <u>James</u> in open Court this 30 th of Dec 1886 <u>Just</u> Justice agreed <u>James</u>		

Dec 30 Court Bill sworn & filed
 " - 30 Defendant's piece of money
 and taking an affidavit
 pay money of \$120.00 and papers made up & the
 transmitted to Clerk of Superior Court this County

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

First Street Lumber
Co

Plaintiff

v.

Chas Burks

Defendant

Action On Sc

Demand \$

50.00

Arace Haws
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Piff.	Def.
November 27 1886	Complaint filed & summons with copies of summons & complaint issued	\$ 250	
" 30	Summons returned & filed served Nov, 29 th at 3 rd 1886	25	
Dec 6	It appearing by the summons on file herein that the defendant was legally served with summons at this 3 rd Corp County of Fresno on the 29 th day of Nov 1886 and more than the time allowed by law having elapsed since such service and said defendant having failed to appear herein by answer or at all, now on motion of Plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered. Now on motion of Plaintiff and in consideration of the law and the premises it is by the Court her orders and adjudged that the Plaintiff, First Street Lumber Co. have judgment against and recover from the defendant, Charles Burks the sum of \$50 together with the costs of this action taxed to date at which costs \$4.50 Qualifier and \$1.00 Total Judgment \$55.50. Done in open Court this 6 th day of Dec 1886 <u>E. H. O. Smith</u> Deputy Clerk Peace		
Dec 13	Execution issued for \$56.75		
" 13	Plaintiff files and verifies Affidavit for Examination of Debts and Order for Debts to appear in Court on Dec 16 th 1886 issued with copies of Affidavit and Order		
" 16	Order returned and filed served. Parties in Court and Charles Burks sworn and Examined See Page 170	200	
		25	
		225	

In the Justice's Court of *Thirsk* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Kern*

C. P. Watson

Plaintiff

Action *on ac for*
medial services

Demand \$

James Travis
and Maria A. Travis

Defendant

H. L. Gurn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

November 27 Complaint, affidavit & undertaking on attachment
filed & summons & writ of attachment issued & fees
of summons & writ & complaint issued \$6.60
" " Summons returned & filed served on Plaintiff among which and sent 25
" 30 Deft. Demurred orally & Plaintiff's complaint on the ground that the same
does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
" " Came on and the Plaintiff and pays all costs and
moves to dismiss this action
Wherefore this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 30th day of Nov 1886

J. H. Ford
Justice of the Peace

From Page 172 No 172 *Dwight W. Dalton* 1885
Dec 1 Having fully considered the law and the premises 150
it is by the Court her order and adjudged that 18.85
the Plaintiff Grant Mrs. Dalton her judgment
against and recover from the defendant M. Dalton 18.85
the sum of \$20. together with the costs of
this action taxed to date at Justice's Court 21.35
Constables Costs \$10.20 Witness fees \$15.40
Total Judgment \$67.45
Done in open Court this 1st day of Dec 1886 (Dec)

J. H. Ford
Justice of the Peace

Dec 1 Cont. Bice sworn & filed
" " ten days stay of proceedings granted Defendant
" 13 Execution issued for \$68.20
" 14 Execution returned not satisfied
" 21 Defendant files notice of and undertaking on
appeal and papers on appeal made up & transmitted
to Clerk of Superior Court this County of Kern

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On Note

J. F. Fanning

Plaintiff

v.

D. W. Root

Defendant

Demand \$171.50 with int from Jan 24th 1886 @ 11 1/4 per cent per month

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

November 27 1886 Complaint, Affidavit and Undertaking in Attachment
filed and Summons & writ of Attachment with copies
of Summons, writ & Complaint issued \$6

6⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Jan 11 Case ordered dismissed by J. F. Fanning as
agent of Plaintiff - who appears for Plaintiff there
This Court therefore dismisses said action.
Done in open Court this 11th day of
January 1887.

25

Philip J. Stewart
Justice of the Peace

No. 177

In the Justice's Court of *San Francisco* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno**The People of the State of*
California

Plaintiff

Action *For Perjury*

Demand \$

Bernhard Marks

Defendant

*George E. Church, W.D. Grady**J.H. Daly, John Nourse*

Attorneys for Plaintiff

W.D. Grady, P.D.

Attorneys for Defendant

DATE
1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

November 27 Complaint filed & warrant issued

" " Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into Court and on his application this case is not accepted but for trial and the Court orders that the defendant be admitted to Bail in the sum of One Thousand Dollars Bail given Bonds approved & filed

Dec 15 On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for Thursday Dec 23^d 1886 at 10 o'clock AM. Dates accordingly noted by Clerk

" 23 On motion of Defendant and consent of Plaintiff this case is continued to and set for Thursday January 6th 1887 at 10 o'clock AM

Jan 6 By consent of counsel James Gallagher is appointed stenographer - Continued to Jan 7 - at 2 P.M.

" 7 E.W. Risley was appointed stenographer by this Court & W.D. Grady objecting on the grounds that he was a practicing Attorney - Case opened and examination of witnesses commenced.

" 7 Case continued to Jan 8 - 1887 by consent

" 8 " " by consent to Jan 18th 1887 at 10 AM - Case

" 18 People of the State of California appeared by George E. Church and W.D. Grady and counsel the defendant appeared in Court accompanied by P.D. Wigginton & W.D. Grady his counsel:

The following persons were sworn and examined during the examination viz: on part of the People - John W. Seebart, A.C. Williams, George E. Church, Charles L. Weinwright, M.J. Church, George W. Frink, John P. Dore, J.M. Collier, A.A. Howell, John Harten, M. Jensen

Record Continued in Page 179

In the Justice's Court of Thirteenth Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Alameda

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

M. J. Church

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

James H. Daly & Wigginton & Sons

Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. E. Church & W. D. Grady

Attorney for Defendant

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

1886
November 29 Complaint filed & Warrant issued
" 30 Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought
into Court and on his application Bail is
fixed at One Thousand Dollars Bail firm Bond
approved filed
December 23rd On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for trial for
Thursday January 6th 1887 @ 2 P.M.
January 20 Defendant files affidavit for continuance,
and by consent of counsel examination
is set for February 14th 1887 at 10 A.M.
Feb 14 Parties appeared before Court for hearing People
represented by Horace Haines Esq Defendant by
W. D. Grady and George E. Church.
On motion John W. Gearhart is appointed Reporter
in this cause.
The following witnesses sworn and examined
for the prosecution viz: S. H. Hill Esq, H. S.
Deyar, W. H. Story, J. H. Wisler, A. F. Hoffman.
The Defendant produced no evidence - Case
argued and submitted. Decision reserved
until Feb 16th at 10 A.M.
" This Court having duly considered the
evidence offered finds that the same
is insufficient to sustain the allegations
in the complaint, or to warrant the
holding of the defendant M. J. Church
for trial before the Superior Court.
Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged
that the defendant be discharged -
Done in open Court this 18th day of
February 1887.

Philip Stewart

Justice of the Peace

No. 179

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Action For maintaining a
Public nuisance

Demand \$

v.

John Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Benjamin Marks

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Def.

Nov 29 Complaint filed & warrant issued & George D. McDowell
" 30 Warrant returned & filed and Defendant brought into
Court and agrees to debate the nuisance complained
of wherefor this action is dismissed
Defendant paying all costs
wherefor this action is dismissed
Done in open Court this 3rd day of Nov 1886

J. H. Smith
Judge of said Court

The People of the State
of California -

vs
Bernhard Marks
Def

Record continued
from page 177.

C. B. White - On the part of Defendant
Bernhard Marks, August Weihe, R. L. Dixon
H. S. Dixon, Ray White, R. E. Montague
L. F. Harbaugh and R. A. White. Continued until Feb 18

Feb 18 Case continued until Feb 28th by consent
of counsel when argument is to be had.

" 28 Case argued by J. F. Wharton, H. S. Dixon
and P. D. Wigginton on part of Defendant
W. D. Grady and G. E. Church on part of the
People and matter continued until Wednesday March
2nd when decision is to be given.

March 2 This Court having duly considered the evidence
offered and said B. Marks appearing in court in
person and by Attorney and George E. Church appearing
for the People the Court finds that from the
evidence herein given that the offense of Paying has been

committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe
the within and above named Bernhard Marks guilty - except I
order that he be held to answer to the same and that he be
admitted to bail in the sum of three thousand Dollars and is
committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail.
Done in open Court this 2nd day of March 1887. Philip Stewart Justice of the Peace.

No. 780

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno**The People of the State of*
California

Plaintiff

v.

Pat Maloy

Defendant

Action

For Embezzlement

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

*November 29**Complaint filed & Warrant issued*

1887

January 7

Defendant brought before this Court by John A. Barber Constable who duly returned the warrant herein which was filed by the Court. Defendant asked that he might be arraigned immediately and being asked by the Court as to whether he required counsel stated that he did not and wished to be allowed to plead guilty to the charge made in the complaint which was read to him. Defendant therefore plead "guilty". And defendant thereupon asked for sentence.

Whereupon this Court orders and adjudges and it is hereby ordered and adjudged that for said offense the defendant, *Pat Maloy*, be imprisoned in the County Jail of the County of Fresno for the period of Sixty days from this date.

Done in open Court this 7th day of January 1887.

Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

No. 8

In the Justice's Court of Chico Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action

Action To Procure a Search
Warrant

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE _____

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

November 30 Affidavit Filed & Warrant issued

No. 182

In the Justice's Court of *Christ* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

M. Duicke

Plaintiff

v.

Chas L Woods

Defendant

Action *On Ac for Rent*

Demand \$ *175⁰⁰*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Dec 3d Complaint filed and Summons with Copying
Summons & Complaint issued
" 17 Summons returned and filed Serial No 167886 at Fresno

250

25

275

No. 183

In the Justice's Court of *Trinity* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

Action *For Damages**J. M. Rosler*

Plaintiff

Demand \$100

v.

Louis Calhoun

Defendant

Wharton & West

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Dec 3^d 1886 Complaint filed & summons with this of
summons & complaint issued
" *10* summons returned & filed served at 3⁴ to this day

*\$2.50**25**275*

In the Justice's Court of Chico Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Presno

J. A. Corbille
Plaintiff
v.
W. D. Wriston
Defendant

Action For Damages
Demand \$ 200.00
Nance & Clark
Attorney for Plaintiff
W. D. Grady
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Plf.	Def.
Dec 3 1886	Complaint filed & summons with copies of summons & complaint issued	25	
" 6	Summons returned & filed served Dec 6 1886 @ 30¢	25	
" 8	Defendant files Demurror	25	
" 21	Demurror in Corbille vs Wriston set for hearing for Friday Dec 24 1886 @ 10 am.	25	
" 24	Def't failing to appear the Demurror is heard on merits and def't allowed until Dec 30 1886 to answer	25	
" 30	Defendant files answer & denies the same	25	
		\$4.50	
Jan 5	Plaintiff files motion to strike out part of answer	25	
" 20	Defendant files answer	25	

No. 185

In the Justice's Court of Thirsk Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action On J.C.

Wm Dugan

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 28.50

v.

Italy & Moon

Defendant

Wm Dugan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

Dec 3 Complaint affidavit and undertaking an
Attachment filed & summons & writ of attachment
with 2 copies of summons & return writ & complaint served 650

\$1.50

No. 186

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,OF THE *State*OF *California*COUNTY OF *Fresno**The People of the State of California*

Plaintiff

v.

Charles Turley

Defendant

Action *For Cruelty to*

Demand \$

W. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Deft.

Dec 1886
Dec 3^d Complaint filed & Warrant issued
Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought
into Court being him admitted to bail in the amt of \$20
Cash deposited in Lieu of Bail
Comes now the plaintiff and acknowledges
satisfaction of the matters complained of in the
Complaint.
Wherefore this action is dismissed all
costs being paid
Done in open Court this 4th day of Dec. 1886
J. H. Hart
Justice of said Court

No. 187

In the Justice's Court of Findlay Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action For Assault with a
Deadly Weapon

Demand \$

Ch. Hung and Ah Sim
alias Ma Ji Tim

Defendant

J. D. Daly San Joaquin County
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

December 4 Complaint filed & warrant issued
" 6 Warrant returned & filed & defendants
brought into Court and by the Court an
Application admitted & bail in the sum
of Five hundred Dollars and by the Court
allowed & filed a joint bond for \$1000
Bail given Bond approved & filed
On motion of Plaintiff this case is set for Examination
for Monday Dec 20th 1886 @ 9 AM.
" 20 Parties in Court & ready for Examination
Chas Garhart appointed Short hand Reporter
& Interpreter & sworn
Li Jm. Ah Dow, Ah Chaw Ah Lin Ahn
Andy & F. F. Winchell sworn & examined
Plaintiff Reads
Defendant Reads case without Evidence
It appearing to the Court that the offense
in the within deposition mentioned has
been committed and that there is
sufficient Cause to believe the within
named Defendants Ma Ji Tim & Ch
Hung guilty thereof & order that they &
each of them be held to answer & the
same and that they be admitted to Bail
in the sum of \$500 each in separate
Bonds and they are committed to the Sheriff
of this County of Fresno until they
give such Bail. Bail given Bond
approved & filed
Done in open Court this 20th day of Dec 1886

J. D. Daly
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Thir* Township,OF THE *State*OF *California*COUNTY OF *Fresno*Action *On*Demand \$ *88.40*

Plaintiff

v.

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 1886

6 Complaint, affidavit and undertaking on attachment filed and summons & writ of attachment with copies of summons & writ & complaint issued

6.00

6.00

Jan

6 Return made of summons and attachment duly served - on the 7th of December 1886. *in the City of San Francisco*

25

Return on Attachment

25

"

15 More than 20 days having elapsed since the service of the summons herein outside this County and no appearance having been entered or demurrer or answer filed on motion of *Tupper & Tupper* plaintiffs counsel the default of defendant is entered

25

And this court having duly considered the matter it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant for the sum of \$88.40 together with his costs taxed as follows: Justice \$8.75 Constable 8.10. Day 150 Total cost \$18.35 Judgment is therefore entered this day for plaintiff for the sum of (\$106.75) One Hundred and six and 25/100 Dollars

2.00

Done in open Court this 15th day of January 1887 -

Philip Stewart Justice of the Peace

"

15 Abstract of judgment made and filed with Clerk

2.00

"

15 Execution issued -

50

Feb

12 Court costs for in full.

Philip Stewart

"

21 Execution returned fully satisfied

Short Note

Philip Stewart J. P.

No. 189

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

For Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

v.

Chas. Dickford

Defendant

J. D. Day

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Def.

Dec

1886

10 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
13 Warrant returned and filed and Defendant brought
into Court and by the Court informed of the
Charge against him and of his rights in the
Principles
Whereupon the defendant pleads guilty as
charged
Wherefore the Court orders that for said
offense the said defendant pay a fine of \$5.00
Fine Paid and defendant discharged
Done in open Court this 13th day of Dec 1886

J. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

No. 190

In the Justice's Court of *Shasta* Township,OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Glenn**The People of the State of*
California

Plaintiff

Action

For Fraudulent
Representations

Demand \$

Agly & Harris and J. W. Winkels

Attorney for Plaintiff

A. N. Inglish

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

*December 11 1886**Complaint filed and Warrant issued**" Warrant returned and filed and Defendant brought*
into Court and admitted to Bail in the sum of
One Hundred Dollars Bail given and approved
*and filed**" On motion of James A. Daly District Attorney*
this case is set for trial for 4 P.M. this
*day**" Parties in Court Defendant gives a jury and*
*pleads not guilty**Louis Rabin sworn examined*
Agreement to the Defendant and Harp and Remy
*afforded evidence in evidence**Jack Comra and L. Remy sworn examined*
*Plaintiff's Rest**On motion of Defendant and inconsistency*
of the law and the premises the defendant
is acquitted and discharged the evidence being
*insufficient to convict**Done in open Court this 11th day of Dec 1886**J. H. O. J.*
Justice of the Peace

No. 191

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

For Breach of Peace &c
published

v.

L. M. Griffith
Defendant

Gayle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Def.

Dec 11 1886 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and Defendant
brought into Court and By order
admitted to Bail in the sum of 300
Bail firm Bond approved & filed
" 14 On motion of Deft this case is set for trial for 2
P.M. this day Informa issued
After hearing the evidence introduce
herein by Pff
This action is dismissed
done in open Court Dec 14 1886
J. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

No. 192

In the Justice's Court of Franklin Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

v.

John D. McPherson

Defendant

Action Trial

Demand \$

A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff.

Deft.

1886
December 11 Complaint filed and Warrant issued

No. 193

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action For Battery

Demand \$

John Doe, alias
John Harrington

Defendant

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Def.
Dec 14	Complaint filed and Warrant issued		
" 16	Warrant returned and filed and Deft brought into Court and by the Court on Default of Bail committed to the Sheriff of Fresno Co and on his motion this case is set for trial for hearing for Dec 17 th 1886 @ 10 AM		
Dec 17	C. F. Bernhard appointed Sheriff and reports J. E. Wilson and Geo W McDowell sworn and examined Plaintiff Rest John Harrington sworn and examined Defendant Case submitted and taken under advisement until Dec 18 th 1886 @ 10 am		
Dec 18	Having fully considered the premises the Court orders that the defendant be discharged Done in open Court Dec 1886 C. F. Bernhard Justice of the Peace H		

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,OF THE *State*OF *California*COUNTY OF *Fresno**The People of the State of California*

Plaintiff

v.

Gustav Wohlfried

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 18 1886
18 Complaint filed & Warrant issued
" 18 Warrant returned & filed & Defendant brought
into Court & admitted & Bail in the sum of
\$50 being informed of the charge against him
and of his rights herein Pleaded not guilty
Defendant came into Court and by
Learn of the Court withdrew his plea of not
guilty and pleaded guilty as charged
whereupon it is ordered and adjudged
that for the said offense the said Defendant
pay a fine of \$6.50 Fine paid & Defendant
discharged
Done in open Court this 18 day Dec 1886

T. W. Pratt
Justice of the Peace

No. 195

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action For Damages

Alexander Garcia

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 299

A. F. Peters & P. K. Peters
and John Doe Peters

Defendant

W. D. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Dixon
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	COSTS.	
		Pff.	Deft.
<u>Dec 26th 1886</u>	<u>Complaint filed & Summons with Copies of Summons and Complaint issued 12 folios</u>	<u>375</u>	
<u>Jan 3 1887</u>	<u>Defendant answers complaint with general denial verbally -</u>		<u>25</u>
<u>" 12</u>	<u>Cure set for trial by consent of Attorney for Saturday Jan 15 - 1887 at 10 AM.</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>" 13</u>	<u>Subpoena issued for Pff. Witness</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>" 14</u>	<u>" returned as served</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>" 15</u>	<u>On motion of Plaintiffs counsel and consent of Defs counsel name of A. B. Peters inserted as deft in place of John Doe Peters</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>" "</u>	<u>Motion made by defendants counsel that action be dismissed as to A. B. Peters and as John Doe Peters, and plaintiffs counsel consenting said action is hereby ordered dismissed as to said defendant</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>" "</u>	<u>Plaintiffs swears the following witnesses viz Erastus Kenfro, Alexander Garcia, Mrs Garcia, S. M. Brooks, Thomas Nolan, W. D. Grady, W. J. Dickey,</u>	<u>1 75</u>	
<u>" "</u>	<u>A. F. Peters, Jacob Gardenaais, Stephen Shabraman sworn for Defendants</u>	<u>75</u>	
<u>" "</u>	<u>Four rulings and two exceptions</u>	<u>1 50</u>	
<u>" 17</u>	<u>Entering judgment &c</u>	<u>1 25</u>	
<u>" "</u>	<u>Memorandum of costs & filing</u>	<u>50</u>	
<u>" "</u>	<u>Satisfactions</u>	<u>50</u>	
	<u>Total fees of Justice</u>	<u>\$11 50</u>	

This court having duly considered the evidence offered finds and adjudges and it is hereby ordered and adjudged that plaintiff Alexander Garcia recover of the defendants A. F. Peters and P. K. Peters the sum of \$200 as damages together with the costs of suit taxed as follows Justice fees

See next page 196

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The Evangelical Society of California
v.
John G. Smith
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action On Petition
Demand \$
James H. [unclear]
Attorney for Plaintiff
[unclear]
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Piff. Deft.

Dec 1886
28th Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" 28th Comes the complaining witness herein and
and acknowledges satisfaction of the matters
complained of in the Complaint and tenders
all costs and moves that this action
be dismissed
Wherefore having fully considered the
Law and the premises the Court orders
that this action be dismissed and
the defendant discharged from custody
Done in open Court this 28th day of Dec
1886
C. H. [unclear] Justice of the Peace

In Justice's Court of Third Township, Fresno County, State of California
Alexander Garcia Pff }
vs } Record continued from
A. F. Peters & } page 195.
P. F. Peters Deft }

Eleven and $\frac{5}{100}$ Dollars, Court's fees Seven and $\frac{5}{100}$ Dollars
Witnesses fees Thirteen and $\frac{2}{100}$ Dollars total costs as taxed
this 17th day of Jan 1887 \$ 31.97 judgement is therefore entered
for plaintiff for the sum of Two Hundred and Thirty one
and $\frac{95}{100}$ Dollars -

Done in open Court this 17th day of January 1887.
Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

No. 197

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,

OF THE

OF

State of

California

COUNTY OF

Fresno

Action

For Debt

Plaintiff

Demand \$

v.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
 Dec 27 Complaint filed & warrant issued
 " " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into Court
 Came now the complaining witnesses
 and acknowledges the facts of the matter
 complained of herein and more to them
 This action
 Wherefor in consideration of
 the promises the action is
 dismissed
 Done in open Court this 28th day
 Dec 1886
O. H. Short
 Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Cresno*

The People of the State of California Plaintiff
v.
Sam Mahon and John Harrington Defendant

Action *For Nuisance*
Demand \$ *100*
J. H. Daly Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 1886
28 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" Warrant returned and filed and defendants brought
into Court and by the Court informed of the charge
against them and of their rights in the premises
wherein for defendant Sam Mahon pleads not
guilty and is allowed to go on his own recognizance
until *Dec 29th 1886* @ *9 A.M.* and defendant John
Harrington pleads guilty as charged in the complaint
and all and singular the Law and the formers being
by the Court her understood and fully considered
and no sufficient cause appearing to the Court
why judgment should not be pronounced against
said Deft
to perform it is by the Court her ordered and
adjudged that for the delinquency of the said
John Harrington he be imprisoned in the County
Jail of said County for term of
Twenty days

Done in open Court this 28th day of Dec 1886

J. H. Daly Justice of the Peace

" *29* It appearing to the Court that deft
John Mahon is a laboring man
and that he is or has been sick and
unable to work the Court orders that
he be discharged

Done in open Court Dec 29th 1886

J. H. Daly

Justice of the Peace

No. 199

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action For Assault with a
Deadly Weapon

Demand \$

John Baptista

Defendant

J. M. Daley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Def.

Dec 28 Complaint filed & Warrant issued
" 29 Warrant returned and filed and Defendant brought
into Court and on his motion this case is
set for hearing for Dec 30th 1886 @ 2 P.M.
& an Default of Bail is committed & Jure
subpoena issued
" 30 Parties in Court and proceed with
Examination

Thos. J. J. Murphy
J. M. Tanning and Louis Mahon sworn
and examined Plaintiff's Recs
John Baptista sworn and examined
also appointed and sworn
as a proper interpreter
Case submitted

Happening to the satisfaction of
the Court that the crime of
Drawing and Exhibiting a Deadly
Weapon in a rude angry
and threatening manner has
been committed and that there
is sufficient cause therefor
the Just John Baptista sent
them of order that he be held
to answer to the peace and
that a Complaint charging the
said defendant with said offense
be filed in this Court and
for proceeding in said case see Page
214 No 214 this docket
Done in open Court this
30th Dec 1886

W. H. Short Justice of the Peace

No. 200

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
OF THE *State of California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of California
v.
W. Haydon
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action *Replevin*
Demand \$
J. D. Doe
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Def.

Dec 1886
Dec 29 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" *Warrant returned and filed and Defendant brought*
into Court and informed of the charge
against him and of his rights
Whereupon he pled guilty as charged
Wherefore it is by the Court here
ordered and adjudged that for the said offense
You the said W. Haydon be imprisoned
in the County Jail of the County of
Fresno for a term of five days
Done in open Court this 29th
of Dec 1886
C. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

No. 201

In the Justice's Court of *Thurs* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

Vagrancy

v.

Demand \$

J. A. Day

Attorney for Plaintiff

James Swain

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Dec 29 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and deft an
being informed of the charge against
him pleads guilty
Wherefor it is by the Court here ordered
and adjudged that for the said offense
Upon the said James Swain be
imprisoned in the County Jail
of the County of Fresno for a term
of Ten days
Done in open Court this 29
day of Dec 1886
C. H. Clark
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

Vagrancy

Demand \$

J. Bayne

v.

Defendant

J. H. Day

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Dec

29

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" Warrant returned and filed and deft
brought into Court and saw him informed
of the charge against him and
guilty as charged
Wherefore it is by the Court here ordered
and adjudged that for the said offense
you the said J. Bayne be imprisoned
in the County Jail of the County of
Fresno for a term of five days
Dated in open Court Dec 29th 1886

J. H. Day
Justice of the Peace

No. 203

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action Negatory

Demand \$

v.

Oliver Lorryay

Defendant

J. A. Day

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 29 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and filed and Deft
brought into Court and on being informed of
the charge against him pleads guilty
whereupon it is by the Court here ordered and
adjudged that for the said offense the
said Oliver Lorryay be imprisoned in the County
Jail of the County of Fresno for a term of
Ten Days
Done in open Court this 24th day of Dec 1886

J. H. Short
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

Vagancy

Demand \$

J. W. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 29 1886

Complaint filed and return issued
Docket returned and filed and debt brought into Court
and by the Court in favor of the Charge against him and
of his rights in the premises Whereupon he pled guilty
to Charges
Whereupon it is by the Court here ordered and adjudged
that for the said offense you the said Wm Sherwood
be imprisoned in the County of the County of
Fresno for a term after days
Done in open Court this 29th of Dec 1886

O. F. O'Neil
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California Action Vagraney
v. Al Douglas Plaintiff Demand \$

J. A. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

Dec 29 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" " Warrant returned and John and Jeff brought into Court
and informed of the charge against being
Whom upon he paid pretty
Whom for it to be the Court then read and
adjudged that for the said offense for the said Al
Douglas be imprisoned in the County Jail of the
County of Fresno for a term of Ten days
Done in open Court this 29th of Dec 1886

J. A. Daly
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Cresno

The People of the State
of California
v.
Thos Mahon

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action

Negancey

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 29 Complaint filed and Warrant issued

4

11

Warrant returned and filed and debt brought into Court
and on being informed of the Charge Pleads Guilty
whereupon it is by the Court here ordered and
adjudged that for the said offense you the said
Thos Mahon be imprisoned in the County Jail of
the County of Fresno for a term of Three Days
Dues in open Court this 29th Dec 1886

J. H. Daly
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

J. Murron

Defendant

J. A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1886
Dec 29 Com plaint filed and Warrant issued
" " Demand returned and filed and deft brought into Court
and on being informed of the charge against him
pleads guilty as charged
Whereupon it is by the Court ordered that for
that for the said offense the said defendant pay
a fine of Ten Dollars
Done in open Court this 29th of Dec 1886
Fine paid and defendant discharged
Done in open Court this 29th of Dec 1886

J. A. Daly
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

John Wilson

Defendant

Action

Vagrancy

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

Dec

30

Complaint filed and Warrant issued
Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and by the Court informed
of the Charge against him and of his rights
in the premises. Whereupon he pled guilty
as charged.

Wherefore in consideration of the
Law and the premises it is by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that for the said
offense you the said John Wilson be
imprisoned in the County Jail of
the County of Fresno for the term of
ten days.

Done in open Court this 30th day of Dec 1886.

J. H. Daly

Justice of the Peace

No. 209

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
 OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Kern*

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

Action

Vagrancy

Wm Smith v.

Demand \$

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 30 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
 Warrant returned and said Defendant
 brought into Court and by the Court
 informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises whereupon
 he pled guilty as charged
 Wherefore in consideration of the
 Law and the premises it is by the
 Court here ordered and adjudged that for
 said offense you the said *Wm Smith*
 be imprisoned in the County Jail of the
 County of *Kern* for the term of
 Ten days

Done in open Court this 30th Dec 1886

J. H. Daly
 Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF FresnoThe People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

v.

Wm Watson

Defendant

Action Warrant

Demand \$

J. V. Daley

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Deft.

Dec 30 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
 " " Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into Court and by the Court
 informed of the charges against him and
 of his rights in the premises
 Wherefore in consideration of the
 Law and the premises it is by the
 Court here ordered and adjudged that for
 the said offense you the said were
 Warranted to be imprisoned in the
 County Jail of the County of
 Fresno for the term of ten days
 Done in open Court this 30th of
 Dec 1886

J. V. Daley
 Justice of the Peace

No. 2117

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
 OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State
of California

Action

Vagrancy

Plaintiff

Demand \$

v.

J. A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael Amise

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 30 Complaint filed and Warrant issued
" Warrant returned and filed and defendant
 brought into Court by the Const
 informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises
 where he is incarcerated and of the
 Law and the premises it is by the
 Court her ordered and adjudged that for
 the said offense you the said Michael
 Amise be imprisoned in the County
 Jail of the County of Fresno for
 the term of ten days
 Dated in open Court this 30 day of
Dec 1886

J. A. Daly
 Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

E. Widman

Plaintiff

v.

J. T. Harrison

Defendant

Action On Promissory Note

Demand \$ 81⁴⁸/₁₀₀ and Int

Reuben B. Terry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

Dec 30 Complaint filed

75

D

No. 213

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
OF THE State of California COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California
v.
John Baptisto

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action For Drawing & Exhibiting a
Deadly Weapon

Demand \$

J. A. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Dec 30 Complaint filed as provided under
No 199 Page 199 and defendant arraigned
and informed of the Charge against him
whereupon he pleads guilty as charged
wherefor in consideration of the Law
and the premises it is by the Court
here ordered and adjudged that for the
said offence You the said Michael
John Baptisto pay a fine of \$50 Dollars
and in case said fine is not paid by
the 30th Dec 1886 that you be
imprisoned in County Jail of
said County at Fresno in the
proportion of one day imprisonment
for every one dollar of fine and
on the payment of such portion of
said fine as shall not have been
satisfied by imprisonment at the
rate above prescribed that the
defendant be discharged from Custody
within a fortnight the 30th of
Dec 1886

J. N. Short
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Thurston Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action

On ReChas J. Smith

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 30.00

v.

C. H. Thompson

Defendant

W. D. Gady

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. W. McInnell

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Dec 30 Complaint filed and Undertaking on
Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of
Attachment with Copies of said Complaint Summons
and Writ issued

6.00\$16.00Jan

3 Demurrer filed by Def.

25

" 13 Plaintiff's counsel appeared in Court and by his
that moved that case be dismissed and upon
payment of the costs of this court it is
hereby ordered that said action be dismissed.
Done in open Court this 13th day of January
1887 -

Philip StewartJustice of the Peace

No. 215

In the Justice's Court of 3^d Township,

OF THE State OF Cal. COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Action Vagraney

Demand \$

James Brown

Defendant

J. W. Dacy

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.


Def.

Jan 1st 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897
Jan 3rd 1897

The Ham

Three First Premiums

1891



Positively No Shaft Motion!

the Easiest Rider

Made

And has Well Merited the Enthusiastic Praises it has received from Every User.

defendant brought
informed of the
you they fled
promises and
been confirmed in
not orders that the
3^d day of
Jan

In the Justice's Court of Thurston Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Action

On ReChas J. Smith

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 30.00

v.

C. H. Thompson

Defendant

W. D. Gady

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. W. McInnell

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

Dec 30 Complaint affidavit and undertaking an
Attachment filed and summons and writ of
Attachment with copies of complaint summons
and writ issued

6.00716.0025

Jan 3 Demurrer filed by Def.

13 Plaintiff's counsel appeared in Court and by his
that moved that case be dismissed and upon
payment of the costs of this court it is
hereby ordered that said action be dismissed.
Done in open Court this 13th day of January
1887 -

Philip StewartJustice of the Peace

No. 215

In the Justice's Court of 3^d Township,
OF THE State OF Cal. COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of Calif.

Action Vagraney

Plaintiff

Demand \$

James Brown

Defendant

J. W. Dacy

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PRO

COSTS.

Plff.

Def.

1887
Jan 30

MR. G. ALBERT SMITH, Box 536, Fresno, Cal.

DEAR SIR: I take pleasure in stating that after two months' trial of the Hammock Cart I am more than pleased with it. For long drives I have found it less wearisome than a buggy, and its light draught makes it easy on my horse. In construction it is well put up and is in keeping with its many other good points. To those wishing a light-draught and easy riding vehicle, they cannot better the Hammock Cart.

Respectfully yours,
E. G. HUMPHREY.

MR. G. ALBERT SMITH, Box 536, Fresno, Cal.

DEAR SIR: It gives me pleasure to say that after three months' use of the Hammock Cart purchased of you, having tested it over all kinds of roads, I am highly pleased with it. It comes the nearest to perfection for ease and comfort of any cart I ever rode in, and the material and workmanship are unsurpassed.

S. F. EARL, Sec'y '76 Land & Water Co.

MR. G. ALBERT SMITH, Fresno, Cal.

DEAR SIR: Having used the Hammock Cart for some time, I can say that it is a perfect success. I believe that the Hammock Cart is the most perfect two-wheeled rig that has yet been placed before the public. It is much handier than a buggy, less expensive and much more durable. I am well pleased with it.

Yours truly,
G. D. LOBDELL.

MR. G. ALBERT SMITH, Box 536, Fresno, Cal.

DEAR SIR: On October 3d I bought one of the No. 8 Hammock Carts, and have used same over all kinds of roads. It gives excellent satisfaction. There is no horse motion, which makes them superior to all other carts that I have seen. The cart seems to be made of good material and made to wear well. I took one of these carts in preference to a top buggy, and it has all the advantages of a buggy and is very much easier on a horse.

Yours,
C. B. REAS.

MR. G. ALBERT SMITH, Fresno, Cal.

DEAR SIR: After using the Hammock Cart for over a month I am satisfied it is the best cart made. Would rather ride in it than a buggy. Very respectfully yours,

D. R. MILLER.

MR. G. ALBERT SMITH, Box 536, Fresno, Cal.

DEAR SIR: Having bought a Hammock Cart of you some time since, and not wishing to be premature in my judgment of the same, I will now, after having driven the cart every day for a month, say that it fills every requirement. It is strong and durable, being made of the best wood and steel. The body being hung on coil springs, is entirely free from shaft motion, and being perfectly balanced, when going along the road the horse is relieved of the weight of the shafts. Another advantage is the folding top, which, closing in a peculiar manner, protects the lining and is also entirely out of the way.

Yours truly,
T. F. STOUT.

MR. W. F. FORSEY, Secretary Producers' Raisin Packing Co., Fresno, Cal., says: "It rides fine. I like mine very much."

MR. J. H. SEWELL, Fresno, Cal., says: "We have not used our top buggy since we got the cart. My wife would not part with it at any price."

MR. E. E. HUMPHREY, Fresno, Cal., says: "I like the cart; my folks like it, and most of my neighbors have tried it, and they all like it."

MR. C. B. REAS, Porterville, Cal., says: "There is no use talking; they are all right! A person couldn't kick about them if he wanted to. I have driven mine in the mountains and over the rocks where any other cart would have been knocked out, and it stood it all right."

REV. A. W. BUNKER of Pasadena, Cal., says: "I am well pleased with it. It has no horse motion."

MR. S. WASHBURN, Pasadena, Cal., says: "It is the easiest rider made."

L. E. JARVIS, the photographer, Pasadena, Cal., says: "It's a dandy. I never get tired riding and I wouldn't get along without it."

MR. M. SUMMERS, Pasadena, Cal., says: "I never rode in anything so easy. It don't tire me like a buggy."

MR. A. L. PETRIE, of U. S. Mail Delivery, Pasadena, Cal., says: "It rides like a Pullman car and cannot be beat."

MR. CHAS. DILLMAN, of U. S. Mail Delivery, Pasadena, Cal., says: "I am well pleased with the cart and can recommend it."

THE MANUFACTURERS SAY:

The great favor with which our HAMMOCK CARTS were received last year by dealers and users, from the Atlantic to the Pacific, has satisfied us that they were rightly named, and fully sustained our claim for them as the EASIEST RIDERS MADE. This has encouraged us to MATERIALLY IMPROVE them, and in presenting this circular we are confident the changes made will cause them to meet with even GREATER FAVOR than heretofore, and simply say to you that if you try them you will use them with COMFORT and PLEASURE.

In the Justice's Court of Shirley Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Fresno

Chas Jm
v.
C. H. Thompson
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action On Re
Demand \$ 30.00
M. D. Gady
Attorney for Plaintiff
J. W. McInnell
Attorney for Defendant

DATE
1886

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.
Plff. Deft.

Dec 30 Complaint filed and Undertaking on
Attachment filed and Summons and
Attachment with Officer Plaintiff
and Writ issued

THE HAMMOCK CART

Received FIRST PREMIUMS wherever exhibited, as follows:

At State Fair, 1891—For "Best Pleasure Cart." Also the award of being the "Best Constructed and Easiest Riding Combination Business and Pleasure Cart in Existence."

At Stockton Fair, 1891—First Premium over all competitors for "Best All-Purpose Cart."

At Fresno Fair, 1891—First Premium for "Best Business and Pleasure Cart."

~~~~~

All HAMMOCK CARTS have four coil springs and one cross spring. WEIGHT OF BODY RESTS ON AXLE. NO WEIGHT ON HORSE'S BACK. Front of body has no connection with shafts at or near cross-bar—consequently NO SHAFT MOTION is felt in riding. Body is hung in such a manner as to admit of a side swing, entirely doing away with sudden jolts in passing over rough roads.

~~~~~

The top is so arranged that when it is let back the front part can be folded forward and closed up behind the lazy-back, forming a very neat cover, which protects the lining and keeps out the dust.

The HAMMOCK CART will balance as shown in the above cut with ONE or TWO average-sized persons sitting on the seat in a natural and comfortable position, proving that the horse does not carry a good portion of the load on his back, as is the case in many other carts.

~~~~~

It is a complete two-wheeled buggy and rides easier. Has full-sized body with lazy-back; 1-inch Sarven wheels, four feet high, oval edge steel tire 1/4 inch thick; 1-inch steel axles; shafts full leather trimmed, metal points. Choice material throughout. Neatly painted, striped and varnished. Furnished with pole or shafts, with or without top.

~~~~~

G. ALBERT SMITH

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL — CALIFORNIA AGENT

P. O. Box 536
Residence, 807 P St, bet.
Mariposa and Tulare.

FRESNO, CAL.

EXPOSITOR PRINT, FRESNO.

Jan 3 Demurrer filed by
Plaintiff's counsel
that moved that
payment of the
beebly ordered that
Dave in open Court
1887 - Philip

id by his
and sign
it is
covered
January
see

6.00
716.00

25

No. 215

In the Justice's Court of 3^d Township,

OF THE State OF Cala COUNTY OF Fresno

The People of the State
of Calif

Plaintiff

Action

Vagraney

Demand \$

James Brown

Defendant

J. W. Dacy

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

Jan 1st 1886 Complaint filed & warrant issued" 3^d Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought
into Court and the defendant informed of the
Charge against them whereupon they pled
Guilty & ChargedIn consideration of the promise and
of the defendant & money paid & confined in
Jail for three days the Court orders that the
defendant be dischargedDone in open Court this 3^d day of
January 1886Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Thur Township,
OF THE State OF California COUNTY OF Tulare

The People of the State
of California
v.
Sam Smith
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action Warrant
Demand \$
John Day
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Pff.

Deft.

1884
Jan 1st Complaint filed & Warrant issued
" 3rd Warrant returned and filed and defendant
brought into Court and informed of the
Charge against him and of his rights
In consideration of the promise out of the
defendant having been confined in jail
for three days the Court orders that the
defendant be discharged.
Done in open Court January 3rd 1884
Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

No. 217

In the Justice's Court of *First* Township,
OF THE *State* OF *California* COUNTY OF *Fresno*

The People of the State of California
v.
R. Rogers

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action *Warrant*

Demand \$

J. W. Day
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS

COSTS.

Plf.

Def.

1887
Jan 1st Complaint filed & Warrant issued
Defendant returned and filed and Defendant
brought into Court and informed of the
Charge against him whereupon he pleads
guilty as charged. *When it appeared to the Court*
that the deft. has been imprisoned
for three days the deft. ordered discharged
Done in open Court January 3rd 1887
Philip Stewart
Justice of the Peace

No.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,

OF THE _____ OF _____ COUNTY OF _____

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

[illegible]

BLANK PAGES
SKIPPED

